

352.05

NEW

v.64:27-39

1979

BULLETIN

OF THE

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

Issued under authority of Chapter 27, Section 665 of the Charter of the City of New York
Published weekly by the Board of Standards and Appeals at its office, 80 Lafayette Street, 9th Floor, Manhattan,
New York, N. Y. 10013

Vol. LXIV

Subscription
\$25.00 a year

July 12, 1979

By Mail, 65 cents (Cash only)
Single Copies, 50 cents

No. 27

DIRECTORY

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

VITO J. FOSSELLA, P.E., M.C.E., Chairman

PHILIP P. AGUSTA, R.A., M.U.P., *Vice Chairman*

HARRY M. CARROLL, P.E.

JOHN J. WALSH, P.E.

JOHN B. CINCOTTA

STANLEY M. WOLF, R.A.

Commissioners.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*RICHARD B. ATWELL, *Deputy Director*FRANCES M. WALDRON, *Secretary*DARRELL L. GAVRIN, J.D., *Counsel*AVRIL H. LATHAM, *Chief Clerk*OFFICE—80 Lafayette Street, 9th Floor, Manhattan, New
York, N. Y. 10013.

TELEPHONE—566-5174.

Minutes of Regular Meeting, Tuesday Afternoon, July 3,
1979, Affecting Calendar Numbers—

254-79-A	397-79-A	452-79-A
292-79-A	398-79-A	453-79-A
293-79-A	399-79-A	454-79-A
294-79-A	400-79-A	455-79-A
295-79-A	401-79-A	456-79-A
574-79-A	402-79-A	457-79-A
614-77-A	403-79-A	458-79-A
532-78-A	404-79-A	459-79-A
533-78-A	405-79-A	460-79-A
534-78-A	406-79-A	461-79-A
535-78-A	407-79-A	462-79-A
536-79-A	408-79-A	463-79-A
539-78-A	409-79-A	464-79-A
540-78-A	410-79-A	465-79-A
1102-78-A	411-79-A	466-79-A
38-79-A	412-79-A	467-79-A
52-79-A	413-79-A	468-79-A
53-79-A	414-79-A	469-79-A
54-79-A	415-79-A	470-79-A
55-79-A	416-79-A	471-79-A
56-79-A	417-79-A	472-79-A
57-79-A	418-79-A	473-79-A
58-79-A	419-79-A	474-79-A
59-79-A	420-79-A	475-79-A
60-79-A	421-79-A	476-79-A
61-79-A	422-79-A	477-79-A
62-79-A	423-79-A	478-79-A
73-79-A	424-79-A	479-79-A
234-79-A	425-79-A	480-79-A
258-79-A	426-79-A	481-79-A
259-79-A	427-79-A	482-79-A
260-79-A	428-79-A	483-79-A
261-79-A	429-79-A	484-79-A
264-79-A	430-79-A	485-79-A
265-79-A	431-79-A	486-79-A
333-79-A	432-79-A	487-79-A
378-79-A	433-79-A	488-79-A
379-79-A	434-79-A	489-79-A
380-79-A	435-79-A	490-79-A
381-79-A	436-79-A	491-79-A
382-79-A	437-79-A	492-79-A
383-79-A	438-79-A	493-79-A
384-79-A	439-79-A	494-79-A
385-79-A	440-79-A	495-79-A
386-79-A	441-79-A	496-79-A
387-79-A	442-79-A	497-79-A
388-79-A	443-79-A	505-79-A
389-79-A	444-79-A	506-79-A
390-79-A	445-79-A	508-79-A
391-79-A	446-79-A	523-79-A
392-79-A	447-79-A	612-79-A
393-79-A	448-79-A	613-79-A
394-79-A	449-79-A	614-79-A
395-79-A	450-79-A	615-79-A
396-79-A	451-79-A	

Correction Affecting Calendar Numbers—

1139-78-BZ 240-78-A 316-78-A

CONTENTS

Docket.

Notice of Hearing of Calendar.

Minutes of Regular Meeting, Tuesday Morning, July 3,
1979, Affecting Calendar Numbers—

156-69-A	33-79-BZ	500-79-BZ
37-57-BZ	94-79-BZ	488-78-BZ
558-68-BZ	96-79-BZ	557-78-BZ
580-76-BZ	171-79-BZ	1159-78-BZ
975-77-BZ	204-79-BZ	1-79-BZ
557-77-A	251-79-BZ	44-79-BZ
967-77-BZ	270-79-BZ	179-79-BZ
223-78-A	271-79-A	180-79-A
492-78-A	284-79-BZ	308-79-BZ
903-78-BZ	285-79-A	

CALENDAR

DOCKET

New Cases filed up to July 3, 1979

Cal. No. Dept. Premises Affected

742-79-SA—Air Balance Smoke/Fire Dampers, model 120 and 120 J, manufactured by: Air Balance, Incorporated.

743-79-A—B.S.I.—154 Aspinwall Street, west side, 75 ft. north of Hylan Blvd., Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1150-79 re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street, contrary to Section 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law.

744-79-A—B.S.I.—148 Aspinwall Street, west side, 140 ft. north of Hylan Blvd., Block 7927, Lot 43, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1151-79—re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street contrary to Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law and storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

745-79-A—B.S.I.—142 Aspinwall Street, west side, 205 ft. north of Hylan Blvd., Block 7927, Lot 41, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1152-79—re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street contrary to Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law and storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

746-79-A—B.S.I.—136 Aspinwall Street, west side, 270 ft. north of Hylan Blvd., Block 7927, Lot 37, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1153-79—re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street contrary to Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law and storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

747-79-A—B.S.I.—130 Aspinwall Street, west side, 335 ft. north of Hylan Blvd., Block 7927, Lot 34, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1154-79—re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street contrary to Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law and storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

748-79-A—B.S.I.—124 Aspinwall Street, west side, 400 ft. north of Hylan Blvd., Block 7927, Lot 30, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1155-79—re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street contrary to Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law and storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

749-79-A—B.S.I.—118 Aspinwall Street, west side, 465 ft. north of Hylan Blvd., Block 7927, Lot 27, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1156-79—re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street contrary to Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law and storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

750-79-A—B.S.I.—112 Aspinwall Street, west side, 530 ft. north of Hylan Blvd., Block 7927, Lot 24, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1157-79—re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street contrary to Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law and disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

751-79-A—B.S.I.—106 Aspinwall Street, west side, 595 ft. north of Hylan Blvd., Block 7927, Lot 20, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1158-79—re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street contrary to Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law and disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

752-79-A—B.S.I.—384 Nelson Avenue, south side, 233.43 ft. east of Drew Court, Block 5300, Lot 17, Great Kills,

Borough of Staten Island. Alt. #267-78—re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street contrary to Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law.

753-79-A—B.S.I.—386 Nelson Avenue, south side, 257.60 ft. east of Drew Court, Block 5300, Lot 19, Great Kills, Borough of Staten Island. Alt. #268-78—re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street contrary to Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law.

754-79-BZ—B.Q.—114-11 14th Road, north side, entire block front between 114th and 115th streets, Block 4049, Lots 1 and 25, College Point, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q. Alt. #259-79 re- proposed two story enlargement to non-conforming use located in a R-4 district is contrary to Sec. 52-22, 22-00 and 23-71 of the Zoning Resolution.

755-79-A—B.Q.—114-11 14th Road, north side, entire block front between 114th and 115th streets, Block 4049, Lots 1 and 25, College Point, Borough of Queens. Alt. #259-79 re- storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

756-79-A—B.S.I.—27 Rae Avenue, east side, 220.77 ft. south of Amboy Road, Block 6424, Lot 78, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #606-76—re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street contrary to Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law and storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

757-79-A—B.S.I.—571 Edgegrove Avenue, north side, 320 ft. west of Delmar Avenue, Block 6310, Lot 50, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1915-78—storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

758-79-A—B.S.I.—579 Edgegrove Avenue, north side, 360 ft. west of Delmar Ave., Block 6310, Lot 52, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1916-78 re- storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

759-79-A—B.S.I.—585 Edgegrove Avenue, north side, 440 ft. west of Delmar Avenue, Block 6310, Lot 56, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1917-78—re- storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

760-79-BZ—B.Bx.—1664 Yates Avenue, southeast corner of Van Nest Ave., Block 4112, Lot 2, Borough of the Bronx. Community Board #11BX. N.B. #16-79—re- proposed front yard of two feet on a corner lot in an R4 district is contrary to Sec. 23-45 Z.R.

761-79-A—F.D. letter dated May 31, 1979—473 Scott Avenue, southwest corner of Thomas Street, Block 2808, Lot 25, Borough of Brooklyn re- sprinkler system.

762-79-A—F.D. letter dated June 22, 1979—480 Herkimer Street, south side, 3300 feet west of Albany Avenue, Block 1705, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn, re- Modification of Certificate of Occupancy #211766—Sprinkler system.

763-79-BZ—B.B.—55 Meserole Street, north side, 200 ft. east of Lorimer Street, Block 3041, Lots 11, 12, 23 and 25, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #1BK. Alt. #308-77 re- proposed accessory parking contrary to Sec. 25-11 Z.R.

DESIGNATIONS: D—Department of Buildings; B.B.—Department of Buildings, Brooklyn; B.M.—Department of Buildings, Manhattan; B.Q.—Department of Buildings, Queens; B.S.I.—Department of Buildings, Staten Island; B.Bx.—Department of Buildings, Bronx; H.D.—Health Department; F.D.—Fire Department.

CALENDAR

SEPTEMBER 18, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday morning, September 18, 1979, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

357-59-BZ

APPLICANT—Frederick A. Ketcher for Harvey Starr, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires December 15, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the maintenance of a parking lot for the parking and storage of more than five (5) cars of the passenger-type.

PREMISES AFFECTED—21-23 Hillside Avenue, south side, 252 feet east of Broadway, Block 2170, Lot 110, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #12M.

561-75-BZ

APPLICANT—Stroock and Stroock and Lavan for Lincoln Plaza Associates South, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired July 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C4-7L district, the erection of a thirty-two story plus penthouse mixed building that will have less than the required lot area per room.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1886-1896 Broadway, 23-25 West 62nd Street, northeast corner, 24-40 West 63rd Street, Block 1115, Lots 22, 43, 47 and 17, Borough of Manhattan.

183-48-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for 255 Turnpike Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to obtain a Certificate of Occupancy which expired May 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7c and 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in the retail use portion, the maintenance of a gasoline service station.

PREMISES AFFECTED—255-01 to 259-25 Union Turnpike, blockfront, north side between 255th Street and 260th Street, Block 8513, Lot 2, Glen Oaks, Borough of Queens.

157-69-A

APPLICANT—Jay Winston for 56 West 39th Street Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired May 20, 1979—Appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re-Elevators in Readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—54 West 39th Street, south side, 210 feet east of Avenue of the Americas, Block 840, Lot 78, Borough of Manhattan.

891-76-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for the MacIntyre Building Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired July 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an M1-5 district, in an existing ten story and tower structure, the conversion of a portion of the third floor, and the conversion of a portion of the third floor and the fourth through eleventh floors into residential use.

PREMISES AFFECTED—874 Broadway, northeast corner of East 18th Street, Block 847, Lot 24, Borough of Manhattan.

38-76-A

APPLICANT—Albert Melniker for Standard Boat Company, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, extension of time to complete which expired May 9, 1979 and for amendment—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re-classification as a bulk storage plant.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1483 Richmond Terrace, north side, 95.77 feet east of Broadway, Block 184, Lot 275, West New Brighton, Borough of Staten Island.

524-76-BZ

APPLICANT—Edward Lauria for Christian Beetschen, owner; Victory Van Lines, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to dismiss for lack of prosecution the application of June 9, 1976—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, in conjunction with an existing furniture warehouse, the enlargement in lot area and the use of open area for commercial vehicle storage.

PREMISES AFFECTED—69-71 St. Mary's Avenue, north side, 25 feet east of Tilson Place, Block 2846, Lot 237, Borough of Staten Island.

21-74-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Ciampa Properties, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, extension of time to complete which expired October 26, 1977; and for amendment—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 73-44 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C4-2 district, the reduction in the required accessory parking spaces for a proposed seven story office building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—136-26 37th Avenue, south side, 60.35 feet west of 138th Street, Block 4978, Lot 13, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

819-52-BZ

APPLICANT—Richard E. Beaman for Marinid Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the docket by the Board to extend the term of variance in accordance with the resolution adopted March 20, 1979, showing completion of work on the facade of building, side yard and sidewalk and curb on Watson Avenue—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7i of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the change in occupancy from five-car garage to motor vehicle repair shop.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1890 Watson Avenue and 1077 White Plains Road, southwest corner, Block 3732, Lot 49, Borough of The Bronx.

CALENDAR

655-74-A

APPLICANT—H. W. Peter of BUG and G. Kelly, Kelro Consultants for Brooklyn Union Gas Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- use of naphtha as well as fuel oil for boiler burners at the SNG Plant; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—438 Varick Avenue and 287 to 473 Maspeth Avenue, northeast corner, Block 2838, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn.

761-58-BZ

APPLICANT—Kenneth H. Koons for 2954 Bruner Avenue Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired June 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7e and 21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use D-1 area district, the extension of a marble factory occupying more than the permitted area.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2954-2958 Bruner Avenue, east side, 100 feet south of Adeo Avenue, Block 4791, Lots 5 and 52, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #12BX.

ZONING CASES

168-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Ernest D. Davis for Gary Gabriel, owner, Barbara Taylor, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application February 21, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-4 district, in an existing two story commercial building, the maintenance of the present eating and drinking establishment without restrictions on entertainment or dancing.

PREMISES AFFECTED—101-115 West 116th Street, northwest corner of Lenox Avenue, Block 1901, Lot 29, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #10M.

279-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 660 of the New York City Charter, to permit in an R5 district the erection of a three story and cellar three family dwelling that encroaches on the required front, side and rear yards.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1456 58th Street, south side, 220 feet west of 15th Avenue, Block 5706, Lot 29, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

280-79-A

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1456 58th Street, south side, 220 feet west of 15th Avenue, Block 5706, Lot 29, Borough of Brooklyn.

364-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for 252 West 30th Street Association, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, in an existing fifteen story structure, the conversion of the second through fifteenth floors from lofts into apartments.

PREMISES AFFECTED—252 West 30th Street, south side, 100.3 feet east of 8th Avenue, Block 779, Lot 71, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

366-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Shul-Reis Realty Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-01, 72-21 and 73-241 of the Zoning Resolution and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a C2-8 district, the construction of four additional stories on an existing one story and basement commercial building that creates non-compliance on the commercial lot area and floor area requirement.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1185 1st Avenue, northwest corner of East 64th Street, Block 1439, Lot 23, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

500-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Wax Bryman Associates for TCA Hudson Street Incorporation, owner, El Diario, La Prensa, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, in an existing eight story commercial building, the conversion of the third through eighth floors into apartments.

PREMISES AFFECTED—181-85 Hudson Street, northwest corner of Vestry Street, Block 222, Lot 19, part of 22, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #1M.

Laid over from July 3, 1979 for hearing.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

SEPTEMBER 18, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, *Tuesday afternoon, September 18, 1979*, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

164-79-GR

APPLICANT—The City of New York Board of Standards and Appeals.

SUBJECT—Applicability of Reference Standard RS 18-1 (Safety Code for elevators, dumbwaiters, escalators and moving walks) of the Building Code of the City of New York.

170-79-SA

APPLICANT—Holmes Protection, Incorporated, New York for Potter Electric Signal Company—central station fire alarm transmitter.

799-79-BCR

APPLICANT—Irwin Fruchtman, P.E., Commissioner, Department of Buildings, letter dated June 22, 1979 and received July 20, 1979.

CALENDAR

SUBJECT—Modification of Reference Standards RS 10 and RS 10-5 (Design, Fabrication, and Erection of Structural Steel for Buildings) of the Building Code of the City of New York.

800-79-BCR

APPLICANT—Irwin Fruchtman, P.E., Commissioner, Department of Buildings, letter dated June 29, 1979 and received July 20, 1979.

SUBJECT—Modification of Reference Standard RS 17-2 (Installation of Sprinkler Systems) of the Building Code of the City of New York.

544-79-SM

APPLICANT—Dresser Manufacturing Division, Dresser Industries, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Sleeve to electrically isolate two sections of gas line.

1104-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—188-35 69th Ave., Queens, Block 7117, Lot 3.

1105-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—69-10 188th Street, Queens, Block 7115, Lot 2.

1106-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—194-25 64th Ave., Queens, Block 7117, Lot 4.

1107-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—192-15 69th Ave., Queens, Block 7117, Lot 8.

1108-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—196-05 67th Ave., Queens, Block 7117, Lot 6.

1109-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—88-01 188th Street, Queens, Block 7117, Lot 2.

550-79-A

APPLICANT—Augustus A. Beekman, Fire Commissioner. Owner of Premises—Mit-Will Realty.

SUBJECT—Application May 7, 1979—for Modification of Certificate of Occupancy re- sprinkler system.

PREMISES AFFECTED—419/21 Fifth Avenue, east side, 12 feet north of 8th Street, Block 999, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn.

635-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Homelawn Housing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 35, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building in the bed of a mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3169 Miles Avenue, north side, 125.46 feet west of Stevens Place, Block 5517, Lot 32, Borough of The Bronx.

636-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Homelawn Housing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 35, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building in the bed of a mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3171 Miles Avenue, north side, 103.96 feet west of Stevens Place, Block 5517, Lot 31, Borough of The Bronx.

637-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Homelawn Housing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 35, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building in the bed of a mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3173 Miles Avenue, north side, 74.52 feet west of Stevens Place, Block 5517, Lot 30, Borough of The Bronx.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

SEPTEMBER 25, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday morning, September 25, 1979, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013 on the following:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

251-58-BZ

APPLICANT—Carlo Nuzzi for John Colangelo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Reso-

CALENDAR

lation, permitting in a residence use district, the use of vacant plot for a parking lot for the parking and storage of more than (5) motor vehicles, pleasure type.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2446-2450 Beaumont Avenue, east side, 238.57 feet south of East 189th Street and 2445-2449 Crotona Avenue, Block 3105, Lot 17, Borough of the Bronx. Community Board #6BX.

635-57-BZ

APPLICANT—George Perotto for 115 Associates, owner.
SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the use of the first floor as a legation for the Republic of Sudan to the United Nations and the second floor as an office for the Pharmaceutical Information Bureau.

PREMISES AFFECTED—115 East 69th Street, north side, 185 feet east of Park Avenue, Block 1404, Lot 8, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

516-43-A

APPLICANT—Saul Goldsmith for Welbilt Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the acting Borough Superintendent re- bed of mapped street; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—57-46 Flushing Avenue, 59-05 60th Avenue, 58-12 59th Drive and 59-83 59th Street, east side, 214 feet 10 inches south of 59th Drive, Block 2649, Lot 113 (formerly Block 2649, Lots 113 and 115, Block 2653, Lot 5), Maspeth, Borough of Queens.

1055-64-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Bethpage Harding Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C2-2 district, in an existing building occupied as a radio transmitting station and restaurant, the extension of the use to include catering and restaurant without restriction.

PREMISES AFFECTED—173-11 to 173-15 Horace Harding Expressway, 59-48 to 59-60 174th Street, northwest corner, Block 6887, Lot 74, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

11-69-A

APPLICANT—Larry Meltzer for Island Transportation Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- use of unapproved trailer tank trucks in City of New York—transportation of fuel oil in 8,000 gallon tractor-trailers not in conformity with requirements of Administrative Code of City of New York; previously granted on condition.

936-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel H. Lindenbaum for 499 Park Avenue Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to withdraw the application for extension of time to complete which expired July 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent;

previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution permitting in a C5-3R district, the erection of a twenty five story, store and office building that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, penetrates the sky exposure plane and without the required accessory loading berth.

PREMISES AFFECTED—487-499 Park Avenue, southeast corner of East 59th Street, Block 1313, Lot 4, Borough of Manhattan.

ZONING CASES

70-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Guardian Federal Savings and Loan Association, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 22, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R6 district in an existing four story building, the conversion of accessory garage space into apartments that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio, lot area per room and the parking requirements.

PREMISES AFFECTED—37-06 104th Street, 103-14 37th Avenue, southwest corner, Block 1769, Lot 7, Corona, Borough of Queens. Community Board #3Q.

275-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 660 of the New York City Charter, to permit in an R6 district the erection of a three story and cellar, three family dwelling that encroaches on the required side and rear yards.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1518-53rd Street, south side, 137.6 feet east of 15th Avenue, Block 5477, Lot 12, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

276-79-A

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1518 53rd Street, south side, 137.6 feet east of 15th Avenue, Block 5477, Lot 12, Borough of Brooklyn.

291-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Herbert Gallin Associated for Frank and Joseph Coretti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 16, 1979—decision of the Superintendent, under Section 11-413 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit C8-1 and R-4 district the change in use of an existing one story building previously before the Board from a garage into an automobile repair shop.

PREMISES AFFECTED—911 Sackett Avenue, north side, 94.24 feet east of Bronxdale Avenue, Block 4058, Lot 25, Borough of Bronx. Community Board #11BX.

346-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Harry Soled for Eugene Lichtenstein, owner.

CALENDAR

SUBJECT—Application April 4, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R-6 district, the erection of an enlargement to the first floor of an existing three story and basement multiple dwelling that creates non-compliance in open space ratio and rear yard obstruction.

PREMISES AFFECTED—221 Keap Street, north side, 121 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2194, Lot 46, Borough of Brooklyn.

347-79-A

APPLICANT—Harry Soled for Eugene Lichtenstein, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 4, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- open space ratio.

PREMISES AFFECTED—221 Keap Street, north side, 121 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2194, Lot 46, Borough of Brooklyn.

270-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Paul Siegel Antiques, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a C6-1 district, on a Zoning lot containing two commercial structures, the conversion of both buildings into apartments that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio and lot area per room on one building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—808 Broadway, 110 Fourth Avenue, east side, 240.4 feet north of East 10th Street, Block 557, Lots 9 and 26, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

Laid over from July 3, 1979, for hearing.

271-79-A

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Paul Siegel Antiques, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—808 Broadway, 110 Fourth Avenue, east side, 240.4 feet north of East 10th Street, Block 557, Lots 9 and 26, Borough of Manhattan.

Laid over from July 3, 1979, for hearing.

695-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Roy-Al Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 18, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C8-4 district the enlargement in floor area and the change in use of an existing manufacturing building into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—314 West 11th Street/721 Washington Street, northeast corner, Block 634, Lot 47, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

Laid over from June 26, 1979, for continued hearing.

696-78-A

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Roy-Al Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 18, 1978—Appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—341 West 11th Street, northeast corner of Washington Street, Block 634, Lot 47, Borough of Manhattan.

Laid over from June 26, 1979, for continued hearing.

SEPTEMBER 25, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing Tuesday afternoon, September 25, 1979, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

338-79-A

APPLICANT—Sajra Discount Department Stores, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 29, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- Sprinkler System.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1963 Prospect Avenue, northwest corner of East Tremont Avenue, Block 3093, Lot 47, Borough of The Bronx.

519-79-A

APPLICANT—Vincent John Bartone for St. Nektarios Greek Orthodox Church, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed change in occupancy from a dwelling to a Church.

PREMISES AFFECTED—156-03 Sanford Avenue, northeast corner of 156th Street, Block 5329, Lot 3, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

553-79-A

APPLICANT—William E. Cratty for Intercontinental Lubricants Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner, re- packaging of inflammable mixture known as Old New England Lamp Oil in plastic bottle, not in compliance with regulation of the Administrative Code of New York City.

558-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Ralten Real Estate and Building Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—5394 Amboy Road, south side, 40.27 feet west of Stecher Street, Block 6570, Lot 30, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

561-79-A

APPLICANT—E. E. La Rue for Siloo, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner, re- packaging of inflammable mixture known as Siloo Gas Line Anti-Freeze in plastic bottle, not in compliance with regulations of the Administrative Code of New York City.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY MORNING, JULY 3, 1979, 10 A.M.

Present: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf.

The minutes of the regular meetings of the Board held on Tuesday morning and afternoon June 19, 1979 were approved in the Bulletin of June 28, 1979, Volume 64, Number 25.

156-69-A

APPLICANT—M. Milton Glass for 2938 West Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired May 20, 1979—Appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re-elevator kept in readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—29 West 38th Street, north side, 410 feet east of Avenue of the Americas, Block 840, Lot 23, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: M. Milton Glass.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of modification extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Chairman Fossella 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on May 20, 1969, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 3, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on May 20, 1969 only as to the term of the modification, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"granted for a term of ten years from the date of this amended resolution, *on condition* that an approved agency, namely Quick Response Service be permitted to perform the service, and that the records and reports required by the Fire Department shall be maintained; that the service shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of the general resolution adopted by the Board under Calendar Number 630-56-GR; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that the term of this modification shall automatically lapse if the service is discontinued." (F.D. Decision Dated 3-4-69)

37-57-BZ

APPLICANT—Vito J. Tricarico for Mary Messineo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the maintenance of a parking lot for more than five (5) motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—431-435 Warren Street, north side, 23 feet 6 inches east of Bond Street, Block 393, Lots 66, 67 and 68, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #6BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Vito J. Tricarico.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #6BK waives its recommendation received on May 16, 1979; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on December 17, 1957, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 3, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on December 17, 1957 as amended through July 16, 1974 only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"granted for a term of five years from July 16, 1979, to permit . . . ; *on condition* that a full width sidewalk shall be installed in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Department of Highways prior to the issuances of a new Certificate of Occupancy; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 4078-56)

558-68-BZ

APPLICANT—Felix Sancilio for Sanzio Machine and Tool Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and extension of term of variance which expired November 26, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R4 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing machine shop with accessory offices.

PREMISES AFFECTED—61-51 Fresh Meadow Lane, northeast corner of 174th Street, Block 6902, Lot 1 (formerly Lots 1 and 7 assigned as Tax Lot 21), Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #8Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Felix Sancilio.

ACTION OF BOARD—Rules of Procedure waived, application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Chairman Fossella 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #8Q. recommended approval received on May 15, 1979; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on November 26, 1968, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 3, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby waive the Rules of Procedure and *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on November 26, 1968 only as to the term of the variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"granted for a term of ten years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . . ; *on condition* that the facade of the building shall be steam cleaned to remove the graffiti; and that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects, and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 752-68)

MINUTES

580-76-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Joseph P. Barone, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete—which expired May 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R3-2 district, the erection of a two story retail store and office building with accessory parking in the open area.

PREMISES AFFECTED—188-16 Northern Boulevard, southwest corner of 189th Street, Block 5512, Lot 38, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

ACTION OF BOARD—application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on March 22, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on March 22, 1977 as amended through May 9, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

“that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution.” (N.B. 169-76)

975-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for LMB Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired May 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R5 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing paint and shellac manufacturing establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—184-186 Lawrence Avenue, south side, 205 feet west of Ocean Parkway, Block 5423, Lot 36, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on May 23, 1978, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on May 23, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

“that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution.” (Alt. 1154-77)

557-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Pan Am Motor Inn, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—Appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner—for Modification of Certificate of Occupancy #Q160047 re- sprinkler system; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—79-10 Queens Boulevard, south side, 80 feet east of Hillyer Street, Block 2453, Lot 40, Elmhurst, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar for continued hearing.

967-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for Romford Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application December 15, 1977—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-9 district, the amendment to a new building application that creates non-compliance in lot area per room.

PREMISES AFFECTED—301/303 East 83rd Street and 1602/1614 Second Avenue, northeast corner, Block 1546, Lots 1 and 50, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sheldon Lobel.

For Opposition: None.

For Administration: John Kaufman, C.P.C. and Jane Trichter, Councilperson.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing; additional information.

223-78-A

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for Romford Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 4, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- review and interpretation of zoning matter.

PREMISES AFFECTED—301-303 East 83rd Street, and 1614 Second Avenue, northeast corner of East 83rd Street and Second Avenue, Block 1546, Lots 1 and 50, Borough of Manhattan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing; additional information.

492-78-A

APPLICANT—Irving E. Minkin, P.E., Acting Commissioner of Department of Buildings.

OWNER OF PREMISES—H. J. Kalikow and Company, Incorporated.

SUBJECT—Application June 28, 1978—for Revocation of Certificate of Occupancy #78511.

PREMISES AFFECTED—301/303 East 83rd Street and 1602/1610 Second Avenue, northeast corner, Block 1546, Lots 1-5 and 62, Borough of Manhattan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing; additional information.

903-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Skylaw Homes, Incorporated, owner.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application October 16, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-2 district, the conversion of a portion of an existing one story building from retail stores and meeting room into a roller skating rink and meeting room.

PREMISES AFFECTED—82-12 151st Avenue, southwest corner of 84th Street, Block 11429, Lot 1 (Formerly 1 and 33) Howard Beach, Borough of Queens. Community Board #10Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: Councilman Walter Ward, Catherine Schmidt, Assemblyman Edward Abramson, Senator Jeremy Weinstein, Assemblyman Frederick Schmidt and others.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

33-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Wise Excavating Company, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 11-412 and 11-413 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, the change in use of an existing one story and mezzanine structure previously before the Board from a garage into a contractor's equipment storage and garage facility with accessory offices.

PREMISES AFFECTED—95/105 Vanderbilt Avenue, east side, 290' 7 $\frac{5}{8}$ " south of Park Avenue, Block 1887, Lot 24, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #2BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug, Jesse Wise and Emily Wise.

For Opposition: Janet Matloff, Helen L. Grange, John A. Grange and Councilman Abe Gerges.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing; additional information to be submitted.

94-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for 652 Broadway Corporation.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, in an existing twelve story loft building, the conversion of all floors above the second floor, except the sixth floor into joint living/working quarters for artists.

PREMISES AFFECTED—652 Broadway, east side, 87 feet south of Bond street, Block 529, Lot 5, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph Lombardi, Janet Alling and Doris Diether.

For Opposition: None.

For Administration: Mark Jay Harris and Sandy Hornick, CPC.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

96-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Starrett City Incorporated, owner, Eddie Arcaro's Restaurant, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application February 5, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C4-3 district, within an existing shopping center, the addition to the uses of an existing restaurant to include an amusement arcade.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1344-50 Pennsylvania Avenue, southwest corner of Twin Pines Drive, Block 4452, Lot 15, Borough of Brooklyn, Community Board #5BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs and Ronald Noll.

For Opposition: Mark Jay Harris, CPC.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing; additional information to be submitted.

171-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Milton Butnick, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 22, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-2 district, the erection of a seven story motor hotel that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, penetrates the sky exposure plane, encroaches on the required rear yard and without the required accessory loading berth.

PREMISES AFFECTED—78-11 51st Avenue, north side, 42.24 feet east of Hillyer Street, Block 2453, Lot 3, Borough of Queens. Community Board #2Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs and Ronald N. Noll.

For Opposition: None.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

204-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Robert D. Ascione, Architect for Paul and Irene Poutinen, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 28, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-6 district, in an existing six story building, the conversion of all floors above the first floor store into residential loft dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—48 West 22nd Street, south side, 210.5 feet east of Avenue of the Americas, Block 823, Lot 69, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Maurice A. Reichman.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing, additional information to be submitted.

251-79-BZ

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger for Sylvia Slifka, owner.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-20 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C4-2 district, in an existing two story commercial building previously before the Board, the extension of the office use into the garage area that increases the degree of non-compliance in the parking requirements.

PREMISES AFFECTED—92-36 Merrick Boulevard, 92-35 165th Street, west side, 110.42 feet north of Archer Avenue, Block 10155, Lot 24, Jamaica, Borough of Queens. Community Board #12Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Carl Heinberger.

For Opposition: None.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

270-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Paul Siegel Antiques, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a C6-1 district, on a zoning lot containing two commercial structures, the conversion of both buildings into apartments that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio and lot area per room on one building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—808 Broadway, 110 Fourth Avenue, east side, 240.4 feet north of East 10th Street, Block 557, Lots 9 and 26, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Alan S. Kisseloff.

For Opposition: Doris Diether.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A.M., for hearing at the request of the applicant.

271-79-A

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Paul Siegel Antiques, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—808 Broadway, 110 Fourth Avenue, east side, 240.4 feet north of East 10th Street, Block 557, Lots 9 and 26, Borough of Manhattan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A.M., for hearing at the request of the applicant.

284-79-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Shell Oil Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 15, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 11-412 and 11-413, of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-2 district, the reconstruction and rehabilitation of an automotive service station with accessory uses previously before the Board into a pump only gasoline and oil selling station.

PREMISES AFFECTED—218-10 Northern Boulevard, southeast corner of 218th Street, Block 7338, Lot 10, Bayside, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

For Opposition: Beget Hugnette.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; additional information; hearing closed.

285-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Shell Oil Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 15, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed conversion to Self Service Gasoline Station is contrary to Sec. 19-73-0-B of the Administrative Code.

PREMISES AFFECTED—218-10 Northern Boulevard, southeast corner of 218th Street, Block 7338, Lot 10, Bayside, Borough of Queens.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; additional information; hearing closed.

500-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Wax Bryman Associates for TCA Hudson Street Incorporation, owner, El Diario, La Prensa, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a M1-5LMR district, in an existing eight story commercial building, the conversion of the third through eighth floors into apartments.

PREMISES AFFECTED—181-85 Hudson Street, northwest corner of Vestry Street, Block 222, Lot 19, part of 22, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #1M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 18, 1979, at 10 A.M., for hearing at the request of the applicant.

488-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Bleecker Tower Tenants Corporation, owners.

SUBJECT—Application June 27, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5B district, the conversion of a building that exceeds the permitted area from manufacturing use into stores and joint living/working quarters for artists.

PREMISES AFFECTED—644 Broadway, northeast corner of Bleecker Street, Block 529, Lot 1, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Alan Kisseloff and Joseph Lombardi.

For Opposition: Doris Diether.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Opposed to the application.

For Administration: Mark Jay Harris, C.P.C.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

MINUTES

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on March 20, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to May 1, 1979; then to May 15, 1979; then to May 29, 1979; then to June 12, 1979; then to June 26, 1979; then to July 3, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 15, 1978, acting on Alt. Applic. #385/1978, reads:

"1. Proposed conversion of building 7,000 square feet in area, to Joint Living-Work Quarters for Artists, with frontage along Broadway, is contrary to Sec. 42-14 Z.R."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by the entire Board; and

WHEREAS, this building was originally constructed as a bank and office building; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the safe maximum allowable live load for this structure is 80 psf; and

WHEREAS, the restricted structural loading makes this building functionally obsolete for conforming uses; and

WHEREAS, the existing exits are inadequate for conforming uses; and

WHEREAS, the record indicates that the owner was unsuccessful in attempts to attract conforming uses; and

WHEREAS, the cost of renovation to upgrade this structure for manufacturing uses would be prohibitive for the market in this vicinity; and

WHEREAS, the proposed joint living/working quarters are permitted uses within this district; and

WHEREAS, the building had inadequate loading facilities because the court providing access cannot accommodate commercial vehicles; and

WHEREAS, the existing elevators are not suitable for heavy industrial uses; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution to permit in an M1-5B district, the conversion of a building that exceeds the permitted area from manufacturing use into stores and joint living/working quarters for artists *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received June 27, 1978", 14 sheets, and "April 26, 1979", 1 sheet; and *on further condition* that an approved smoke detector with a self-contained alarm be installed in each apartment; that a rate-of-rise device be installed in the public halls and spaces and on the commercial floors, including the cellar, connected to an interior alarm that can be heard throughout the building; that a fire alarm station be installed on each floor connected to the interior alarm; that 25 percent of the roof area be allocated for tenant recreational facilities; that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution; and that no Building Department permit shall be issued until 31 days after the date of certification of this resolution.

557-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug of Furlong Realty Corporation, owner. Carrier Fuel Oil Company, Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 25, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 11-412 and 72-21 of

the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-3 and C8-2 district the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing automotive service station, previously before the Board and the addition to the uses to include storage of fuel oil trucks with accessory repair.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1580/84 McDonald Avenue, west side, 90 feet north of 60th Street, Block 6563, Lot 36, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: Don Lebowitz, Gloria Salafia and Jean Salafia.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Opposed to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application denied.

THE VOTE TO GRANT—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Cincotta. 2

Negative: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll,

Commissioner Walsh and Commissioner Wolf 4

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on May 1, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to May 15, 1979; then to May 29, 1979; then to June 19, 1979; then to July 3, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated July 6, 1978, acting on Alt. Applic. #581/1978, reads:

"2. Proposed structural alteration and enlargement of the existing garage for use as a garage for fuel oil trucks on premises located in a C1-3 and C8-2 is contrary to Cal. No. 640-41-BZ and Section 52-22 of the Zoning Resolution."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area were inspected by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta; and

WHEREAS, the applicant has not established that the existing or conforming uses could not yield a reasonable return; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that on the basis of the record in this case it is unable to make finding under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated July 6, 1978, acting on Alt. Applic. #581/1978, Objection No. 2, be and it hereby is *affirmed* and that the application be and it hereby is *denied*.

1159-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Maynard Equities Ltd., owner Camelbacks Discotheque, Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application December 28, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-241 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-2 district, the conversion of an existing two story building from a garage and warehouse into an eating and drinking establishment without restrictions.

PREMISES AFFECTED—35-15 Farrington Street, east side, 150 feet south of 35th Avenue, Block 4959, Lot 9, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard Rothkrug.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Opposed to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Carroll,

Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and

Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

MINUTES

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on June 12, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to June 26, 1979; then to July 3, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 27, 1978, acting on Alt. Applic. #1156/1978, reads:

"1. Proposed eating and drinking establishment without restriction on dancing and entertainment in the Use Group 12 is not permitted in C2-2 zone."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R. A. and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P. E.; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 73-241 of the Zoning Resolution.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make the required findings and *grant a Special Permit under* Section 73-241 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-2 district, the conversion of an existing two-story building from a garage and warehouse into an eating and drinking establishment without restrictions *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings filed with this application, marked "Received December 28, 1978", 1 sheet, and "June 26, 1979", 4 sheets; and *on further condition* that this special permit shall lapse with any change in ownership or control; that this special permit shall be limited to a term of five (5) years; that the sound transmission level be restricted to 5 decibels above ambient to the nearest residential windows; that exit facilities fully comply to the satisfaction of the Department of Buildings; that valet parking be provided for 80 cars; that proof of valet parking shall be submitted to the Department of Buildings prior to the issuance of a building permit; that the premises shall be fully sprinklered; that the hours of operation be limited to from 9:00 P.M. to 4:00 A.M., except Sunday from 3:00 P.M. to 1:00 A.M.; that there shall be no live entertainment; that the auction facilities on the second floor and this disco shall not be open simultaneously; that no Building Department permit shall be issued until 31 days after the date of certification of this resolution; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

1-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Walter T. Gorman for Francis Radist and Helen Ziedel and Fabrizio Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 3, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, the reconstruction of an automotive service station previously before the Board, the expansion of the use to include the adjoining parking lot.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1003/25 Coney Island Avenue, northeast corner of Foster Avenue, Block 5232, Lot 37, and 42, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #14BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Carl A. Sulfaro.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on May 29, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to June 26, 1979; then July 3, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 29, 1978, acting on Alt. Applic. #1363/1978, and E. S. Applic. #562/1978 reads:

"1. Proposed structural alterations to a non-conforming use is contrary to Section 52-22 of the Zoning Resolution.

2. Proposed enlarging of a non conforming and non complying projecting illuminating ground sign extending 4'-0" beyond the building line in a R-6 district is contrary to Section 22-00, 52-22 and 54-31 of Zoning Resolution."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #14BK. has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the site is presently improved with similar uses; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, the reconstruction of an automotive service station, previously before the Board, the expansion of the use to include the adjoining parking lot *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received January 3, 1979", 7 sheets; and *on further condition* that there shall be at least one attendant provided for each pump island in addition to a general manager who will control vehicular traffic on the site; that there shall be an annunciator bells installed; that there shall be no flashing illuminated signs; that all lighting shall be directed away from adjoining properties; that the proposal shall include auto rental facilities as indicated; that signs comply with Sections 73-211 and 73-212 of the Zoning Resolution; that there shall be no repair of motor vehicles in the open area; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

44-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Carl Kaiserman for Morton Hyman, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 12, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-21 and 11-412 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R-6 district, the enlargement in floor area and conversion of an existing theater previously before the Board into a hardware establishment that increases the degree of nonconformity and with a loading berth that has less than the required height.

PREMISES AFFECTED—188 Prospect Park West, 496-98 14th Street, northwest corner, Block 1103, Lot 37, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #6BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Carl Kaiserman.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

MINUTES

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on June 12, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to June 26, 1979; then to July 3, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 11, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #800/1978, reads:

"1. Proposed enlargement of non-conforming use is contrary to Sec. 52-41 of the Zoning Resolution.

2. Structural alterations to a non-conforming use are contrary to Section 52-22 of the Zoning Resolution.

3. The required loading berth facing 14th Street has less than the vertical clearance and width required by Sec. 44-581 of the Zoning Resolution."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #6BK. has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that this is an appropriate case in which to permit under Section 11-412 of the Zoning Resolution, the structural alteration to the present building; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that this is an appropriate case in which to permit under Section 11-413 of the Zoning Resolution, the change in use of the present building.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby permit under Sections 11-412 and 11-413 of the Zoning Resolution, in an R6 district, the enlargement in floor area and conversion of an existing theatre, previously before the Board, into a hardware establishment that increases the degree of non-conformity and with a loading berth that has less than the required height *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings filed with this application, marked "Received January 12, 1979", 7 sheets, and June 22, 1979", 5 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

179-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Carfran, Incorporated, d/b/a The Golden Dove, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 27, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-21 and 72-11 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-2 (BR) district, the enlargement in floor area and extension in use of an existing restaurant and cabaret establishment previously before the Board.

PREMISES AFFECTED—9005 3rd Avenue, east side, 37.3¾ feet south of 90th Street, Block 6081, Lot 9, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #10BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—No recommendation received.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on June 26, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 3, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #738/1978, reads:

"1. The proposed enlargement and extension of an existing eating and drinking establishment in use group 12 in a C1-2 in R6 district which was previously granted by the Board, deviates from the plans approved under Cal. No. 541-70-BZ and, therefore, must be referred back to the Board."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-2 (BR) district, the enlargement in floor area and extension in use of an existing restaurant and cabaret establishment previously before the Board *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received February 27, 1979", 8 sheets; and *on further condition* that the hours of operation be limited to from 9:00 P.M. to 3:00 A.M. Wednesday through Sunday, except for holidays and special occasions; that there shall be no lewd or exotic dancing or entertainment; that this variance shall lapse with any change in ownership or control; that this variance shall be limited to a term of five (5) years; that the sound transmission level be restricted to five decibels above ambient to the nearest residential windows; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

180-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Carfran, Incorporated, d/b/a The Golden Dove, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed openings between buildings to accommodate an existing eating and drinking establishment previously before the Board.

PREMISES AFFECTED—9005 Third Avenue, east side, 37.3¾ feet south of 90th Street, Block 6081, Lot 9, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, on Alt. Applic. #738/78, reads:

"2. Proposed openings between buildings to accommodate an eating and drinking place, U.G. 12, in a residential building exceeds the permitted area under C26-254.0 B.C."

and

WHEREAS, the premises had a site evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P.

MINUTES

Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #738/1978, Objection No. 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that all work conform to the resolution adopted this date under Calendar Number 179-79-BZ; and that all other laws, rules and regulations be complied with.

308-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Henry George Greene AIA for UDC-St. George, Incorporation owner, GBS Associates Limited Partnership, Lessee.

SUBJECT—Application March 21, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-21 and 52-41 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R7-1 (LH-1) district, in conjunction with the conversion of an existing hotel into a multiple dwelling, the use of the ballroom and pool space as a physical culture establishment without the required accessory parking.

PREMISES AFFECTED—101-121 Hicks Street, southeast corner of Pineapple Street, Block 231, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #2BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Henry George Greene.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—No recommendation received.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on June 19, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 3, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 28, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #89/1978, reads:

"A1. The proposed Racquet Ball Facility (Physical Culture Establishment) which is a commercial use, Use Group 9A, is not permitted as per Section 52-34 Z.R.

A2. Provide required off-street parking for the proposed Racquet Ball Facility, Restaurant and Retail Store as per Section 25-31 Z.R."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the building area under appeal has been used for commercial ventures for many years; and

WHEREAS, the use herein complies with the conditions of Section 73-76 for similar establishments having a swimming pool or a regulation size racquet ball or squash courts; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R7-1 (LH-1) district, in conjunction

with the conversion of an existing hotel into a multiple dwelling, the use of the ballroom and pool area as a physical culture establishment without the required accessory parking *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received March 21, 1979", 11 sheets; and *on further condition* that this variance shall be limited to a term of fifteen (15) years; that the hours of operation be limited to from 8:00 A.M. to 11:00 P.M. seven days per week; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

Adjourned: 1:30 P.M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY AFTERNOON, July 3, 1979, 2 P.M.

Present: Chairman Fossella, Vice President Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf.

254-79-A

APPLICANT—M. Milton Glass for 207 East 37th Street, c/o Walter and Samuels, Incorporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 7, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner—re- Elevator in readiness

PREMISES AFFECTED—424-432 Park Avenue South, 51-53 East 29th Street, northwest corner, Block 859, Lot 39, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

M. Milton Glass.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the letter of the Fire Commissioner, dated March 1, 1979, on Folder #138501.01, reads:

"In reply to your request of February 20th, 1979 for a Quick Response Elevator Service at the above premises is hereby denied. However, this denial may be used in filing your appeal with the Board of Standards and Appeals for a variance."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Fire Commissioner, dated March 1, 1979 acting on Folder #138501.01 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted* for a term of 10 years *on condition* that an approved agency, namely Quick Response Service, Inc. in accordance with Cal. #630-56-G.R. be permitted to perform the service; that the records and reports required by the Fire Department shall be maintained; that the sprinkler system have a central office connection; and that should the service for any reason be discontinued that this grant shall automatically lapse; and *on further conditions* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings marked received "March 7, 1979", 1 sheet; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

292-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Murray Berman, owner.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application March 19, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—533 Lynn Street, north side, 100 feet east of Aviston Street, Block 4681, Lot 17, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

ACTION OF THE BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE:

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1423/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code.

2. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map therefore:

a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued, as per Article 3 Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code)."

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1423/78 Objection No. 1 and 2 and be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 2 and is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 19, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

293-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Murray Berman, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 19, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed

use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—531 Lynn Street, north side, 127 feet east of Aviston Street, Block 4681, Lot 16, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1424/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code.

2. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map therefore:

a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued, as per Article 3 Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code)."

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1424/78 Objection No. 1 and 2 and be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 2 and is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 19, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

294-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Murray Berman, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 19, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

MINUTES

PREMISES AFFECTED—527 Lynn Street, north side, 154 feet east of Aviston Street, Block 4681, Lot 14, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1425/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code.

2. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map therefore:

a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued, as per Article 3 Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code)."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1425/78 Objection No. 1 and 2 and be it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 2 and is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 19, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

295-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Murray Berman, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 19, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—525 Lynn Street, north side, 181 feet east of Aviston Street, Block 4681, Lot 13, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1426/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code.

2. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map therefore:

a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued, as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code)."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1426/78, Objection No. 1 and 2 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 2 a and b is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 19, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

574-79-A

APPLICANT—George D. Kelly Kelro Consultants, Incorporated for Brooklyn Union Gas Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 16, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Department letter for High Expansion Foam System for LNG Tank 1, and 2.

PREMISES AFFECTED—287 Maspeth Avenue, entire block bounded by Maspeth Avenue, Varick Avenue, Lombardy Street, and Newton Creek, Borough of Brooklyn.

MINUTES

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Gorge D. Kelly and H. W. Peter.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the letter of the Fire Department, dated May 14, 1979, reads:

"We have received and reviewed your request for approval of a High Expansion Foam System for LNG Tanks 1 and 2 at the Greenpoint Plant on Maspeth Avenue. Your request for approval must be denied."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the High Expansion Foam System provides an additional fire suppression system on a voluntary basis.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Fire Commissioner, dated May 14, 1979 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the installation shall substantially conform to drawings marked "Received May 16, 1979", 6 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

614-77-A

APPLICANT—Joseph B. Raia for Ida Lo Bue, Owner.

SUBJECT—Application September 15, 1977—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—34 Overlook Avenue, south side, 311.86 feet west of Vista Avenue, Block 853, Lot 27, Dongan Hills, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCE—

For Applicant: Joseph B. Raia.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

532-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz Jr., for York Amusement Company, Incorporated, owner, Jolar Cinema, Incorporated lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1487 7th Avenue, west side, 40.5 feet south of 43rd Street, Block 1014, Lot 134, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ralph J. Schwarz.

For Opposition: A. Louis Munoz.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 2 P.M., for hearing.

533-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Fashion Wear Realty Corporation, owner, Coast Holding Corporation, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—113 West 42nd Street, north side, 150 feet west of Avenue of the Americas and West 42nd Street, Block 995, Lot 26, Borough of Manhattan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 2 P.M., for hearing.

534-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Sevco Realty Corporation, owner, Black Jack Distributors, Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—210-212 West 42nd Street, south side, 100 feet west of Seventh Avenue, Block 1013, Lot 37, Borough of Manhattan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 2 P.M., for hearing.

535-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz for Fun City Novelties Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—259 West 42nd Street, north side, 100 feet east of Eighth Avenue, Block 1014, Lot 5, Borough of Manhattan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 2 P.M., for hearing.

536-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Jean Messina and Kathryn Fahy, owner, Queens Paperbook Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—247 West 42nd Street, north side, 237.6 feet east of 8th Avenue, Block 1014, Lot 11, Borough of Manhattan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 2 P.M., for hearing.

539-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for 303 West 42nd Street Corporation, owner, Show-World Center Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—303 West 42nd Street, north side, 75 feet west of Eighth Avenue, Block 1033, Lot 32, Borough of Manhattan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 2 P.M., for hearing.

540-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Michael Zaffarano, owner, 1605 Book Center Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

MINUTES

PREMISES AFFECTED—1603-11 Broadway, west side, 19.6½ feet south of West 49th Street, Block 1020, Lot 43, Borough of Manhattan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 2 P.M., for hearing.

1102-78-A

APPLICANT—Gabriel Nathan Associates for Henrad Realty Company, Incorporated, owner, Loeb-Mayer, Incorporated, Lessee.

SUBJECT—Application November 22, 1978—filed pursuant to Section 35, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building in the bed of a mapped Street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—6402-6410 Rockaway Beach Boulevard, 201-07 Beach 64th Street, northwest corner, Block 15909, Lot 70, Rockaway Beach, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Gabriel Nathan.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

38-79-A

APPLICANT—Barbara Brummer, Vice President for Boyle-Midway Division of American Homes Products Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 10, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Wizard Fire Starter Gel" in one (1) ounce and two (2) ounce plastic pouches with heat sealed container not in compliance with the regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Gene F. Tappan.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened & laid over to October 2, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing, additional information to be submitted.

52-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—594 Sinclair Avenue, south side, 300 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 15, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

53-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—598 Sinclair Avenue, south side, 350 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 11, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

54-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—573 Sheldon Avenue, northwest corner of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 32, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

55-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—579 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 69.14 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 34, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

56-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—585 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 121.14 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 36, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

57-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—591 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 173.14 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 39, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

58-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—595 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 225.14 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 41, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

59-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—601 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 277.14 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 43, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

60-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—607 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 329.14 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 45, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

61-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—611 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 271.97 feet east of Huguenot Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 48, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

62-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—615 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 219.97 feet east of Huguenot Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 50, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

73-79-A

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for John Luciano, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 23, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting in a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—65 Englewood Avenue, north side, 817.37 feet east of Arthur Kill Road, Block 7380, Lot 18, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

234-79-A

APPLICANT—Strand Associates, Incorporated, owner.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of flammable mixture known as "Premium '8' Gas Line Anti-Freeze", in twelve (12) ounce HDPE with CR Cap, container not in compliance with the regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph F. Murphy.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

258-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Armin Weiss, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—92 Glascoe Avenue, southwest corner of Lathrop Avenue, Block 1456, Lot 20, Westerleigh, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979 at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

259-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Armin Weiss, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—100 Glascoe Avenue, west side, 90 feet south of Lathrop Avenue, Block 1456, Lot 25, Westerleigh, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979 at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

260-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Armin Weiss, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—104 Glascoe Avenue, west side, 130 feet south of Lathrop Avenue, Block 1456, Lot 27, Westerleigh, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

261-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Armin Weiss, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—108 Glascoe Avenue, west side, 70 feet north of Leonard Avenue, Block 1456, Lot 29, Westerleigh, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

264-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Armin Weiss, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—267 Leonard Avenue, northwest corner of Glascoe Avenue, Block 1456, Lot 32, Westerleigh, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

265-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Armin Weiss, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—273 Leonard Avenue, north side, 55.00 feet west of Glascoe Avenue, Block 1456, Lot 37, Westerleigh, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

333-79-A

APPLICANT—Henry George Greene for 82178 Realty Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- side, rear yards and proposed solarium enclosure.

PREMISES AFFECTED—38-40 East 61st Street, south side, 177 feet 4 inches of Madison Avenue, Block 1375, Lots 43 and 45, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Henry George Greene.

MINUTES

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

378-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, southeast corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 1, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

379-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 31.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 2, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

380-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 53.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 3, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

381-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 75.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 5, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

382-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 97.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 6, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

383-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 119.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 7, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

384-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 141.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 9, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

385-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 163.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 10, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

386-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 185.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 11, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

387-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 207.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 13, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

388-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 229.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 14, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

389-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 251.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 15, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

390-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 273.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 17, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

391-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 295.22 feet south of Richmond Road, Block 2400, Lot 18, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

392-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 317.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 19, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

393-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, southwest corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 21, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

394-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 29.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 22, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

395-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 51.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 23, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

396-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 73.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 25, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

397-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 95.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 26, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

398-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 117.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 27, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

399-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 139.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 29, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

400-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 161.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 30, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

401-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 184.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 31, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

402-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 205.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 33, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

403-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 227.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 34, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

404-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 249.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 35, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

405-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 271.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 37, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

405-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 293.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 38, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

407-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 315.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 39, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

408-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, southeast corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 58, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

409-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 31.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 57, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

410-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 53.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 56, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

411-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 75.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 54, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

412-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 97.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 53, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

413-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 119.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 52, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

414-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 141.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 50, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

415-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 163.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 49, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

416-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 185.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 48, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

417-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 207.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 46, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

418-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 229.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 45, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

419-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 251.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 44, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

420-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 273.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 42, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

421-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 295.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 41, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

422-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 317.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 40, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

423-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, southwest corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 60, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

424-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 29.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 61, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

425-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 51.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 62, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

426-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 73.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 64, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

427-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 95.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 65, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

428-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 117.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 66, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

429-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 139.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 68, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

430-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 161.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 69, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

431-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 183.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 70, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

432-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 205.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 72, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

433-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 227.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 73, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

434-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 249.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 74, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

435-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 271.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 76, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

436-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 293.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 77, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

437-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 315.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 78, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

438-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, southeast corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 98, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

439-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 31.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 97, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

440-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 53.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 96, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

441-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 75.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 94, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

442-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 97.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 93, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

443-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 119.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 92, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

444-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 141.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 90, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

445-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 163.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 89, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

446-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 185.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 88, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

447-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 207.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 86, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

448-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 229.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 85, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

449-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 251.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 84, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

450-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 273.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 82, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

451-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 295.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 81, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

452-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 317.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 80, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

453-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, southwest corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 100, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

454-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 29.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 101, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

455-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 51.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 102, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

456-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 73.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 104, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

457-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 95.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 105, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroil, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

458-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 117.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 106, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

459-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 139.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 108, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

460-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 611.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 109, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

461-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 183.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Court, Block 2400, Lot 110, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

462-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 205.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 112, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

463-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 227.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 113, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

464-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 249.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 114, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

465-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 271.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 116, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

466-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 293.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 117, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

467-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 315.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 118, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

468-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, southeast corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 138, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

469-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 31.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 137, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

470-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 53.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 136, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

471-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 75.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 134, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

472-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 97.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 133, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

473-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 119.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 132, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

474-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 141.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 130, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

475-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 163.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 129, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

476-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 185.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 128, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

477-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 307.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 126, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

478-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 229.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 125, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

479-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 251.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 124, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

480-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 273.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 122, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

481-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 295.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 121, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

482-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 317.82 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 120, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

483-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, southwest corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 140, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

484-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 29.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 141, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

485-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 51.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 142, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

486-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 73.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 144, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

487-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 95.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 145, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

488-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 117.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 146, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

489-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 132.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 148, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

490-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 161.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 149, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

491-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 183.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 150, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

492-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 205.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 152, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

493-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 227.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 153, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

494-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 249.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 154, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

495-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 271.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 156, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

496-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 293.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 157, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

497-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 315.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 158, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

505-79-A

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Isaac Battino, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 25, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- Proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water, (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—92 Arbutus Avenue, west side, 767 feet south of Amboy Road, Block 6559, Lot 65, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

506-79-A

APPLICANT—Tufo, Johnston, Zuccotti and Allegaert for Olympia and York Park Plaza Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 25, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Partitions, exit elevator, stairs and escalators, of Building Code.

PREMISES AFFECTED—464-466 Lexington Avenue, southwest corner of 46th Street, Block 1300, Lot 14, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Tufo, Johnston, Zuccotti and Allegaert, Nathaniel Stein and Philip Martines.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing; additional information.

508-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Save Way Coney Island Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 25, 1979—appeal from a decision of Fire Commissioner re- conversion to self service gasoline station.

PREMISES AFFECTED—914 Coney Island Avenue, southwest corner of 18th Avenue, Block 5418, Lots 27, 38 and 39, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino, S. P. Russo and D. De Benadictus.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 10, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

523-79-A

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for John Consiglio, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water. (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—130 Rensselaer Avenue, east side 200 feet south of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5705, Lot 30, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

612-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Steven Nagel, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 22, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water, (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—41 Sloane Avenue, east side, 141.73 feet south of Chance Avenue, Block 953, Lot 271, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

613-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Steven Nagel, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 22, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water, (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—47 Sloane Avenue, east side, 131.61 feet south of Chance Avenue, Block 953, Lot 261, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

614-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Steven Nagel, owner.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application May 22, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water, (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—51 Sloane Avenue east side, 121.48 feet south of Chance Avenue, Block 953, Lot 251, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

615-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Steven Nagel, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 22, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water, (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—55 Sloane Avenue, southeast corner of Chance Avenue, Block 953, Lot 241, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

Adjourned: 4:00 P.M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

CORRECTIONS

CORRECTION*

The resolution adopted May 22, 1979 under Calendar Number 1139-78-BZ and printed in Bulletin No. 21 Volume LXIV is hereby corrected to read as follows:

1139-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Jefferson City Estates, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application December 19, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 (SRD) district, on a plot with less than the required lot area and lot width, the erection of a two story dwelling structure with medical offices on the first floor that encroaches on the required front and side yards and with a curb cut on arterial highway.

PREMISES AFFECTED—621 Katan Avenue, northwest corner of Richmond Avenue, Block 5603, Lot 6, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #3S.I.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.
For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Opposed to the application.

ACTION OF THE BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on May 15, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to May 22, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 2, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #825/1976, reads:

"1. Proposed lot width of 36'-0" and proposed lot area of 3600 sq. ft. for a detached two story, 1 family and medical officers in an R3-2 district within the Special

South Richmond Development District is contrary to Section 107-42 (ZR).

2. Proposed curb cut on an arterial street (Richmond Ave.) is contrary to Sec. 107-251 (a) (ZR).

3. Proposed 10'-0" building setback from front lot line abutting on arterial street (Richmond Ave.) is contrary to Sec. 107-251 (b) (ZR).

4. Proposed 5'-0" side yard for medical offices (Use Group #4 Community Facility) is contrary to Sec. 24-35 (ZR).

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta; and

WHEREAS, this proposed dwelling and dentist's office are uses permitted under the presenting zoning regulations; and

WHEREAS, the building complies with the setbacks required prior to the Special District designation; and

WHEREAS, the front yards are consistent with the front yards on adjoining plots; and

WHEREAS, the premises is to be occupied with an individual dentist's facility; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 (SRD) district, on a plot with less than the required lot area and lot width, the erection of a two-story dwelling structure with medical offices on the first floor that encroaches on the required front and side yards and with a curb cut on an arterial highway, *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received December 19, 1978", 2 sheets and "March 6, 1979", 3 sheets; and *on further condi-*

* The resolution has been corrected to read N.B. Applic. #825/1976 rather than N.B. Applic. #825/1979.

CORRECTIONS

tion that a smoke detector be installed on each level including the cellar; that the dentist's facility be restricted to a single practitioner with a maximum of three (3) employees; that the hours of operation be limited to from 9:00 A.M. to 8:30 P.M. on business days, closed on Sunday; that no Building Department permit shall be issued until 31 days after the date of certification of this resolution; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

CORRECTION*

The resolution adopted March 27, 1979 and printed in Bulletin No. 14, Volume LXIV under Calendar Number 240-78-A is hereby corrected to read as follows:

240-78-A

APPLICANT—Robert Allen Fuller for The Kingsford Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 3, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Match Light Briquets", consisting of "K-28" (Charcoal impregnated with solvent) with a flash point of 180° F. and "Conoco LPA Solvent" with a flash point of 162° F., in four (4) pound baler bags with no type of airtight replaceable cap or top, packaging not in Compliance with the Regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Robert A. Fuller.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Fire Commissioner, dated April 5, 1978, on Folder #122-1724 (C.M.), reads:

"We regret to inform you that your application to register your products 'Match Light Briquets' consisting of 'K-28' (Charcoal impregnated with solvent) a Combustible Mixture with a flash point of 180° F. (T.O.C.) and 'Conoco LPA Solvent' a Combustible Mixture with a flash point of 162° F. (T.O.C.) in containers as follows: #4 and #8 (container enclosed #4) with no type of air tight replaceable cap or top is *disapproved*. Such containers are not in compliance with our regulations to wit: Sec. C19-62.0.b of the New York City Administrative Code requires that containers of this type for combustible mixtures be of metal with replaceable tops or caps."

and

WHEREAS, the drawing and sample of the package were reviewed by the Board, and it was determined that the appeal be granted under certain conditions:

Resolved, that the decision of the Fire Commissioner dated April 5, 1978 acting on Folder Number 122-1724 (C.M.) be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the package comply with drawing filed "February 20, 1979", 1 sheet, that the modification shall apply to "Match Light Briquets" in 4 pound baler bags only; and *on further condition* that the label on the package be in such style and wording as is satisfactory to the Fire Commissioner; that any descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, and bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and where possible, the item itself shall include the wording "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Cal. No. 240-78-A", that the 4 pound bag be labeled "Maximum storage capacity shall not exceed 500 pounds in any single retail

establishment without sprinklers", and that in all other respects all laws, rules and regulations applicable shall be complied with.

* The resolution is corrected to add closed quotes after the words without sprinklers.

CORRECTION*

The resolution adopted March 27, 1979 under Calendar Number 316-78-A and published in Bulletin No. 14, Volume LXIV, is hereby corrected to read as follows:

316-78-A

APPLICANT—Robert Allen Fuller for The Kingsford Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application, May 1, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Match Light Briquets", consisting of "K-28" (Charcoal impregnated with solvent) with a flash point of 180° F. and Conoco LPA Solvent, in eight pound (8 lb.) baler bags, with no type of airtight replaceable cap or top, bags not in Compliance with the Regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Robert A. Fuller.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Fire Commissioner, dated April 5, 1978, on Folder #122-1724 (C.M.), reads:

"We regret to inform you that your application to register your products 'Match Light Briquets' consisting of 'K-28' (Charcoal impregnated with solvent) a Combustible Mixture with a flash point of 180° F. (T.O.C.) and 'Conoco LPA Solvent' a Combustible Mixture with a flash point of 162° F. (T.O.C.) in containers as follows: #4 and #8 (container enclosed #4) with no type of air-tight replaceable cap or top is *disapproved*. Such containers are not in compliance with our regulations to wit: Sec. C19-62.0.b of the New York City Administrative Code requires that containers of this type for combustible mixtures be of metal with replaceable tops or caps."

and

WHEREAS, the drawing and sample of the package were reviewed by the Board, and it was determined that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Fire Commissioner dated April 5, 1978 acting on Folder Number 122-1724 (C.M.) be and it *hereby is modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the container comply with drawing filed "February 20, 1979", 1 sheet, that the modification shall apply to "Match Light Briquets" in 8 pound baler bags only; and *on further condition* that the label on the package be in such style and wording as is satisfactory to the Fire Commissioner; that any descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, and bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and where possible, the item itself, shall include the wording "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Cal. No. 316-78-A", that the 8 pound bag be labeled "Maximum storage capacity shall not exceed 500 pounds in any single retail establishment without sprinklers", and that in all other respects all laws, rules and regulations applicable shall be complied with.

* The resolution is corrected to add closed quotes after the words without sprinklers.

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS
80 LAFAYETTE STREET
NEW YORK, N. Y. 10013

BULK RATE
U. S. Postage
PAID
New York, N. Y.
Permit No. 4875

University of Illinois Library
Att: Serial Dept.
Urbana, Ill. 61801

BULLETIN

OF THE

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

Issued under authority of Chapter 27, Section 665 of the Charter of the City of New York
Published weekly by the Board of Standards and Appeals at its office, 80 Lafayette Street, 9th Floor, Manhattan,
New York, N. Y. 10013

Vol. LXIV Subscription July 19, 1979 By Mail, 65 cents (Cash only)
\$25.00 a year Single Copies, 50 cents No. 28

THE LIBRARY OF THE

DIRECTORY

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

VITO J. FOSSELLA, P.E., M.C.E., Chairman

PHILIP P. AGUSTA, R.A., M.U.P., *Vice Chairman*

HARRY M. CARROLL, P.E.

JOHN J. WALSH, P.E.

JOHN B. CINCOTTA

STANLEY M. WOLF, R.A.

Commissioners.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

RICHARD B. ATWELL, *Deputy Director*

FRANCES M. WALDRON, *Secretary*

DARRELL L. GAVRIN, J.D., *Counsel*

AVRIL H. LATHAM, *Chief Clerk*

OFFICE—80 Lafayette Street, 9th Floor, Manhattan, New
York, N. Y. 10013.

TELEPHONE—566-5174.

CONTENTS

Docket.

Notice of Hearing of Calendar.

Minutes of Regular Meeting, Tuesday Morning, July 10,
1979, Affecting Calendar Numbers—

594-50-BZ—	516-75-BZ	318-79-BZ
Vol. II	1083-78-BZ	337-79-BZ
850-53-BZ	1136-78-BZ	344-79-BZ
410-59-BZ	1157-78-A	577-79-A
1290-66-BZ—	33-79-BZ	367-79-BZ
Vol. II	127-79-BZ	368-79-A
295-74-BZ	128-79-A	504-79-BZ
518-77-BZ	129-79-BZ	507-79-BZ
988-77-BZ	172-79-BZ	94-79-BZ
81-78-A	273-79-BZ	251-79-BZ
201-69-BZ	282-79-BZ	319-79-BZ
21-74-BZ		

Minutes of Regular Meeting, Tuesday Afternoon, July 10,
1979, Affecting Calendar Numbers—

446-59-SA	621-76-SM	207-79-SA
142-74-SM	153-77-SM	564-79-SM
620-76-SM	109-79-SM	570-79-BCR

627-79-SA	381-79-A	440-79-A	554-79-A
544-79-SM	382-79-A	441-79-A	555-79-A
611-79-SM	383-79-A	442-79-A	556-75-A
614-77-A	384-79-A	443-79-A	5-78-A
381-78-A	385-79-A	444-79-A	209-78-A
1102-78-A	386-79-A	445-79-A	172-78-A
52-79-A	387-79-A	446-79-A	48-79-A
53-79-A	388-79-A	447-79-A	74-79-A
54-79-A	389-79-A	448-79-A	79-79-A
55-79-A	390-79-A	449-79-A	80-79-A
56-79-A	391-79-A	450-79-A	81-79-A
57-79-A	392-79-A	451-79-A	82-79-A
58-79-A	393-79-A	452-79-A	83-79-A
59-79-A	394-79-A	453-79-A	84-79-A
60-79-A	395-79-A	454-79-A	85-79-A
61-79-A	396-79-A	455-79-A	86-79-A
62-79-A	397-79-A	456-79-A	87-79-A
73-79-A	398-79-A	457-79-A	88-79-A
114-79-A	399-79-A	458-79-A	89-79-A
115-79-A	400-79-A	459-79-A	90-79-A
116-79-A	401-79-A	460-79-A	91-79-A
117-79-A	402-79-A	461-79-A	92-79-A
118-79-A	403-79-A	462-79-A	93-79-A
119-79-A	404-79-A	463-79-A	269-79-A
120-79-A	405-79-A	464-79-A	320-79-A
121-79-A	406-79-A	465-79-A	321-79-A
122-79-A	407-79-A	466-79-A	322-79-A
123-79-A	408-79-A	467-79-A	323-79-A
124-79-A	409-79-A	468-79-A	324-79-A
125-79-A	410-79-A	469-79-A	325-79-A
173-79-A	411-79-A	470-79-A	326-79-A
174-79-A	412-79-A	471-79-A	327-79-A
175-79-A	413-79-A	472-79-A	328-79-A
176-79-A	414-79-A	473-79-A	329-79-A
234-79-A	415-79-A	474-79-A	330-79-A
237-79-A	416-79-A	475-79-A	331-79-A
238-79-A	417-79-A	476-79-A	352-79-A
239-79-A	418-79-A	477-79-A	369-79-A
240-79-A	419-79-A	478-79-A	513-79-A
241-79-A	420-79-A	479-79-A	525-79-A
242-79-A	421-79-A	480-79-A	526-79-A
243-79-A	422-79-A	481-79-A	527-79-A
244-79-A	423-79-A	482-79-A	528-79-A
245-79-A	424-79-A	483-79-A	529-79-A
246-79-A	425-79-A	484-79-A	530-79-A
247-79-A	426-79-A	485-79-A	531-79-A
248-79-A	427-79-A	486-79-A	532-79-A
249-79-A	428-79-A	487-79-A	533-79-A
250-79-A	429-79-A	488-79-A	534-79-A
258-79-A	430-79-A	489-79-A	535-79-A
259-79-A	431-79-A	490-79-A	536-79-A
260-79-A	432-79-A	491-79-A	537-79-A
261-79-A	433-79-A	492-79-A	538-79-A
264-79-A	434-79-A	493-79-A	539-79-A
265-79-A	435-79-A	494-79-A	540-79-A
333-79-A	436-79-A	495-79-A	541-79-A
378-79-A	437-79-A	496-79-A	620-79-A
379-79-A	438-79-A	497-79-A	
380-79-A	439-79-A	508-79-A	

Filing Fees.

CALENDAR

DOCKET

New Cases Filed up to July 10, 1979

Cal. No. Dept. Premises Affected

764-79-BZ—B.M.—644-654 Greenwich Street, 111-115 Barrow Street, southwest corner, Block 603, Lot 37, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M. Alt. #76-79 re- proposed residence bldg. (U.G.2) located in M1-5 district contrary to 42-00 Z. R.

765-79-A—B.M.—644-654 Greenwich Street, 111-115 Barrow Street, southwest corner, Block 603, Lot 37, Borough of Manhattan. Alt. #76-79 re- proposed enlargement (penthouse of bldg. converted under Art. 7-B contrary to 277 MDL.

766-79-A—B.S.I.—295 Shirley Avenue, north side, 125.13 feet west of Harold Avenue, Block 6367, Lot 42, Princess Bay. Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1336-77 re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

767-79-A—B.S.I.—6 Adams Avenue, south side of Richmond Road, Block 3562, Lot 49, Dongan Hills, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1644/78 re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

768-79-A—B.S.I.—8 Adams Avenue, south side of Richmond Road, Block 3562, Lot 49, Dongan Hills, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1645-87 re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

769-79-A—B.S.I.—10 Adams Avenue, south side of Richmond Road, Block 3562, Lot 49 Dongan Hills, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1646-78 re proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

770-79-BZ—B.M.—179 Christopher Street, north side, 77.6 feet north of Weehawken Street, Block 636, Lot 37, Borough of Manhattan, Community Board #2M. Alt. #296-79 re- proposed U. G. 2 residential apartments contrary to 42-10 Z.R.

771-79-A—B.S.I.—324 Ocean Terrace, south side, 1578.13 feet east of Todt Hill Road, Block 864, Lot 16, Todt Hill, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #767-79 re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

772-79-BZ—B.B.—1121 59th Street, north side, 153.8 feet east of 11th Avenue, Block 5703, Lot 66, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK. N.B. #52-79 re- proposed three family building—front yard and side yard 23-45 and 23-462 Z.R.

773-79-BZ—B.B.—1123 59th Street, north side, 174.10 feet east of 11th Avenue, Block 5703, Lot 64, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK. N.B. #51-79 re- proposed three family building—front yard and side yard and open space 23-45, 23-462 and 23-141 Z.R.

774-79-SA—Class B Control Panel—1-010-0521, 0544, 0505 and 0546. Chemetron Fire Systems, owner.

775-79-BZ—B.M.—407-13 East 91st Street, north side, 169 feet east of First Avenue, Block 1571, Lot 8, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M. Alt. #676-79 re- proposed change of use from factory to general residential contrary to 31-18, 32-00 and 32-10 Z.R.

776-79-A—B.M.—407-13 East 91st Street, north side, 169 feet east of First Avenue, Block 1571, Lot 8, Borough of Manhattan. Alt. #697-79 re- proposed residential unit on

east side of 1st floor having less than minimum horizontal distance required between windows 277 (7), (b) (1) (c) and (d) MDL.

777-79-BZ—B.M.—21 Beekman Place, north side, 54 feet east of East 50th Street, Block 1361, Lot 117, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #6M. N.B. #42-78 re- height of front wall and set backs contrary to 23-632 Z.R.

778-79-A—B.S.I.—311 Edgegrove Avenue, west side, 579.05 feet north of Albee Avenue, Block 6278, Lot 20, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #502-79 re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

779-79-A—B.S.I.—307 Edgegrove Avenue, west side, 549.05 feet north of Albee Avenue, Block 6278, Lot 18, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #501-79 re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

780-79-A—B.S.I.—369 Harold Avenue, northeast corner of Kinghorn Street, Block 5405, Lot 47, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #314-79 re- proposed bldg. not fronting on legally mapped street and proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

781-79-BZ—B.BX.—947 Castle Hill Avenue, northwest corner of Bruckner Boulevard, Block 3688, Lot 42, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #9BX. Alt. #63-79 re- providing front and side yards 24-39 Z.R.

DESIGNATIONS: D—Department of Buildings; B.B.—Department of Buildings, Brooklyn; B.M.—Department of Buildings, Manhattan; B.Q.—Department of Buildings, Queens; B.S.I.—Department of Buildings, Staten Island; B.Bx.—Department of Buildings, Bronx; H.D.—Health Department; F.D.—Fire Department.

SEPTEMBER 25, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday morning, September 25, 1979, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013 on the following:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

251-58-BZ

APPLICANT—Carlo Nuzzi for John Colangelo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the use of vacant plot for a parking lot for the parking and storage of more than (5) motor vehicles, pleasure type.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2446-2450 Beaumont Avenue, east side, 238.57 feet south of East 189th Street and 2445-2449 Crotona Avenue, Block 3105, Lot 17, Borough of the Bronx. Community Board #6BX.

635-57-BZ

APPLICANT—George Perotto for 115 Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the use of the first floor as a legation for the Republic of Sudan to the United Nations and the second floor as an office for the Pharmaceutical Information Bureau.

CALENDAR

PREMISES AFFECTED—115 East 69th Street, north side, 185 feet east of Park Avenue, Block 1404, Lot 8, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

516-43-A

APPLICANT—Saul Goldsmith for Welbilt Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the acting Borough Superintendent re- bed of mapped street; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—57-46 Flushing Avenue, 59-05 60th Avenue, 58-12 59th Drive and 59-83 59th Street, east side, 214 feet 10 inches south of 59th Drive, Block 2649, Lot 113 (formerly Block 2649, Lots 113 and 115, Block 2653, Lot 5), Maspeth, Borough of Queens.

1055-64-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Bethpage Harding Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C2-2 district, in an existing building occupied as a radio transmitting station and restaurant, the extension of the use to include catering and restaurant without restriction.

PREMISES AFFECTED—173-11 to 173-15 Horace Harding Expressway, 59-48 to 59-60 174th Street, northwest corner, Block 6887, Lot 74, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

11-69-A

APPLICANT—Larry Meltzer for Island Transportation Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- use of unapproved trailer tank trucks in City of New York—transportation of fuel oil in 8,000 gallon tractor-trailers not in conformity with requirements of Administrative Code of City of New York; previously granted on condition.

936-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel H. Lindenbaum for 499 Park Avenue Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to withdraw the application for extension of time to complete which expired July 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution permitting in a C5-3R district, the erection of a twenty five story, store and office building that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, penetrates the sky exposure plane and without the required accessory loading berth.

PREMISES AFFECTED—487-499 Park Avenue, southeast corner of East 59th Street, Block 1313, Lot 4, Borough of Manhattan.

ZONING CASES

70-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Guardian Federal Savings and Loan Association, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 22, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning

Resolution, to permit in a R6 district in an existing four story building, the conversion of accessory garage space into apartments that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio, lot area per room and the parking requirements.

PREMISES AFFECTED—37-06 104th Street, 103-14 37th Avenue, southwest corner, Block 1769, Lot 7, Corona, Borough of Queens. Community Board #3Q.

275-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 660 of the New York City Charter, to permit in an R6 district the erection of a three story and cellar, three family dwelling that encroaches on the required side and rear yards.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1518-53rd Street, south side, 137.6 feet east of 15th Avenue, Block 5477, Lot 12, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

276-79-A

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1518 53rd Street, south side, 137.6 feet east of 15th Avenue, Block 5477, Lot 12, Borough of Brooklyn.

291-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Herbert Gallin Associated for Frank and Joseph Coretti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 16, 1979—decision of the Superintendent, under Section 11-413 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit C8-1 and R-4 district the change in use of an existing one story building previously before the Board from a garage into an automobile repair shop.

PREMISES AFFECTED—911 Sackett Avenue, north side, 94.24 feet east of Bronxdale Avenue, Block 4058, Lot 25, Borough of Bronx. Community Board #11BX.

346-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Harry Soled for Eugene Lichtenstein, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 4, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R-6 district, the erection of an enlargement to the first floor of an existing three story and basement multiple dwelling that creates non-compliance in open space ratio and rear yard obstruction.

PREMISES AFFECTED—221 Keap Street, north side, 121 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2194, Lot 46, Borough of Brooklyn.

347-79-A

APPLICANT—Harry Soled for Eugene Lichtenstein, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 4, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- open space ratio.

PREMISES AFFECTED—221 Keap Street, north side, 121 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2194, Lot 46, Borough of Brooklyn.

CALENDAR

270-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Paul Siegel Antiques, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a C6-1 district, on a Zoning lot containing two commercial structures, the conversion of both buildings into apartments that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio and lot area per room on one building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—808 Broadway, 110 Fourth Avenue, east side, 240.4 feet north of East 10th Street, Block 557, Lots 9 and 26, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

Laid over from July 3, 1979, for hearing.

271-79-A

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Paul Siegel Antiques, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—808 Broadway, 110 Fourth Avenue, east side, 240.4 feet north of East 10th Street, Block 557, Lots 9 and 26, Borough of Manhattan.

Laid over from July 3, 1979, for hearing.

552-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Estate of Mary J. Lange, owner. Manoidis Enterprises, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-2 and R6 (SR) district, in conjunction with the erection of a one story restaurant, the expansion of the open accessory parking facility into the residence district.

PREMISES AFFECTED—9011 Third Avenue, east side, 58.1¼ feet south of 90th Street, Block 6081, Lots 3, 4, 6, 11, 13, 14 and 15, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #10BK.

Laid over from July 17, 1979 for hearing.

695-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Roy-Al Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 18, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C8-4 district the enlargement in floor area and the change in use of an existing manufacturing building into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—314 West 11th Street/721 Washington Street, northeast corner, Block 634, Lot 47, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

Laid over from June 26, 1979, for continued hearing.

696-78-A

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Roy-Al Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 18, 1978—Appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—341 West 11th Street, northeast corner of Washington Street, Block 634, Lot 47, Borough of Manhattan.

Laid over from June 26, 1979, for continued hearing.

SEPTEMBER 25, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing *Tuesday afternoon, September 25, 1979*, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

338-79-A

APPLICANT—Sajra Discount Department Stores, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 29, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- Sprinkler System.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1963 Prospect Avenue, northwest corner of East Tremont Avenue, Block 3093, Lot 47, Borough of The Bronx.

519-79-A

APPLICANT—Vincent John Bartone for St. Nektarios Greek Orthodox Church, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed change in occupancy from a dwelling to a Church.

PREMISES AFFECTED—156-03 Sanford Avenue, northeast corner of 156th Street, Block 5329, Lot 3, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

553-79-A

APPLICANT—William E. Cratty for Intercontinental Lubricants Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner, re- packaging of inflammable mixture known as Old New England Lamp Oil in plastic bottle, not in compliance with regulation of the Administrative Code of New York City.

558-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Ralten Real Estate and Building Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—5394 Amboy Road, south side, 40.27 feet west of Stecher Street, Block 6570, Lot 30, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

561-79-A

APPLICANT—E. E. La Rue for Siloo, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner, re- packaging of inflammable mixture known as Siloo Gas Line Anti-Freeze in plastic bottle, not in compliance with regulations of the Administrative Code of New York City.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 2, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, *Tuesday morning October 2, 1979*, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

624-38-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—BECA Realty Corporation, owner.

CALENDAR

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired May 28, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, on a plot presently occupied with a two story dwelling and two car garage, the use of the unbuilt upon portion for the parking and storage of more than five (5) motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3335 Hull Avenue, west side, 224.67 feet north of East 209th Street, Block 3348, Lots 66 and 70, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #7BX.

752-64-BZ

APPLICANT—Max Siegel Associates for Schnurmacher Brothers, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires October 20, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 60 (3) of the Multiple Dwelling Law, permitting in a C5-1 and R8 district, in an existing multiple dwelling, the addition of transient parking for the unused and surplus tenants spaces within the required accessory garage.

PREMISES AFFECTED—999 Madison Avenue, east side, from East 77th Street to East 78th Street, 47 East 77th Street, 40 East 78th Street, Block 1392, Lot 49, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

928-53-BZ

APPLICANT—Charles M. Spindler Associates for Sun Oil Company (Sunmark Industries), owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired June 15, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7f of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a business use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline service station, auto washing, lubricatorium, storage and sale of accessories and office.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1982-1992 Utica Avenue and 4914-4924 Avenue L, southwest corner, Block 7847, Lot 44, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #18BK.

632-19-BZ—Vol. III

APPLICANT—Henry M. Garsson for Metromedia, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, in an existing four story building, the change in occupancy from public garage to studios, offices and storage incidental to radio and television broadcasting with accessory garage.

PREMISES AFFECTED—215-217 East 67th Street, north side, 275 feet east of Third Avenue, Block 1422, Lot 12, Borough of Manhattan.

ZONING CASES

63-79-BZ

APPLICANT—George J. Meltzer for John Menzollillo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R-4 district the erection of a one story and cellar enlargement to an existing two family

dwelling and dentist's office to be used as a funeral establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—58-12 Main Street, 138-30 58th Avenue, southwest corner, Block 6377, Lots 30 and 34, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

64-79-A

APPLICANT—George J. Meltzer for John Manzollillo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re-proposed use of frame structure as Dental office.

PREMISES AFFECTED—58-12 Main Street and 138-30 58th Avenue, southwest corner, Block 6377, Lots 30 and 34, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

266-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Taki J. Langas for Flushing Hospital and Medical Center, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-21 and 73-641 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R-4 district, the erection of a new six story building to an existing Medical Center that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, encroaches on the required front yard, penetrates the sky exposure plane and with less than the required accessory parking.

PREMISES AFFECTED—146-01 45th Avenue, northeast corner of Burling Street, Block 5199, Lots 7, 8, 9, 10, 110 21 and 21T, Block 5198, Lots 12 and 25, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

267-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Taki J. Langas for Flushing Hospital and Medical Center, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 73-451 and 73-452 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R3-2 district, the construction and maintenance of an off-site accessory parking facility for an existing medical center.

PREMISES AFFECTED—144-11 45th Avenue, north side, 50 feet west of Burling Street, Block 5196, Lots 3, 5, 10, 77, 79, and 82, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

268-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Taki J. Langas for Flushing Hospital and Medical Center, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 73-451 and 73-452 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R3-2 district, the construction and maintenance of an off-site accessory parking facility for a medical center.

PREMISES AFFECTED—144-14 45th Avenue, south side, 75 feet west of Burling, Block 5204, Lots 4 and 9, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

277-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a R-5 district the erection of a three story and cellar three family dwelling that encroaches on the required front, side and rear yards.

CALENDAR

PREMISES AFFECTED—1641-49th Street, north side, 320 feet east of 16th Avenue, Block 5448, Lot 74, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

278-79-A

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1641-49th Street, north side, 320 feet east of 16th Avenue, Block 5448, Lot 12, Borough of Brooklyn.

298-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Lidia Properties, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 20, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution to permit in a C6-1 district, in an existing seven story building, the conversion of the second through seventh floors into joint living/working quarters for artists.

PREMISES AFFECTED—351/53 Jay Street, east side, 147.7 feet south of Myrtle Avenue, Block 147, Lot 9, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #9BK.

299-79-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Lidia Properties, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 20, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- bedroom windows.

PREMISES AFFECTED—351-353 Jay Street, east side, 147 feet 7 inches south of Myrtle Avenue, Block 147, Lot 9, Borough of Brooklyn.

129-79-BZ

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger or Daniel Rubin, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing warehouse that increases the degree of non-conformity.

PREMISES AFFECTED—172-47 Baisley Boulevard, west side, 142.31 feet south of Merrill Street, Block 12390, Lot 121, Jamaica, Borough of Queens. Community Board #12Q.

Laid over from July 10, 1979, for continued hearing.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 2, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, *Tuesday afternoon, October 2, 1979*, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters;

532-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for York Amusement Company, Incorporated, owner, Jolar Cinema, Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1487 7th Avenue, west side, 40.5 feet south of 43rd Street, Block 1014, Lot 134, Borough of Manhattan.

533-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Fashion Wear Realty Corporation, owner, Coast Holding Corporation, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—113 West 42nd Street, north side, 150 feet west of Avenue of the Americas and West 42nd Street, Block 995, Lot 26, Borough of Manhattan.

534-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Sevco Realty Corporation, owner, Black Jack Distributors, Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—210-212 West 42nd Street, south side, 100 feet west of Seventh Avenue, Block 1013, Lot 37, Borough of Manhattan.

535-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Fun City Novelty Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—259 West 42nd Street, north side, 100 feet east of Eighth Avenue, Block 1014, Lot 5, Borough of Manhattan.

536-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Jean Messina and Kathryn Fahy, owner, Queens Paperbook Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—247 West 42nd Street, north side, 237.6 feet east of 8th Avenue, Block 1014, Lot 11, Borough of Manhattan.

539-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for 303 West 42nd Street Corporation, owner, Show-World Center Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—303 West 42nd Street, north side, 75 feet west of Eighth Avenue, Block 1033, Lot 32, Borough of Manhattan.

540-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph L. Schwarz, Jr. for Michael Zaffarano, owner, 1605 Book Center Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

CALENDAR

PREMISES AFFECTED—1603-11 Broadway, west side, 19.6½ feet south of West 49th Street, Block 1020, Lot 43, Borough of Manhattan.

603-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Henry Tausk, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water, (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—118 Elmbank Street, south side 84.70 feet east of Harold Avenue, Block 5407, Lot 6, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

604-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Henry Tausk, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—115 Elmbank Street, north side, 32.69 feet east of Harold Avenue, Block 5406, Lot 42, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

566-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Cast Dur Realty Company also known as Jet Sand and Stone Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 14, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Department of Ports and Terminals re- Storage of Asphalt.

PREMISES AFFECTED—38-05 College Point Boulevard,

south side, 22.63 feet, east of Whitestone Expressway, Block 4942, Lot 57, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

572-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Bessie Reichman, owner. Save Way Astoria Incorporated, Long-term, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application May 16, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- proposed conversion to Self Service gasoline station is Contrary to Sec. 19-73-0-b2 of the Administrative Code.

PREMISES AFFECTED—38-01 21st Street, southwest corner of 38th Avenue, Block 471, Lot 734, Astoria, Borough of Queens.

609-79-A

APPLICANT—Joseph B. Raia for Michael Ajello, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 22, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—124 Lenzie Street, south side, 460.45 feet west of Holdridge Avenue, Block 6361, Lot 95, Princess Bay, Borough of Staten Island.

38-79-A

APPLICANT—Barbara Brummer, Vice President for Boyle-Midway Division of American Homes Products Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 10, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Wizard Fire Starter Gel" in one (1) ounce and two (2) ounce plastic pouches with heat sealed container not in compliance with the regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY MORNING, JULY 10, 1979, 10 A.M.

Present: Chairman Fossella, Vice-Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf.

The minutes of the regular meetings of the Board held on Tuesday morning and afternoon, June 26, 1979, were approved in the Bulletin of July 5, 1975, Volume 64, Number 26.

594-50-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—William Vitacco for Church of St. Mary's, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and for extension of term of variance which expired March 12, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the parking and storage of more than five motor vehicles of the pleasure car type.

PREMISES AFFECTED—649-659 East 225th Street, north side, 205.02 feet east of Carpenter Avenue, Block 4827, Lots 29, 34 and 36, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #12BX.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: William R. Vitacco.

ACTION OF THE BOARD—Rules of Procedure waived, application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #12BX was notified by the applicant on May 10, 1979 and no response has been received, and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board as a Vol. II on November 25, 1958, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby waive the Rules of Procedure and *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on November 25, 1958 as amended through March 12, 1974 only as to the term of the variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"granted for a term of five years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . .; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects, and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 286-58)

850-53-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Theodore Tanner, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired May 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7f and 7i of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a business use district, the demolition of existing lumber yard and the erection and maintenance, for a period of twenty years, of a gasoline service station, lubricatorium, car wash, motor vehicle repairs, storage and sale of accessories; and to permit the parking and storage of motor vehicles on unbuilt upon portion of plot.

PREMISES AFFECTED—556-566 New Lots Avenue and 631-641 Van Siclen Avenue, southeast corner, Block 4305, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: James E. Vassalotti.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #5BK recommended approval, received on June 8, 1979; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on May 18, 1954, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on May 18, 1954 as amended through June 10, 1969 only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"granted for a term of ten years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . .; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (N.B. 1230-53).

410-59-BZ

APPLICANT—Gerald Levy for Nicholas and Kathryn Moccio, owners.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires March 11, 1980—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the maintenance of a parking lot for the parking and storage of more than (5) motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3121 Villa Avenue, northwest corner of East 204th Street, Block 3322, Lot 57, Borough of the Bronx. Community Board #7BX.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: William R. Vitacco.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #7BX. recommended approval received, on July 6, 1979; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on January 5, 1960 on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on January 5, 1960, as amended through March 11, 1975, only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"granted for a term of Five years from March 11, 1980, to permit . . .; on condition that the barbed wire be removed from the fence; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 396-59)

MINUTES

1290-66-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—Larry Meltzer for Abe Rothstein and Cyrus Gebber, owners.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of November 30, 1976—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R4 district, the erection of a one story building for use as a retail store.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1836-1846 Rockaway Parkway and 9625 Avenue M, northwest corner, Block 8261, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sidney J. Goldner, Michael D. Benjamin, Sal Ingrassia and Phillip Tepper.

For Opposition: Mildred Collins.

For Administration: Lawrence Ferlazzo, Dept. of Bldg.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and restored to the Docket, by the Board to be heard on September 11, 1979 on Special Order Calendar.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

295-74-BZ

APPLICANT—Edward Deitz for the Stouffers Corporation, Teeco Prop., Incorporated, owner. CP-Tishman East MGM, agents.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires July 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 72-21 and 72-01(b) of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C5-3F district, on the 39th Floor, the addition to the use of the existing restaurant and cocktail lounge to include cabaret.

PREMISES AFFECTED—666 Fifth Avenue, northwest corner of West 52nd Street, Block 1268, Lot 34, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Edward T. Dietz and William R. Vitacco.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #5M. recommended approval, received on June 20, 1979; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 23, 1974, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 23, 1974 only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"granted for a term of Five years from July 23, 1979, to permit . . . ; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 328-74)

518-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Diffendale and Kubec for WPOW, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired April 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution; permitting in an R3-2 SRD district, the enlargement in area and conversion of a community facility radio studio and tower into a commercial radio studio that does not comply with the special district regulation for sidewalks and landscaping.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1111 Woodrow Road, south side, 628.01 feet east of Powell Avenue, Block 6110, Lot 204, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Peter W. Diffendale.

ACTION OF BOARD—application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on April 18, 1978 on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on April 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 304-76).

988-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Maxfield Blaubeux and Heywood Blaubeux for Wing Chim Lau and Carmen Lau, owners.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired June 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R5 district, on a corner lot the erection of a three story, three family dwelling that encroaches on the required front yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—548 Prospect Avenue and 1684-1690 10th Avenue, southwest corner, Block 871, Lot 37, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Heywood Blaubeux.

ACTION OF BOARD—application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on June 13, 1978 on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on June 13, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

MINUTES

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (N.B. 199-77).

81-78-A

APPLICANT—Joseph Feingold for L. L. and F. Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- height, number of stories, and floor area for proposed converted dwelling is contrary to Multiple Dwelling Law; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—175 East 73rd Street, north side, 155 feet west of 3rd Avenue, Block 1408, Lot 30, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph Feingold and Stephen Lerner.

For Opposition: H. Rosenthal, Jane Bryan, Tony Rosenthal, Richard Eyen, Arrie Eiliecket and others.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar for decision, hearing closed.

201-69-BZ

APPLICANT—Abraham Grossman for Milbeck Apartments, Incorporated.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 1, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 60(3) of the Multiple Dwelling Law to permit in an R8 district, the addition to the use of an accessory parking lot for a multiple dwelling to include transient parking for the unused and surplus spaces.

PREMISES AFFECTED—309-313 West 30th Street, north side, 150 feet west of Eighth Avenue, Block 754, Lot 31, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Abraham Grossman.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision; Community Board to be notified; hearing closed.

21-74-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Ciampa Properties, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration request to waive the rules of procedure, extension of time to complete which expired October 26, 1977; and for amendment—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 73-44 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C4-2 district, the reduction in the required accessory parking spaces for a proposed seven story office building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—136-26 37th Avenue, south side, 60.35 feet west of 138th Street, Block 4978, Lot 13, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 18, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for hearing at the request of the applicant.

516-75-BZ

APPLICANT—Tudda, Scherer and Zoborowski for 341 East 60th Street Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for

amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C8-4 district, the erection of a five story building to be occupied as a tennis club with roof tennis facilities that encroaches on the required rear yard and penetrates the sky exposure plane.

PREMISES AFFECTED—333 East 60th Street, north side, 48.4 feet east of Queensboro Bridge Ramp, Block 1435, Lot 16, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: John J. Tudda, Eric D. Rosenfeld and Stephan J. Haenel.

For Opposition: Richard Cyen, C. Bd. #8M.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar for decision, hearing closed.

1083-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Arnold H. Fassler for DWOJRA Kemp, owner.

SUBJECT—Application November 20, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R1-2 district, the erection of a four story hotel that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, has less than the required open space ratio, penetrates the sky exposure plane and encroaches on the required front and side yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—44-41 231st Street, northeast corner of 46th Avenue, Block 8164, Lot 1, Bayside, Borough of Queens. Community Board #11Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Aronld H. Fassler.

For Opposition: John Riedl, Florence Dorsam, Virginia Dent, Gertrude Waldeyer, and others.

For Administration: Nalin T. Datel, D.C.P., Mark J. Harris, C.P.C., Kathryn A. Gill, D.P.R. and Virginia Dent, N.Y.S. Commission.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

1136-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Richard R. Metzner for Arnold Trading Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application December 15, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C4-3 district, the enlargement in area of the third and fourth floors of an existing four story non-conforming food processing establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—284-290 South 5th Street, south side, 60 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2460, Lot 18, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #1BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Richard Metzner.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing at the request of the applicant.

1157-78-A

APPLICANT—Richard R. Metzner for Arnold Trading Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application December 28, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed en-

MINUTES

largement of Class 111 building contrary to the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

PREMISES AFFECTED—280-290 South 5th Street, south side, 60 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2460, Lot 18, Borough of Brooklyn.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing at the request of the applicant.

33-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Wise Excavating Company, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 11-412 and II-413 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, the change in use of an existing one story and mezzanine structure previously before the Board from a garage into a contractors equipment storage and garage facility with accessory offices.

PREMISES AFFECTED—95/105 Vanderbilt Avenue, east side, 290' 7 $\frac{5}{8}$ ", south of Park Avenue, Block 1887, Lot 24, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #2BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug, Jesse Wise, Emily Wise, and M. Steward.

For Opposition: Councilman Abraham Gerges, Helen L. Grange, Janet R. S. Matloff, and Gregory Matloff.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision, hearing closed.

127-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Fourth Federal Savings and Loan Association, owner, Avivaben LTD, Under Contract.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C6-1 district, residential, the erection of a six story and mezzanine structure that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio and height of the front wall, has less than the required open space ratio and lot area per room and encroaches on the required rear yard and minimum distance between window and lot lines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—63-69 Cooper Square, east side, 42 feet north of East 7th Street, Block 463, Lots 3, 4, 5 and 6, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #3M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: Irving Kornblum.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

128-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Fourth Federal Savings and Loan Association of New York, owner, Avivaben LTD. Under Contract.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—63/69 Cooper Square, east side, 42 feet north of East 7th Street, Block 463, Lots 3, 4, 5 and 6, Borough of Manhattan.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

129-79-BZ

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger for Daniel Rubin, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing warehouse that increases the degree of non-conformity.

PREMISES AFFECTED—172-47 Baisley Boulevard, west side, 142.31 feet south of Merrill Street, Block 12390, Lot 121, Jamaica, Borough of Queens. Community Board #12Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Carl Heimberger.

For Opposition: Norman P. Hunte, Nelson Gayle, Wiloughby Waithe, Robert A. Berrien and others.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing.

172-79-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Anthony Luciano, owner, Utopia Realty Company Incorporated, d/b/a Century, 21-Utopia Realty, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application February 22, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R2 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing real estate office with accessory parking in the open space.

PREMISES AFFECTED—167-04 Northern Boulevard, southeast corner 167th Street, Block 5398, Lot 11, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing at the request of the applicant.

273-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for Conti Enterprise Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the erection of a two story enlargement to an existing warehouse that increases the degree of non-conformity and creates non-compliance within the residential side and rear yards.

PREMISES AFFECTED—20-23 119th Street, east side, 150 feet south of 20th Avenue, Block 4162, Lot 11, College Point, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sheldon Lobel, Joe Facchin and Frank Fasulo.

For Opposition: F. Weisenburger and F. T. Schaffer.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,

MINUTES

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0
ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at
10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

282-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for 1745 Forest Avenue, Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the construction and maintenance of an off-site accessory parking facility for an existing restaurant.

PREMISES AFFECTED—840 Richmond Avenue, northwest corner of Willow Road West, Block 1147, Lot 1, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo, John Turvey and Arthur Decker.

For Opposition: Sal Menditto, Thomas J. Bennett, Wesley Noell and Charles Olszewski.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision, hearing closed.

318-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Henry M. Monteverde, for Dominick Bonomonte, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 26, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, in an existing three story mixed building, the maintenance of an extension to the commercial use on the first floor that increases the degree of non-conformity.

PREMISES AFFECTED—301-3 Ainslie Street, northwest corner of Bushwick Avenue, Block 2772, Lot 18, Borough of Brooklyn, Community Board #1BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Henry M. Monteverde.

For Opposition: None.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

337-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Harry Soled for Dr. Martin S. Bernstein, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 29, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R2 district, the conversion of the second story of an existing two story residential building into medical offices.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2107 Avenue N, north side, 40 feet east of East 21st Street, Block 7657, Lot 8, Borough of Brooklyn, Community Board #14BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harry Soled, Martin Bernstein, Sylvia Bernstein, Susanne Nacham, and Hyman Sardy.

For Opposition: Jesse Wolfensohn, Harold Kerschner, Stephen L. Epstein, Shirley Feldman and John Brennan.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

344-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Anthony Curro, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 3, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-211 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-1 district, the reconstruction and rehabilitation of an automotive service station with accessory uses previously before the Board.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2200 Hylan Boulevard, southeast corner of Zwicky Avenue, Block 3692, Lot 10, Grant City, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #2S.I.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo.

For Opposition: George A. Fahy, Vincent A. Ricci and Anthony Feola.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

577-79-A

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Anthony Curro, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 17, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—2200 Hylan Boulevard, southeast corner of Zwicky Avenue, Block 3692, Lot 1, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

367-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Mok and Sonber for Edward Meegan, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story office building with accessory parking in the open area.

PREMISES AFFECTED—220-22 147th Avenue, southwest corner of Springfield Lane, Block 13434, Lot 91, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens. Community Board #12Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Paul Mok.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., for hearing at the request of the community board.

MINUTES

368-79-A

APPLICANT—Mok and Sonber for Edward Meegan, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 17, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—220-22 147th Avenue, southwest corner of Springfield Lane, Block 13434, Lot 191, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., for hearing at the request of the community board.

504-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Shutkind and Marini for Lord Bolton Estates, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 24, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-4 and R7-2 district the conversion of an existing Church and former theatre into a skating rink.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3560/68 Broadway and 559/61 West 146th Street, northeast corner, Block 2078, Lot 1, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #9M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph Marini and William H. Collins Sr.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing.

507-79-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino, for 164-02 Cross Bay Boulevard Corporation, owner. J. T. R. Entertainment Corporation, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 25, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-24 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-2 district, in an existing one story building occupied as a restaurant, the addition to the uses to include cabaret.

PREMISES AFFECTED—164-02 Cross Bay Boulevard, southwest corner of 164th Avenue, Block 1252, Lots 5 and 9, Howard Beach, Borough of Queens. Community Board #10Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

For Opposition: Gregory Caligiuri, Robert Cannarsa, Michael Darraugin, Salvatore Miccid, John Bonanno and Dan Pichatelli.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

94-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for 652 Broadway Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, in an existing twelve story loft building, the conversion of all floors above the second floor, except the sixth floor into joint living/working quarters for artists.

PREMISES AFFECTED—652 Broadway, east side, 87 feet south of Bond Street, Block 529, Lot 5, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph Lombardi.

For Opposition: None.

For Administration: Mark Jay Harris, C.P.C.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 3, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 10, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 29, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #767/1978, reads:

"1. Proposed conversion of building to Joint Living-Work Quarters for Artists with frontage along Broadway, exceeding 3600 sq. ft. in lot coverage, is contrary to Sec. 42-14 Z.R."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A.; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #2M. has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the joint living/working quarters for artists is a conforming use in this district; and

WHEREAS, the area of this structure is only 170 square feet above that permitted; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5B district, in an existing twelve-story loft building, the conversion of all floors above the second, except the sixth floor, into joint living/working quarters for artists *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received January 30, 1979", 6 sheets, and "July 3, 1979", 1 sheet; and *on further condition* that the existing sprinkler system shall be properly maintained; that an approved smoke detector be installed in each apartment; that a fire alarm station be installed on each floor connected to an interior alarm that can be heard throughout the building; that tenant recreation area be provided on the roof as indicated on the plans; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

251-79-BZ

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger for Sylvia Slifka, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-20 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C4-2 district, in an existing two story commercial building previously before the Board,

MINUTES

the extension of the office use into the garage area that increases the degree of non-compliance in the Parking requirements.

PREMISES AFFECTED—92-36 Merrick Boulevard, 92-35 165th Street, west side, 110.42 feet north of Archer Avenue, Block 10155, Lot 24, Jamaica, Borough of Queens. Community Board #12Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Carl Heimberger.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 3, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 10, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 15, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #1056/1976, reads:

"1. The further elimination of the required and reduced number of parking spaces as approved by the B.S.A. under Cal. No. 327-64-BZ and Cal. No. 203-78-BZ is contrary to such approval.

2. Provide additional off-street parking spaces for the proposed enlargement in accordance with the provisions of Sec. 36-21 Z.R."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E.; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #12Q. has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C4-2 district, in an existing two-story commercial building, previously before the Board, the extension of the office use into the garage area that increases the degree of non-compliance in the parking requirements *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received March 6, 1979", 7 sheets, and "June 14, 1979", 2 sheets; and *on further condition* that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

319-79-BZ

APPLICANT—M. Martin Elkind for Melvin Last, owner.
SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing mixed building to be used as a warehouse that creates non-compliance within the rear yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—25-50 31st Street, west side,

259-11 feet, south of Astoria Boulevard, Block 598, Lot 44, Astoria, Borough of Queens. Community Board #1Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: M. Martin Elkind.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on June 26, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 10, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 21, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #517/1977, reads:

"1. Proposed U.G. 16 in an R-6 zone is contrary to Sec. 22-00 of the Zone Resolutions.

2. Application is referred to the Board since there are no requirements for a mixed building in a residence zone.

3. Application is referred to the Board since there are no parking requirements for a mixed building in a residence zone.

4. Proposed structure in the required rear yard is contrary to Sec. 23-47 of the Zone Resolution."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E.; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #1Q. has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, 31st Street contains an elevated section of the BMT Line that renders this site undesirable for residential development; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, the erection of a one-story enlargement to a mixed building to be used as a warehouse that creates non-compliance within the rear yard *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received March 27, 1979", 9 sheets; and *on further condition* that this variance be limited to a term of ten (10) years; that the hours of operation be restricted to from 7 A.M. to 6 P.M. Monday through Saturday, closed on Sunday; that signs comply with the C-1 district regulations; that all work be performed within the building; that a five foot side yard be maintained and paved abutting Lot 43; that the exterior facade match the existing brick frontage; that the interior lot lines be of masonry construction with a light painted stucco finish; that no Building Department permit shall be issued until 31 days after the date of certification of this resolution; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

Adjourned: 5:05 P.M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY AFTERNOON, JULY 10, 1979, 2 P.M.

Present: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf.

446-59-SA

APPLICANT—Gasboy-William M. Wilson's Sons, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Additional pumps and dispensers.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Charles J. Denny.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:

446-59-SA: Amendment to resolution to include additional pumps and dispensers.

Gasboy-William M. Wilson's Sons, Incorporated, Lansdale, Pennsylvania, requested that the resolution adopted June 7, 1960 and amended through March 23, 1971 under Calendar Number 446-59-SA be reopened and amended to include additional pumps and dispensers.

PROPOSED USE: Gasoline pumps and dispensers.

DESCRIPTION: The additional pumps and dispensers are Models 150, 150 RDX, and 2150 RDX. The model numbers may be suffixed.

Model 150 is a suction pump and is a larger version of the previously approved Models 52 and 53. Consequently, it has greater capacity. It has a 1½ horsepower motor and a larger pumping unit and meter to handle maximum flow rates in the range of 40-60 gpm.

Model 150 RDX is a dispenser having the same large meter as Model 150 and used in conjunction with a submersible pump in the storage tank.

Model 2150 RDX is a dispenser having two meters and registers, the same as those used in the previously approved Model 52. It is used in conjunction with a submersible pump in the storage tank.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The pumps have been tested and approved by Underwriters' Laboratories (File MH4314).

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted June 7, 1960 and amended through March 23, 1971 under Calendar Number 446-59-SA be reopened and amended to include additional gasoline pumps and dispensers, Gas Boy Models 150, 150 RDX, and 2150 RDX, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code and Chapter 19 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, Fire Prevention Directives, and the original resolution and previous amendments adopted under this Calendar Number.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 446-59-SA".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time

of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,

STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,

THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

142-74-SM

APPLICANT—Zonolite Construction Products Division, W. R. Grace and Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Additional fire rated floor-ceiling assemblies.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: John G. Ottinger.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:

142-74-SM: Amendment to resolution to include additional fire rated floor-ceiling assemblies.

Zonolite Construction Products Division, W. R. Grace and Company, New York, requested that the resolution adopted November 6, 1974 and amended through October 17, 1978 under Calendar Number 142-74-SM be reopened and amended to include additional fire rated floor-ceiling assemblies.

PROPOSED USE: Fire rated floor-ceiling assemblies.

DESCRIPTION: The first assembly is a revised U. L. Design No. D706. It has 2 hour restrained and unrestrained assembly ratings and a 2 hour unrestrained beam rating. The first revision is an additional unrestrained beam rating, 1 hour, when a W8X28 minimum size steel beam is used. The beam is protected with a layer of ½" thick Monokote (MK-5) cementitious sprayed fireproofing. The second revision is the use of lightweight concrete, in addition to normal weight concrete. The third revision is the use of No. 20 gauge fluted steel floor units, in addition to No. 18 gauge fluted steel floor units. The fourth revision is the use of a bottomless trench header, in addition to a normal trench header. Monokote is applied over steel stud pins, 1¾" long.

Specifications of U. L. Design No. D706 are as follow:

UL Design No. D706, W8X28 minimum size beam width, ½" protection, non-composite (W8X24 minimum size beam, 1" protection, non-composite), lightweight or normal weight concrete, both 3500 PSI, non-composite 3" steel floor and form units, alternating 20 MSG minimum units and 20/18 MSG minimum cellular units.

The thickness of MK-5 for the floor units are as follows:

General Floor Area: Flat Plate—7/16", Crest and Valley—9/16".

Trench Header: 1" below flat plates, flutes filled plus 5¼" beyond.

"Bottomless Trench: Crest—2", Valley and flat plate—1¾" plus 4" beyond, sprayed over steel studs with discs; studs consisting of No. 12 SWG galv. steel wire 1⅜" long

MINUTES

with one end welded to a 1-3/16" diam. No. 28 MSG galv. disc. The total number of studs shall average at least one per 236 sq. in. of cellular floor units beneath the trench.

The second assembly is an addition, U. L. Design No. D743. It has restrained assembly, unrestrained assembly, and unrestrained beam ratings of 1, 1½, and 2 hours. Specifications of the assembly for each of the ratings are as follow:

UL Design No. D743, W8X20 minimum size composite or non-composite 2" lightweight or normal weight concrete, both 3000 PSI, composite or non-composite 2 or 3 in deep galvanized, minimum gauge No. 22 MSG fluted and No. 20/18 MSG cellular; choice of standard trench header or trench header without bottom pan. Floor penetrations in the form of pre-set electrical inserts may be used with normal weight concrete only. Cementitious mixture required on the beam is as shown in the following chart.

Minimum Mk-5 thickness on beam (inches).

Rating (Hr.)	Normal Weight Concrete	Lightweight Concrete
1	1/2"	5/8"
1-1/2	3/4"	7/8"
2	1"	1-1/8"

The minimum thickness of Mk-5 for the floor units are as follows:

General Floor Area.

Rating (Hr.)	Normal Weight Concrete	Lightweight Concrete
1	1/2"	9/16"
1-1/2	11/16"	3/4"
2	13/16"	15/16"

Standard Trench Header—7/8" below the bottom plane of the units, with flutes filled, plus 5" beyond.

"Bottomless" Trench Header

Rating (Hr.)	Crest	Valley
1	1-1/2"	1-1/4"
1-1/2	1-3/4"	1-1/2"
2	2"	1-3/4"

These thicknesses of Mk-5 are sprayed 4" beyond the trench and over steel studs with discs; studs consist of a No. 12 SWG galv. wire with one end welded to a 1-3/16" diam. No. 28 MSG galv. steel disc. The length of the studs shall be 3/8" less than the thickness of the cementitious mixture. The total number of studs shall average at least one stud per 236 sq. in. of cellular floor units beneath the trench header.

Cellular Units with Pre-Set Electrical Inserts.

For normal weight concrete only.

Tapmates II	1-3/16"	QL-AKX or QL-WKX
Tapmates II F	13/16"	QL-AKX or QL-WKX

INSPECTION AND TEST: The assemblies described above have been tested and approved with the fire ratings listed above by Underwriters' Laboratories (File R4339).

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted November 6, 1974 and amended through October 17, 1978 under Calendar Number 142-74-SM be reopened and amended to include additional fire rated floor-ceiling assemblies, U.L. Design Nos. D706 and D743, with fire ratings as listed above, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code of the City of New York, and on condition that all submissions to the Department of Buildings shall include plans, graphic and descriptive material which adequately depict the assemblies and ratings.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labelled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 142-74-SM."

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

620-76-SM

APPLICANT—United Steel Deck, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—To show modifications of steel floor decks.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Vincent Ditrano Jr.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:

620-76-SM: Amendment to resolution to show modifications of steel floor decks.

United Steel Deck, Incorporated, Summit, New Jersey, requested that the resolution adopted October 5, 1976 and amended July 18, 1978 under Calendar Number 620-76-SM be reopened and amended to show modifications of steel floor decks.

PROPOSED USE: Steel floor decks (cellular and non-cellular) as components of two hour fire rated floor-ceiling assemblies under restrained conditions.

DESCRIPTION: The composite cold-formed deck, United Steel Deck Lok-Floor, is made from galvanized or painted sheet steel conforming to ASTM A611 or A446. It is 1½", 2", or 3" deep with ribs (corrugations) at 12" centers; the B-Lok section is 1½" deep with ribs (corrugations) at 6" centers. It is fabricated from steel ranging in metal thickness of 16 to 22 gauge.

The cellular sections are made by welding galvanized steel plates of 16, 18, or 20 gage to galvanized deck units of 16, 18, or 20 gage and are approved by Underwriters Laboratories for electrical use. The section properties of the deck (and cellular deck) have been determined in accordance with the American Iron and Steel Institute Specification for the Design of Cold Formed Steel Structural Members—(Reference Standard RS 10-6 of the Building Code).

The optional steel shear connectors are ¾" diameter studs with 1¼" diameter X ¾" minimum thick heads with a minimum stud length of 1½" plus the deck depth. Each stud shear connector has a load value established by the American Institute of Steel Construction Specifications for Steel Construction. (Reference Standard RS 10-5 of the Building Code).

MINUTES

The deck sections are used as components of the assemblies described by the following U.L. Design Nos.:

U.L. Design No.	Type of Fireproofing C-Cementitious S-Sprayed Fiber N-None required	Concrete Cover NW-Normal Wt. LW-Light Wt.	U.L. Classified USD Configurations
D703	C	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D704	C	2½" NW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5
D706	C	2½" NW	LF3, LFC3
D712	C	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D716	C	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D722	C	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D739	C	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D742	C	2½" NW	LF1.5, LF2, LF3
D807	S	2½" NW	LF3, LFC3
D808	S	2½" NW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5
D822	S	2½" NW LW	LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D824	S	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5
D825	S	2½" LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D826	S	3¼" LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D831	S	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D832	S	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D833	S	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D837	S	2½" NW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5
D847	S	2½" NW LW	LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D852	S	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D859	S	2" NW/LW	LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D860	S	3¼" LW	LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D733	N	3¼" LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D840	N	3¼" LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D902	N	4½" NW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D907	N	3¼" LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D908	N	3¼" LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D916	N	4½" NW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D916	N	3¼" LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3

In the above table the type of fireproofing refers to the fireproofing applied to the deck. The United Steel Deck product configurations are abbreviated as follows:

BL—B-Lok
BLC—B-Lok Cellular

LF1.5—1½" Lok-Floor
LFC1.5—1½" Lok-Floor Cellular
LF2—2" Lok-Floor
LFC2—2" Lok-Floor Cellular
LF3—3" Lok-Floor
LFC3—3" Lok-Floor Cellular

The decks and Design Nos. listed above have been previously approved with specified live loads. The modifications are in the live load tables. The allowable live loads have been decreased and are listed by deck and by span in United Steel Deck, Incorporated Catalog No. 303.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The allowable live loads of the decks listed in United Steel Deck, Incorporated, Catalog No. 303 have been determined by testing conducted by Underwriters' Laboratories (File R7546) and calculations performed by Lehigh University.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted October 5, 1976 and amended July 18, 1978 under Calendar Number 620-76-SM be reopened and amended to revise downward the rated live loads as listed in the United Steel Deck, Incorporated, Catalog No. 303, of the steel floor decks used in the assemblies listed above, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code of the City of New York and the original resolution adopted under this Calendar Number.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 620-76-SM".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,

THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

621-76-SM

APPLICANT—United Steel Deck, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—To show modifications of steel floor decks.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Vincent Ditrano, Jr.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:

MINUTES

621-76-SM: Amendment to resolution to show modifications of steel floor decks.

United Steel Deck, Incorporated, Summit, New Jersey, requested that the resolution adopted October 5, 1976 and amended July 18, 1978 under Calendar Number 621-76-SM be reopened and amended to show modifications of steel floor decks.

PROPOSED USE: Steel floor decks (cellular and non-cellular) as components of three hour fire rated floor-ceiling assemblies under restrained conditions.

DESCRIPTION: The composite cold-formed deck, United Steel Deck Lok-Floor, is made from galvanized or painted sheet steel conforming to ASTM A611 or A446. It is 1½", 2", or 3" deep with ribs (corrugations) at 12" centers; the B-Lok section is 1½" deep with ribs (corrugations) at 6" centers. It is fabricated from steel ranging in metal thickness of 16 to 22 gauge.

The cellular sections are made by welding galvanized steel plates of 16, 18, or 20 gage to galvanized deck units of 16, 18, or 20 gage and are approved by Underwriters Laboratories for electrical use. The section properties of the deck (and cellular deck) have been determined in accordance with the American Iron and Steel Institute Specification for the Design of Cold Formed Steel Structural Members—(Reference Standard RS 10-6 of the Building Code).

The optional steel shear connectors are ¾" diameter studs with 1¼" diameter X ⅜" minimum thick heads with a minimum stud length of 1½" plus the deck depth. Each stud shear connector has a load value established by the American Institute of Steel Construction Specifications for Steel Construction. (Reference Standard RS 10-5 of the Building Code).

The deck sections are used as components of the assemblies described by the following U. L. Design Nos.:

U.L. Design No.	Type of Fire-proofing	Concrete Cover	U.L. Classified
	C—Cementitious S—Sprayed Fiber		
	N—None Required	NW—Normal Wt. LW—Light Wt.	USD Configurations

Three Hour (3) Restrained Assembly Fire Rating

D701	C	2½" NW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF3, LFC3
D703	C	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D708	C	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D709	C	2½" NW LW	LF3, LFC3
D715	C	2½" NW LW	LF2, LF3
D742	C	3½" NW	LF1.5, LF2, LF3
D814	S	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF3, LFC3
D816	S	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D831	S	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D832	S	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D833	S	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D838	S	2½" NW LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D849	S	2½" NW	LF3, LFC3
D859	S	2" NW/LW	LF2, LFC2
D860	S	3¼" LW	LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3

U.L. Design No.	Type of Fire-proofing	Concrete Cover	U.L. Classified
	C—Cementitious S—Sprayed Fiber		
	N—None Required	NW—Normal Wt. LW—Light Wt.	USD Configurations
Three Hour (3) Restrained Assembly Fire Rating			
D902	N	4¾" LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3
D916	N	4¾" LW	BL, BLC, LF1.5, LFC1.5, LF2, LFC2, LF3, LFC3

In the above table the type of fireproofing refers to the fireproofing applied to the deck. The United Steel Deck product configurations are abbreviated as follows:

BL—B-Lok
BLC—B-Lok Cellular
LF1.5—1½" Lok-Floor
LFC1.5—1½" Lok-Floor Cellular
LF2—2" Lok-Floor
LFC2—2" Lok-Floor Cellular
LF3—3" Lok-Floor
LFC3—3" Lok-Floor Cellular

The decks and Design Nos. listed above have been previously approved with specified live loads. The modifications are in the live load tables. The allowable live loads have been decreased and are listed by deck and by span in United Steel Deck, Incorporated Catalog No. 303.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The allowable live loads of the decks listed in United Steel Deck, Incorporated, Catalog No. 303 have been determined by testing conducted by Underwriters' Laboratories (File R7546) and calculations performed by Lehigh University.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted October 5, 1976 and amended July 18, 1978 under Calendar Number 621-76-SM be reopened and amended to revise downward the rated live loads, as listed in the United Steel Deck, Incorporated, Catalog No. 303, of the steel floor decks used in the assemblies listed above, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code of the City of New York and the original resolution adopted under this Calendar Number.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 621-76-SM".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,

STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,

THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

MINUTES

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

153-77-SM

APPLICANT—Auralux Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Additional fire retardant material.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:

153-77-SM: Amendment to resolution to include additional fire retardant material.

Auralux Corporation, Hope Valley, Rhode Island, requested that the resolution adopted May 10, 1977 under Calendar Number 153-77-SM be reopened and amended to include an additional fire retardant material.

PROPOSED USE: Fire retardant material.

DESCRIPTION: The additional material, Pyrolux AS-6, is a more concentrated form of the previously approved material, Pyrolux AS-5. Both are liquids which are applied to paper products and fabrics to provide flame retardancy. They are applied with a binder, when necessary, and are sprayed, printed, or squeeze rolled onto the material being treated.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The additional material, Pyrolux AS-5, has been tested and approved by the Better Fabrics Testing Bureau (Report No. 9379) in accordance with the requirements of the Flameproofing Rules of the Board.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted May 10, 1977 under Calendar Number 153-77-SM be reopened and amended to include an additional flame retardant material, Pyrolux AS-6, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code of the City of New York, the Flameproofing Rules of the Board, and the original resolution adopted under this Calendar Number.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 153-77-SM."

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,

STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

109-79-SM

APPLICANT—MacKenzie Group, Incorporated for Von Duprin, Electronic Security Product, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 9, 1979—Local Fire Alarm Annunciator Console—LFA 2112, 2122.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Material approved in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:

109-79-SM: MacKenzie Group, Incorporated, New York, New York, for Von Duprin, Incorporated, Indianapolis, Indiana, filed for approval of LFA 2112 and LFA 2122 Local Fire Alarm Annunciator Consoles under the provisions of Article 17 and RS 17-3 of the Building Code of the City of New York.

PROPOSED USE: Fire alarm annunciator consoles.

DESCRIPTION: The consoles are used to annunciate and monitor fire alarms, smoke detectors, other types of sensors, magnetic contacts of door locks, etc. The consoles individually monitor and electrically supervise a number of zones. LFA-2112 has a maximum capacity of 12 zones. LFA-2122 has a maximum capacity of 36 zones. An indicator lamp shows the status of each zone. An abnormal condition sounds an alarm at the console. Electromagnetic devices for locking of doors can be controlled individually or in groups. Standard features include a 24 hour battery back-up, 24 volt DC external bell output, and regulated alarm voltage.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The annunciator consoles have been tested and approved by Underwriters' Laboratories (File S1516) and have been recommended for approval by the Division of Fire Prevention.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends the approval of LFA 2112 and LFA 2122 Local Alarm Annunciator Consoles under the provisions of Article 17 and RS 17 of the Building Code of the City of New York on the following conditions:

1. The control panel and each zone shall be properly identified for all uses.

2. A directory to indicate the location of each zone, which is framed under a suitable protective material, shall be permanently fastened at a point close to the console.

3. All uses, locations, and installations of the annunciator consoles shall comply with the applicable requirements of Article 17 and RS 17-3 of the Building Code, Chapter 19 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, and Fire Prevention Directives.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 109-79-SM".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to

MINUTES

notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *approve* this *material* in accordance with the above report.

207-79-SA

APPLICANT—Dresser Industries, Incorporated, Petroleum Equipment Division, owner.

SUBJECT—Motor fuel dispensing units, including self-service applications.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appliance approved in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:

207-79-SA: Dresser Industries, Incorporated, Petroleum Equipment Division, Salisbury, Maryland, filed for approval of Dresser Motor Fuel Dispensing Units, Series 310, 320, 330, E511, and E511E, under the provisions of Section C26-107.2 of the Building Code and Chapter 19 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

PROPOSED USE: Motor fuel dispensing units, including self-service applications.

DESCRIPTION: The pumps and dispensers include Series 310, 320, 330, which provide for metric conversion, self-service capabilities (output pulsers to a console and prepay ability), and battery back-up for protection against power failure. All models can include one of these sets of options: one or two hoses, one or two products, self-contained or remove, prepay (suffix of "19" on Series 310, 320, 330) or postpay, the prefix "E" to denote electrical reset for the E511 and E511E Series, the suffix "G" to denote a filter for the E511 and E511E Series.

The individual models within the series and their specifications are as follows:

310)	
320)	Single hose, suction
330)	
312)	
322)	Two hose, one product, suction
332)	
323	Two hose, two product, suction
310-1)	
320-1)	Single hose, remote
330-1)	
312-1)	
322-1)	Two hose, one product, remote
332-1)	
313-1)	
323-1)	Two hose, two product, remote
333-1)	

314-1

511E-5	Single hose—remote—adaptable to Red Jacket Vapor Aspirator System
511EG-5	Blender, suction
511E-6	Blender, suction, w/filter
511EG-6	Blender, remote
E511E-5	Blender, remote, w/filter
E511E-6	Blender, suction
E511E-5-15	Blender, remote
E511E-6-15	Blender, suction, postpay
E511E-5-19	Blender, remote, postpay
E511E-6-19	Blender, suction, prepay
E511S-5-15	Blender, remote, prepay
E511S-6-15	Single hose, suction, postpay
E511S-5-19	Single hose, remote, postpay
E511S-6-19	Single hose, suction, prepay
E511SD-5	Single hose, remote, prepay
E511SD-6	Two hose, one product, suction
E511ED-5-15	Two hose, one product, remote
E511SD-6-15	Two hose, one product, suction, postpay
E511SD-5-19	Two hose, one product, remote, postpay
E511SD-6-19	Two hose, one product, suction, prepay
E511TD-5	Two hose, one product, remote, prepay
E511TD-6	Two hose, two product, suction
E511TD-5-15	Two hose, two product, remote
E511TD-6-15	Two hose, two product, suction, postpay
E511TD-5-19	Two hose, two product, remote, postpay
E511TD-6-19	Two hose, two product, suction, prepay

INSPECTION AND TEST: The models listed above have been tested and approved by Underwriters' Laboratories (File MH 1821).

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends the approval of Dresser Motor Fuel Dispensing Units, Series 310, 320, 330, E511, and E511E, with model designations as listed above, for use under the provisions and conditions of Section C26-107.2 of the Building Code and Chapter 19 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, on condition that all self-service uses of the equipment shall comply with the applicable requirements of City Council Intro. No. 325 of 1978, or whatever final form in which the Intro. is adopted into law, to amend Chapter 19 of the Administrative Code concerning self-service gasoline stations.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 207-79-SA".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *approve* this *appliance* in accordance with the above report.

MINUTES

564-79-SM

APPLICANT—United States Gypsum Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Two hour fire rated wall assembly.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Material approved in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:

564-79-SM: United States Gypsum Company, Tarrytown, New York, filed for approval of a Two Hour Fire Rated USG Solid Area Separation Wall under the provisions of Article 5 and RS 5 of the Building Code of the City of New York.

PROPOSED USE: Two hour rated wall assembly.

DESCRIPTION: The core of the assembly consists of a double layer of 1" thick USG Liner Panel, 24" wide, set between 2" deep USG steel "H" studs. The studs are 24 gauge, space 24" o.c., and placed between 2" wide "J" runner tracks at the top and bottom. On each side of the core is a separate set of studs. They are 3½" deep, 20 gauge (35 ST10) USG Light Steel studs, spaced 24" o.c., and fitted between 3½" wide 20 gauge runner tracks at the top and bottom. Thermafiber blankets, 3" thick, are placed in the stud cavities. One layer of ⅝" thick Sheetrock Firecode "C" is screw attached to outside of both sets of exterior studs with 1" Type S-12 screws, 12" o.c.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The assembly described above has been tested and approved with a two hour fire rating by Armand H. Gustafiero, P.E., Registered Structural Engineer No. 2917, Illinois, of the Consulting Engineer Group Incorporated, Glenview, Illinois.

RECOMMENDATION: The Committee on Test recommends the approval of the Two Hour Fire Rated USG Solid Area Separation Wall described above under the provisions of Article 5 and RS 5 of the Building Code of the City of New York on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code and that all uses shall be graphically documented in their submissions to the Department of Buildings.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 564-79-SM".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby approve this material in accordance with the above report.

570-79-BCR

APPLICANT—Irwin Fruchtman, P.E., Commissioner, Department of Buildings, letter dated May 11, 1979 and received May 15, 1979.

SUBJECT—Modification of Reference Standard RS 10-3 (Reinforced Concrete) of the Building Code of the City of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Irving Polsky, P.E., Arnold R. Kline, P.E. and Francis P. Golden.

For Opposition: James E. Halrin and Edward W. Ryan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application denied.

THE VOTE TO GRANT—

Affirmative: 0

Negative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

627-79-SA

APPLICANT—Kennedy Valve, Division of ITT Grinnell Valve Company Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Detector check valves for standpipe and sprinkler lines.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Charles Cregin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appliance approved in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:

627-79-SA: Kennedy Valve, Division of ITT Grinnell Valve Company Incorporated, Elmira, New York, filed for approval of Detector Check Valves, Figure 1369 (Model A-2) and Figure 1371 (Model B-2), under the provisions of Article 17 and RS 17 of the Building Code of the City of New York.

PROPOSED USE: Detector check valves for standpipe and sprinkler lines.

DESCRIPTION: The valves are used to detect leakage or misuse of water in standpipe and sprinkler lines. The valve disc is normally closed and diverts small amounts of water when the flow rate is low, into a bypass to be metered. When the flow rate reaches the predetermined quantity needed for operation of the standpipe or sprinkler system, the disc opens and water flows at full capacity through the valve.

Both valves have a rated working pressure 175 psi. Figure No. 1369 (Model A-2) is available in 4", 6", and 8" sizes. It has a cast iron body, one piece bronze disc, and bronze bushings, pivot, and seat.

Figure No. 1371 (Model B-2) is available in 4", 6", 8", and 10" sizes. It has a galvanized cast iron body, rubber faced bronze disc with a ball and socket arrangement to assure uniform seating, hard rubber bushings, bronze pivot, and bronze beaded seat.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The valves have been tested and approved by Underwriters' Laboratories (File Ex826).

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends the approval of Detector Check Valves, Figure 1369 (Model A-2) and Figure 1371 (Model B-2), under the provisions of Article 17 and RS 17 of the Building Code of the City of New York, on condition that all uses, locations,

MINUTES

and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 627-79-SA".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,

For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,

THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *approve* this *appliance* in accordance with the above report.

544-79-SM

APPLICANT—Dresser Manufacturing Division, Dresser Industries, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Sleeve to electrically isolate two sections of gas line.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 18, 1979, at 2 p.m., for deferred decision at the request of the applicant.

611-79-SM

APPLICANT—Industrial Acoustics Company, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT— $\frac{3}{4}$ hour fire rated wall and partition assembly.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; additional information; applicant to be notified, hearing closed.

614-77-A

APPLICANT—Joseph B. Raia for Ida Lo Bue, owner.

SUBJECT—Application September 15, 1977—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—34 Overlook Avenue, south side, 311.86 feet west of Vista Avenue, Block 853, Lot 27, Dongen Hills, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph B. Raia.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,

Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated August 30, 1977, on N.B. Applic. #837/77, reads:

"4. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public sewer located within 500' of the property is deemed available is contrary to Section P 110.2(e) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip Agusta, R.A., Commissioner John Walsh, P.E., Commissioner John Cincotta and Commissioner Stanley Wolf, R.A. which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated August 30, 1977, acting on N.B. Applic. #837/77, Objection No. 4, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received September 15, 1977", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

381-78-A

APPLICANT—John J. Farrell for One Beekman Place, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 23, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed new chimney projecting beyond street line is contrary.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1-11 Beekman Place and 435-449 East 49th Street, northeast corner, Block 1361, Lot 21, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Peter C. Harding.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 9, 1978, on Alt. #652/77, reads:

"6. Proposed new chimney projecting beyond the street line is contrary to C26-60, C26-407.1 and C26-407.4."

and

WHEREAS, a site valuation was made by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley Wolf, R.A. which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Super-

MINUTES

intendent, dated May 9, 1978, acting on Alt. #652/77, Objection No. 6, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the finish of the new chimney be the Alumiline Corporation Bronze Duranodic Aluminum Color 312 R1, or equivalent; *on further conditions* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings marked "Received July 5, 1979", 6 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with and that substantial construction be completed within one year of the date of this resolution.

1102-78-A

APPLICANT—Gabriel Nathan Associates for Henrad Realty Company, Incorporated, owner, Loeb-Mayer, Incorporated, Lessee.

SUBJECT—Application November 22, 1978—filed pursuant to Section 35, Article 3 of the General City Law re-proposed building in the bed of a mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—6402-6410 Rockaway Beach Boulevard, 201-07 Beach 64th Street, northwest corner, Block 15909, Lot 70, Rockaway Beach, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Gabriel Nathan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated October 26, 1978, on Alt. Applic. 1027/78, reads:

"8. Proposed enlargement in the bed of a mapped street is contrary General City Law, Article 3, Section 25."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry Carroll, P.E. which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a letter from the Office of the President of the Borough of Queens, dated May 10, 1979 indicates that there are no proceedings to acquire title to the street.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, October 26, 1978, acting on Alt. Applic. #1027/78, Objection No. 8 be and it hereby is modified under the powers vested in the Board by Section 35 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the construction shall substantially conform to drawings marked received "November 22, 1978", 7 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

52-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—594 Sinclair Avenue, south side, 300 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 15, Hubuenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, on N.B. Applic. #1848/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(e) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1848/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws and regulations shall be complied with.

53-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—598 Sinclair Avenue, south side, 350 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 11, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, on N.B. Applic. #1849/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the

MINUTES

property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(e) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1849/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

54-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—573 Sheldon Avenue, northwest corner of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 32, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, on N.B. Applic. #1850/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(e) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent,

dated December 21, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1850/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

55-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—579 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 69.14 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 34, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, on N.B. Applic. #1851/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(e) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1851/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is con-

MINUTES

structed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with. ,

56-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—585 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 121.14 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 36, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, on N.B. Applic. #1852/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1852/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building

shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

57-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—591 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 173.14 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 39, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, on N.B. Applic. #1853/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1853/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

58-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

MINUTES

PREMISES AFFECTED—595 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 225.14 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 41, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, on N.B. Applic. #1854/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1854/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

59-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—601 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 277.14 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 43, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, on N.B. Applic. #1855/78, reads: and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1855/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

60-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—607 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 329.14 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 45, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, on N.B. Applic. #1856/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1856/78

MINUTES

Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

61-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—611 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 271.97 feet east of Huguenot Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 48, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, on N.B. Applic. #1857/78, reads: and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1857/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to

drawings, marked "Received January 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

62-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Vincent Naso, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—615 Sheldon Avenue, north side, 219.97 feet east of Huguenot Avenue, Block 6339, Lot 50, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, on N.B. Applic. #1858/78, reads: and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 21, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1858/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

73-79-A

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for John Luciano, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 23, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—65 Englewood Avenue, north side, 817.37 feet east of Arthur Kill Road, Block 7380, Lot 18, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

MINUTES

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 12, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #2096/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map, therefore:

a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Permit may not be issued since proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers, into which discharge is feasible, are located within 500' of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c), RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 12, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #2096/78 Objection No. 1A and B and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1A and B is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 23, 1979", 1 sheet and "April 25, 1979", 1 sheet and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

114-79-A

APPLICANT—Grushkin and Oddo, Architects for Leon Silvert, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—15 Tuckahoe Avenue, east side, 345 feet north of Eylandt Street, Block 6574, Lot 18, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1229/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map, therefore:

a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500' of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1229/78 Objection No. 1A and B and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977; that Objection No. 1A and B is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

115-79-A

APPLICANT—Grushkin and Oddo, Architects for Leon Silvert, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—23 Tuckahoe Avenue, east side, 245 feet north of Eylandt Street, Block 6574, Lot 13, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

MINUTES

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1230/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map, therefore:

- a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.
- b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500' of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1230/78, Objection No. 1 A and B and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 A and B and is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

116-79-A

APPLICANT—Grushkin and Oddo, Architects for Leon Silvert, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—35 Tuckahoe Avenue, east side, 145 feet north of Eylandt Street, Block 6574, Lot 8, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE:

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,

dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1231/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map, therefore:

- a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.
- b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500' of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1231/78, Objection No. 1 A and B and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

117-79-A

APPLICANT—Grushkin and Oddo, Architects for Leon Silvert, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—45 Tuckahoe Avenue, east side, 45 feet north of Eylandt Street, Block 6574, Lot 3, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1232/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building

MINUTES

is not duly placed on the official map, therefore:

- a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.
- b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500' of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1232/78 Objection No. 1A and B and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection No. 1A and B is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

118-79-A

APPLICANT—Grushkin and Oddo, Architects for Sunshine Brokerage Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—190 Kingdom Avenue, west side, 140 feet south of Eylandt Street, Block 6572, Lot 41, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin,

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1233/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map, therefore:

- a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued as per

Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

- b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 feet of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1233/78, Objection No. 1 a and b and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

119-79-A

APPLICANT—Grushkin and Oddo Architects for Marcey Development Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—73 Tuckahoe Avenue, east side, 100 feet south of Eylandt Street, Block 6572, Lot 25, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1234/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map, therefore:

- a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.
- b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting

MINUTES

directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 feet of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1234/78, Objection No. 1 a and b and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

120-79-A

APPLICANT—Grushkin and Oddo, Architects for Leon Silvert, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—81 Tuckahoe Avenue, east side, 180 feet south of Eylandt Street, Block 6572, Lot 21, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1235/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map, therefore:

- a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.
- b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 feet of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1235/78, Objection No. 1 a and b and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

121-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Pauline Smith, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—40 Tuckahoe Avenue, west side, 150 feet north of Eylandt Street, Block 6575, Lot 47, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1236/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map, therefore:

- a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.
- b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal

MINUTES

of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 feet of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1236/78 Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

122-79-A

APPLICANT—Grushkin and Oddo Architects for Smart Building Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—86 Tuckahoe Avenue, west side, 220 feet south of Eylandt Street, Block 6573, Lot 45, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1239/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map, therefore:

a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 feet of the

property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1239/78 Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

123-79-A

APPLICANT—Grushkin and Oddo Architects for Smart Building Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—186 Kingdom Avenue, west side, 100 feet south of Eylandt Street, Block 6572, Lot 39, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1237/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map, therefore:

a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 feet of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

MINUTES

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1237/78 Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted* on condition that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

124-79-A

APPLICANT—Grushkin and Oddo Architects for Smart Building Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—194 Kingdom Avenue, west side, 180 feet south of Eylandt Street, Block 6572, Lot 44, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1238/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map, therefore:

a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 feet of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and

Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1238/78 Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

125-79-A

APPLICANT—Grushkin and Oddo Architects for Silver Ridge Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—77 Tuckahoe Avenue, east side, 140 feet south of Eylandt Street, Block 6572, Lot 23, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1240/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map, therefore:

a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 feet of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

MINUTES

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1240/78 Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

173-79-A

APPLICANT—Joel A. or Jean G. Miele for Breezy Point Cooperative Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 26, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—102 Beach 221st Street, southwest corner of Breezy Point Boulevard, Block 16350, Lot 400, Rockaway Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jay Ross.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 21, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #349/77, reads:

"1. The proposed one family dwelling with one car open accessory parking, which is not facing a mapped street, is contrary to General City Law, Article 3, Para. 36."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry Carroll, P.E. which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 21, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #349/77, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted, on condition* that the sidewalk, curb, curb cut and pavement to the middle of the street be in accordance with the standards of the Department of Highways; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "June 29, 1979", 1 sheet; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with, especially the Federal Insurance Administration Flood Hazard laws, rules and regulations.

174-79-A

APPLICANT—Joel A. or Jean G. Miele for Breezy Point Cooperative Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 26, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—106 Beach 221st Street, northwest corner of Breezy Point Boulevard, Block 16350, Lot 400, Rockaway Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jay Ross.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 21, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #350/77, reads:

"1. The proposed one family dwelling with one car open accessory parking, which is not facing a mapped street, is contrary to General City Law, Article 3, Para. 36."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry Carroll, P.E. which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 21, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #350/77, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted, on condition* that the sidewalk, curb, curb cut and pavement to the middle of the street be in accordance with the standards of the Department of Highways; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "June 29, 1979", 1 sheet; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with, especially the Federal Insurance Administration Flood Hazard laws, rules and regulations.

175-79-A

APPLICANT—Joel A. or Jean G. Miele for Breezy Point Cooperative Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 26, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—110 Beach 221st Street, west side, 160 feet south of Breezy Point Boulevard, Block 16350, Lot 400, Rockaway Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jay Ross.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 21, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #351/77, reads:

"1. The proposed one family dwelling with one car open accessory parking, which is not facing a mapped street, is contrary to General City Law, Article 3, Para. 36."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip Agusta, R.A.

MINUTES

and Commissioner Harry Carroll, P.E. which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 21, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #351/77, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is modified under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted, on condition* that the sidewalk, curb, curb cut and pavement to the middle of the street be in accordance with the standards of the Department of Highways: and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "June 29, 1979", 1 sheet; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with, especially the Federal Insurance Administration Flood Hazard laws, rules and regulations.

176-79-A

APPLICANT—Joel A. or Jean G. Miele for Breezy Point Cooperative Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 26, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—114 Beach 221st Street, west side, 240 feet south of Breezy Point Boulevard, Block 16350, Lot 400, Rockaway Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jay Ross.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 21, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #352/77, reads:

"1. The proposed one family dwelling with one car open accessory parking, which is not facing a mapped street, is contrary to General City Law, Article 3, Para. 36."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry Carroll, P.E. which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 21, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #352/77 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is modified under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted, on condition* that the sidewalk, curb, curb cut and pavement to the middle of the street be in accordance with the standards of the Department of Highways: and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "June 29, 1979", 1 sheet; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with, especially the Federal Insurance Administration Flood Hazard laws, rules and regulations.

234-79-A

APPLICANT—Strand Associates, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of flammable mixture known as "Premium '8' Gas Line Anti-Freeze", in twelve (12) ounce HDPE with CR Cap, container not in compliance with the regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph R. Murphy.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Fire Commissioner, dated February 8, 1979, on Folder #122—Pending, reads:

"We regret to inform you that your application to register your product 'Premium '8' Gas Line Anti-Freeze' a Flammable mixture with a flash point below 75° F (TOC) in containers as follows: 12 oz. HDPE with CR Cap is *disapproved*. Such containers are not in compliance with our regulations to wit: Section C19-59.0.c of the N.Y.C. Administrative Code requires that containers of this size for flammable mixtures be of metal with replaceable tops or caps."

and

WHEREAS, the drawing and sample of the container were reviewed by the Board, and it was determined that the appeal be granted under certain conditions:

Resolved, that the decision of the Fire Commissioner dated "February 8, 1979" acting on folder number 122-pending, be and it hereby is modified and that the appeal be it and hereby is *granted on condition* that the container comply with drawing filed "June 6, 1979", 1 sheet, that the modification shall apply to "Premium '8' Gas Line Anti-Freeze" in 12 ounce container only; and on further condition that the label on the container be in such style and wording as is satisfactory to the Fire Commissioner; that any descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, and bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and where possible, the item itself, shall include the wording "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Cal. No. 234-79-A", and that in all other respects all laws, rules and regulations applicable shall be complied with.

237-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Samuel Rosenberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—44 Edith Avenue, south side, 100 feet east of Linden Avenue, Block 6535, Lot 5, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #268/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

MINUTES

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #268/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 6, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

238-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Samuel Rosenberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—389 Linden Avenue, southeast corner of Edith Avenue, Block 6535, Lot 1, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #769/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #769/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be

within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 6, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

239-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Samuel Rosenberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—399 Linden Avenue, east side, 100.18 feet south of Edith Avenue, Block 6535, Lot 70, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #770/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #770/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform

MINUTES

to drawings, marked "Received March 6, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

240-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Samuel Rosenberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—409 Linden Avenue, east side, 200.18 feet south of Edith Avenue, Block 6535, Lot 65, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #771/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #771/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 6, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

241-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Samuel Rosenberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use

of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—419 Linden Avenue, east side, 199.82 feet, south of Chester Avenue, Block 6535, Lot 60, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #772/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #772/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 6, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

242-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Samuel Rosenberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—429 Linden Avenue, east side, 99.82 feet north of Chester Avenue, Block 6535, Lot 55, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,

MINUTES

Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #773/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #773/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 6, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

243-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Samuel Rosenberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—439 Linden Avenue, northeast corner of Chester Avenue, Block 6535, Lot 48, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #774/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge

is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #774/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 6, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

244-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Samuel Rosenberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—15 Chester Avenue, north side, 95.09 feet west of Jarvis Avenue, Block 6535, Lot 43, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #775/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

MINUTES

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #775/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 6, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

245-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Samuel Rosenberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—66 Jarvis Avenue, southwest corner of Edith Avenue, Block 6535, Lot 10, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #776/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #776/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume

as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 6, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

246-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Samuel Rosenberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—76 Jarvis Avenue, west side, 100.18 feet south of Edith Avenue, Block 6535, Lot 16, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #777/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #777/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation

MINUTES

Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and on further condition that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 6, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be

247-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Samuel Rosenberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—86 Jarvis Avenue, west side, 200.18 feet south of Edith Avenue, Block 6535, Lot 21, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #778/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #778/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and on further condition that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 6, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

248-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Samuel Rosenberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a deci-

sion of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of stormwater (Local Law #7). PREMISES AFFECTED—96 Jarvis Avenue, 199.87 feet north of Chester Avenue, Block 6535, Lot 26, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #779/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #779/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and on further condition that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 6, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

249-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Samuel Rosenberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water. Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—106 Jarvis Avenue, 99.87 feet north of Chester Avenue, Block 6535, Lot 31, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

MINUTES

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #780/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #780/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 6, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

250-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Samuel Rosenberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of Drywells for the disposal of storm water. (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—116 Jarvis Avenue, northwest corner of Chester Avenue, Block 6535, Lot 36, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #781/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block

which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 16, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #781/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 6, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

258-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Armin Weiss, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—92 Glascoe Avenue, southwest corner of Lathrop Avenue, Block 1456, Lot 20, Westerleigh, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #821/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and

MINUTES

Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #821/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 9, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

259-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Armin Weiss, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—100 Glascoe Avenue, west side, 90 feet south of Lathrop Avenue, Block 1456, Lot 25, Westerleigh, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #822/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #822/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to

such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 9, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

260-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Armin Weiss, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—104 Glascoe Avenue, west side, 130 feet south of Lathrop Avenue, Block 1456, Lot 27, Westerleigh, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #823/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #823/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 9, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

261-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Armin Weiss, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

MINUTES

PREMISES AFFECTED—108 Glascoe Avenue, west side, 70 feet north of Leonard Avenue, Block 1456, Lot 29, Westerleigh, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #824/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #824/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 9, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

264-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Armin Weiss, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—267 Leonard Avenue, northwest corner of Glascoe Avenue, Block 1456, Lot 32, Westerleigh, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #828/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #828/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 9, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

265-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Armin Weiss, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—273 Leonard Avenue, north side, 55.00 feet west of Glascoe Avenue, Block 1456, Lot 37, Westerleigh, Borough of Staten Island. ,

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #829/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #829/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the vol-

MINUTES

ume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 9, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

333-79-A

APPLICANT—Henry George Greene for 82178 Realty Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- side, rear yards and proposed solarium enclosure.

PREMISES AFFECTED—38-40 East 61st Street, south side, 177 feet 4 inches of Madison Avenue, Block 1375, Lots 43 and 45, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael G. Auerbach.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 23, 1979, on Alt. Applic. #1055/78, reads:

"10. Size of side and rear yards to light and ventilate new living rooms, are contrary to Sec. 26 M.D.L.

20. Proposed solarium enclosure at rear of 12th floor, which increases degree of non-compliance of rear yard which is required by Sec. 26 M.D.L., is contrary to Sec. 9 M.D.L."

and

Whereas, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 23, 1979 acting on Alt. Applic. #1055/78, Objection Nos. 10 and 20 be and it hereby is modified and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings marked received "March 27, 1979", 31 sheets, "June 29, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 5, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with and that substantial construction be completed within one year of the date of this resolution.

378-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, southeast corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 1, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #561/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P. 110.2(c) RS-16 as."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #561/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially con-

MINUTES

form to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

379-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 31.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 2, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979, and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #562/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P. 110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #562/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court

in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

380-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 53.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 3, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #563/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P. 110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #563/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when

MINUTES

a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

381-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 75.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 5, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commisisoner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #564/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a logally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS—16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of

the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #564/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

382-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 97.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 6, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commisisoner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #565/79, reads:

MINUTES

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore :

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #565/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and is hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances serving the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 feet aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

383-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 119.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 7, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #566/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore :

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #566/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20

MINUTES

foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

384-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 141.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 9, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #567/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #567/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this

building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

385-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 163.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 10, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #568/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #568/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of

MINUTES

sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

386-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 6 of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 185.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 11, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #569/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage

space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #569/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

387-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 207.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 13, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,

MINUTES

Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #570/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #570/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

388-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 229.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 14, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. 571/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #571/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance

MINUTES

of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labelled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

389-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 251.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 15, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #572/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P-110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #572/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will

be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labelled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

390-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 273.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 17, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #573/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

MINUTES

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #573/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

391-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 295.22 feet south of Richmond Road, Block 2400, Lot 18, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #574/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be

issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P110.2 (c) RS-16 AS." and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #574/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highways specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

392-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, east side, 317.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 19, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

MINUTES

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N. B. Applic. #575/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #575/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow

and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

393-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, southwest corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 21, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #576/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #576/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under

MINUTES

Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

394-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 29.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 22, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #577/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #577/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings;

that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirement of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

395-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 51.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 23, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #578/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administration Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal

MINUTES

of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS—16 as.”

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Concotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #578/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

396-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 73.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 25, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #579/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administration Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of Storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS—16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Concotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #579/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

397-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 95.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 26, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE:

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #580/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS—16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #580/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway

specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

398-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 117.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 27, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE:

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #581/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS—16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #581/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the require-

MINUTES

ments of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

399-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 138.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 29, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979 on N.B. Applic. #582/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. 582/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it

hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

400-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 161.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 30, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #583/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least

MINUTES

8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #583/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

401-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 184.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 31, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough of Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 on N.B. Applic. #584/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located without 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #584/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

MINUTES

402-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation,
Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to
Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed
building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 205.37
feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 33, New
Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic.
#585/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building
is not placed on the official map of the City of New York
therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued
per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least
8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting
directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage
space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0
of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal
of storm water where no public storm sewer into which
discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the prop-
erty, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS—16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of
the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E.
and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that
the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic.
#585/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is
modified and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on*
condition that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient
capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved
area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same
to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume
of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the
sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required
retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25
percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of
volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer
is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure
then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be
maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry
wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur
as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least
disruption of trees and natural features; and that the re-
quirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to
storm water falling on the street on which this building
fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated
September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1a and b is
granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section
36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed
on the property requiring that a block association be formed,
which is to be composed of each property owner, whose re-
sponsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good
working condition, including all utilities and appurten-
ances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of
the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the
issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occu-

pancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording
of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks
and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Depart-
ment of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court
shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks;
that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot
aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and
unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot
aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words
"Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and
no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations;
on further condition that the building shall substantially con-
form to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets;
and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied
with.

403-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation,
Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—filed pursuant to
Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed
building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 227.37
feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 34, New
Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic.
#586/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building
is not placed on the official map of the City of New York
therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued
per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least
8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting
directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage
space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0
of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal
of storm water where no public storm sewer into which
discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the prop-
erty, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS—16 as."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of
the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and
Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the
appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic.
#586/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is
modified and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on*
condition that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient
capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved
area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same
to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the vol-
ume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to
the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required
retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25
percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of
volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer
is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure
then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be
maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry

MINUTES

wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

404-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 249.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 35, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #587/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS—16 as."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent,

dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #587/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

405-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 271.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 37, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #588/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be

MINUTES

issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta Board which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #588/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the power vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

406-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 293.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 38, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #589/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS. the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #589/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the power vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow

MINUTES

and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

407-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street,

PREMISES AFFECTED—Agrig Court, west side, 315.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 39, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N. B. Applic. #590/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administration Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS—16 AS."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #590/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the drywells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is

granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Agrig Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Agrig Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Agrig Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Agrig Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

408-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, southeast corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 58, Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Laurie.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979 on N.B. Applic. #591/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administration Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS—16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #591/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is

MINUTES

granted on condition that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

409-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 31.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 57, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #592/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting

directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #592/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

410-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 53.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 56, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,

MINUTES

Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #593/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #593/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

411-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 75.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 54, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #594/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #594/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the

MINUTES

issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

412-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 97.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 53, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #595/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #595/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site,

the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

413-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 119.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 52, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979 on N.B. Applic. #596/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of

MINUTES

the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #596/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

414-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 141.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 50, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979 on N.B. Applic. #597/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS." and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #597/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

415-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to

MINUTES

Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 163.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 49, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979 on N.B. Applic. #598/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N. B. Applic. #598/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Nos. 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet

the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

416-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 185.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 48, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #599/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #599/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also

MINUTES

waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977) ; that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

417-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 207.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 46, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #600/79 reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS—16 AS."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #600/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is

granted on condition that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977) ; that Objection Number 1 and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

418-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 229.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 45, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #601/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting

MINUTES

directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #601/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

419-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 251.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 44, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Laurie.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #602/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #602/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

MINUTES

420-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 273.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 42, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #603/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS—16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #603/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate

the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

421-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 295.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 41, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979, and June 22, 1979, on N. B. Applic. 604/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% Disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #604/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry

MINUTES

wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

422-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, east side, 317.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 40, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE:

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979, and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #605/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS—16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and

Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #605/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

423-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, southwest corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 60, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #606/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building

MINUTES

is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. 606/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to be continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

424-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 29.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 61,

New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #607/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #607/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to be continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire

MINUTES

Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

425-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 51.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 62, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #608/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code.

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #608/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which

is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

426-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 73.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 64, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #609/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code.

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #609/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required

MINUTES

retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

427-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 95.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 65, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #610/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the prop-

erty, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS—16 AS." and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #610/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

428-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 117.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 66, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

MINUTES

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #611/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #611/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

429-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation,
Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 139.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 68, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N. B. Applic. #612/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code.

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta, which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #612/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifica-

MINUTES

tions; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

430-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 161.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 69, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #613/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS—16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta, which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #613/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the require-

ments of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

431-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 183.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 70, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N. B. Applic. #614/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code.

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS—16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta, which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #614/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby

MINUTES

is modified and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

432-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 205.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 72, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #615/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least

8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS—16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta, which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #615/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

433-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 227.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 73, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

MINUTES

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #616/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #616/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

434-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 249.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 74, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #617/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #617/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate

MINUTES

the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

435-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 271.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 76, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #618/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #618/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, bc and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to

occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

436-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 293.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 77, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #619/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS." of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic.

MINUTES

#619/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

437-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Paler Court, west side, 315.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 78, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979, and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #620/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #620/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Paler Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Paler Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Paler Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Paler Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

438-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, southeast corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 98, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

MINUTES

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #621/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #621/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further con-*

dition that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

439-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 31.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 97, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #622/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #622/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of

MINUTES

each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

440-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 53.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 96, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979 on N.B. Applic. #623/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #623/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the

required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

441-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 75.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 94, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #624/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal

MINUTES

of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS." and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee for the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #624/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

442-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 97.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 93, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,

Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #625/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #625/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

MINUTES

443-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation,
Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to
Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed
building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 119.22
feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 92, New
Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic.
#626/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building
is not placed on the official map of the City of New York
therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued
per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least
8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting
directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage
space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0
of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal
of storm water where no public storm sewer into which
discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the prop-
erty, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of
the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and
Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the
appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B.
Applic. #626/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it
hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is
granted on condition that the volume of the dry wells be of
sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof
and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of
the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings;
that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed
under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy
the required retained volume as long as it represents not
more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of
75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when
a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site,
the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry
wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that
the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff
to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting
the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that
the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as
to storm water falling on the street on which this building
fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated
September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is
granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section
36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed
on the property requiring that a block association be formed,
which is to be composed of each property owner whose re-
sponsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in
good working condition, including all utilities and appur-
tenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a
copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior

to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of
Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording
of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks
and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department
of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall
meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the
easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on
each side which shall be maintained free, clear and un-
obstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles
be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire
Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no
standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations;
on further condition that the building shall substantially con-
form to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets;
and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied
with.

444-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation,
Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to
Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed
building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 141.22
feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 90,
New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic.
#627/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed build-
ing is not placed on the official map of the City of New
York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be
issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City
Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least
8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting
directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage
space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0
of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal
of storm water where no public storm sewer into which
discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the
property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of
the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and
Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the
appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B.
Applic. #627/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it
hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is
granted on condition that the volume of the dry wells be of
sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof
and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of
the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings;
that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed
under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to
satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents
not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum
of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that

MINUTES

when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

445-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 163.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 89, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #628/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #628/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

446-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 185.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 88, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,

MINUTES

dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #629/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #629/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

447-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to

Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 207.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 86, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #630/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #630/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall

MINUTES

meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

448-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 229.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 85, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #631/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #631/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that

the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

449-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 251.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 84, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf ... 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979 on N.B. Applic. #632/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #632/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and

MINUTES

it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

450-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 273.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 82, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979 on N.B. Applic. #633/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #633/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

451-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 295.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 81, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #634/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #634/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially con-

form to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

452-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, east side, 317.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 80, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #635/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #635/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility

MINUTES

is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

453-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, southwest corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 100, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf ... 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979 on N.B. Applic. #636/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction dose not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #636/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be an it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted* on condition that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum

of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

454-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal srteet.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 29.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 101, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979, and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #637/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the prop-

MINUTES

erty, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS.” and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #637/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of the Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

455-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 51.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 102, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed. Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf ... 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,

dated 12 April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #638/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS." and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #638/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

456-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to

MINUTES

Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 73.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 104, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979, and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #639/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 21, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #639/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the

easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

457-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 95.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 105, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #640/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #640/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of

MINUTES

Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

458-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 117.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 106, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1970, on N.B. Applic. #641/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #641/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby

is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

459-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 139.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 108, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #642/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least

MINUTES

8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #642/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times, that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

460-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 611.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 109, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossela, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf ... 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #643/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #643/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further*

MINUTES

condition that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

461-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 183.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Court, Block 2400, Lot 110, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #644/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS—16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #644/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which

is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

462-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 205.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 112, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed. Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf ... 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #645/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS—16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #645/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to

MINUTES

satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

463-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 227.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 113, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #646/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal

of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #646/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

464-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 249.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 114, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,

MINUTES

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #647/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #647/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

465-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re-proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 271.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 116, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #648/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #648/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of

MINUTES

a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

466-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 293.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 117, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #649/79 reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #649/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street

fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

467-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Lamped Court, west side, 315.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 118, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #650/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.100.2 (c) RS-16 AC."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of

MINUTES

the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta, which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #650/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Lamped Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Lamped Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Lamped Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Lamped Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with.

468-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, southeast corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 138, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #651/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS." and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #651/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

469-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed

MINUTES

building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 31.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 137, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #652/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #652/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances serving the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of

20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

470-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 53.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 136, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #653/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #653/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this

MINUTES

building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

471-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 75.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 134, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #654/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #654/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of

sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

472-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 97.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 133, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #655/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting

MINUTES

directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #655/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as its represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

473-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 119.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 132, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #656/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #656/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as its represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

MINUTES

474-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation,
Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to
Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed
building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 141.22
feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 130,
New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic.
#657/79, reads:
and

“1. The street giving access to the proposed building
is not placed on the official map of the City of New York
therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued
per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least
8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting
directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage
space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0
of the Administrative Code.

“2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal
of storm water where no public storm sewer into which
discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the prop-
erty, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS—16 AS.”

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of
the Board, consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and
Commissioner John Cincotta, which recommended that the
appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic.
#657/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby
is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on
condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient
capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved
area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same
to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the vol-
ume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to
the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required
retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25
percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of
volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer
is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure
then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be
maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry
wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur
as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least
disruption of trees and natural features; and that the require-
ments of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm
water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer
to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9,
1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under
the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the
General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the
property requiring that a block association be formed, which
is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibil-
ity is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working
condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing
the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restric-
tion be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Build-
ing Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate

the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction;
that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be
provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifi-
cations; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications
of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided
with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be
maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the
surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and
labeled with the words “Fire Lane”; that signs be posted
indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Depart-
ment rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the
building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked
“Received July 6, 1979”, 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules
and regulations shall be complied with.

475-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation,
Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to
Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed
building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 163.22
feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 129,
New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic.
#658/79, reads:
and

“1. The street giving access to the proposed building
is not placed on the official map of the City of New York
therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued
per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least
8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting
directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage
space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0
of the Administrative Code.

“2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal
of storm water where no public storm sewer into which
discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the prop-
erty, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS.”

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of
the Board, consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and
Commissioner John Cincotta, which recommended that the
appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic.
#658/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby
is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on
condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient
capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved
area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same
to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the vol-
ume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to
the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required
retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25
percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of
volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer
is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure
then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be

MINUTES

maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provide in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

476-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 185.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 128, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #659/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code.

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta, which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #659/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

477-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 307.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 126, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #660/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

MINUTES

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta, which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #660/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

478-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 229.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 125, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979, and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #661/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #661/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/194 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire aLne"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked

MINUTES

"Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

479-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 251.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 124, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative, Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979, and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #662/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #662/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously

maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

480-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 273.22 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 122, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979, and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #663/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta, which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #663/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25

MINUTES

percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1997); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

481-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation,
Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to
Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed
building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 295.22
feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 121,
New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic.
#664/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building
is not placed on the official map of the City of New York
therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued
per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least
8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting
directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage
space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0
of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal
of storm water where no public storm sewer into which
discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the
property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of
the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and
Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the
appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B.
Applic. #664/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it
hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is
granted on condition that the volume of the dry wells be of
sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof
and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of
the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings;
that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed
under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy
the required retained volume as long as its represents not
more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of
75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a
storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site,
the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry
wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner;
that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site
runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow per-
mitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and
that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived
as to storm water falling on the street on which this building
fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated
September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is
granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section
36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed
on the property requiring that a block association be formed,
which is to be composed of each property owner, whose
responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good
working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances
servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the
deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance
of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall
indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed
restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting
shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway
specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the
specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement
be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side
which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all
times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in
traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that
signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as
per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further
condition* that the building shall substantially conform to
drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and
that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

482-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation,
Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to
Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed
building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, east side, 317.82
feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 120,
New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic.
#665/79, reads:

MINUTES

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #665/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as its represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

483-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, southwest corner of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 140, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #666/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #666/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall

MINUTES

be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

484-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 29.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 141, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #667/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #667/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers

1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

485-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 51.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 142, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #668/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #668/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved

MINUTES

area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

486-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation,
Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 73.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 144, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979, and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #669/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting

directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #669/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

487-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation,
Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 95.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 145, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

MINUTES

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0
THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #670/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #670/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

488-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 117.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 146, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #671/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #671/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre,

MINUTES

page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

489-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 132.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 148, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979, and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #672/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #672/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site

runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

490-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 161.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 149, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #673/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

MINUTES

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #673/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racial Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racial Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racial Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racial Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

491-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racial Court, west side, 183.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 150, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #674/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2 (c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #674/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racial Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racial Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racial Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racial Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

492-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racial Court, west side, 205.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 152, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

MINUTES

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,

Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #675/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #675/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department

rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

493-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 277.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 153, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,

Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #676/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, acting on N.B. Applic. #676/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to

MINUTES

be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

494-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 249.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 154, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #677/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(e) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #677/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required

retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977): that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

495-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 271.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 156, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #678/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

MINUTES

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #678/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

496-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 293.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 157, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #679/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #679/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

497-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Interfin Corporation, Contract Vendee.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, of the General City Law re- Proposed building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Racal Court, west side, 315.37 feet south of Richmond Hill Road, Block 2400, Lot 158, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #680/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C-26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

"2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P.110.2(c) RS-16 AS."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 12, 1979 and June 22, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #680/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Numbers 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Racal Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Racal Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Racal Court's curbs, sidewalks and lighting shall be provided in accordance with

Department of Highway specifications; that trees in Racal Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that the easement be provided with a minimum of 20 foot aisles on each side which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; that the surface of the 20 foot aisles be striped in traffic yellow and labeled with the words "Fire Lane"; that signs be posted indicating no parking and no standing as per Traffic Department rules and regulations; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 6, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

508-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Save Way Coney Island Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 25, 1979—appeal from a decision of Fire Commissioner re- conversion to self service gasoline station.

PREMISES AFFECTED—914 Coney Island Avenue, southwest corner of 18th Avenue, Block 5418, Lots 27, 38, and 39, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino and S. P. Russo.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE:

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the letter of the Fire Commissioner, dated March 29, 1979, on F.D. Account #E597754, reads:

"Please be advised that self-service gasoline stations are prohibited by Chapter 19-73.0-b2 of the Administrative Code and therefore your request for the aforementioned conversion is denied."

and

WHEREAS, a letter of the Fire Commissioner reads "Please be advised that self-service gasoline stations are prohibited by Chapter 19-73.0-b2 of the Administrative Code and therefore your request for the aforementioned conversion is denied"; and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John B. Cincotta and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A. which recommended that the Appeal be *granted* under certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, Section C19-73.0.b2 of the Fire Prevention Code permits the use and installation of self-service devices in gasoline service stations provided that such devices are operated by persons holding a Certificate of Fitness for the dispensing of gasoline or diesel fuel for motor fuel; and

WHEREAS, the filed plans indicate the control booth is located so that the dispensing areas are, at all times, in full view of the person operating the fuel dispensing devices, consoles, and safety controls, and this person must hold a Certificate of Fitness.

Resolved, that the decision of the Fire Commissioner dated March 29, 1979 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the application be and it hereby is *granted on condition* (1) that a trained attendant who possesses a Certificate of Fitness, as above described, shall be on duty at all times when the station is open for business; (2) that it shall be the attendant's duty to require the engine of any vehicle be shut off before the start of the fuel operation, and to prohibit smoking within the immediate area of the fuel operation; (3) that it shall be the attendant's duty to prevent the dispensing of fuel into portable containers; (4) that signs reading "No Smoking", "Stop Your Engine", "It Is Unlawful to Dispense Gasoline Into Portable Containers" and "The Dispensing of Gasoline

MINUTES

Shall be by a Person Holding a Valid Drivers License or a Person 18 years of age or holder" shall be conspicuously posted in clear view of the customer at the dispensing island; (5) that portable fire extinguishers, acceptable to the Fire Commissioner, be located as indicated on filed plans; (6) that all dispensing devices and fire suppression systems be approved by the Board of Standards and Appeals; (7) that the suppression system be arranged in a manner so as to cover an area around each pump encompassed by a circle having a radius equal to the maximum extendable length of the hose and nozzle of said pump; (8) that the installation and use of coin-operated dispensing devices for fuel be prohibited; (9) that there shall be constant contact between the attendant in the control booth and the dispensing island by means of a voice intercommunication system which shall be maintained in a proper operating condition at all times; (10) that all controls, devices, fire suppression systems and fire fighting equipment be maintained in good operating order at all times; (11) that a maintenance log shall be kept on the premises as per direction of the Fire Commissioner; (12) that all dispensing nozzles are the automatic closing type without hold open latches; (13) that a list of emergency procedures and instructions be conspicuously posted in the immediate vicinity of the attendant's principal control location, said instructions shall be at the direction of the Fire Commissioner; (14) that the dispensing areas shall, at all times, be well lit for complete visual control; (15) that the permit to operate this station shall be for a term of five (5) years from the date of this approval; (16) that all of the conditions set forth in this resolution shall be conspicuously posted in the attendant's booth; (17) that there be no servicing or repair of motor vehicles on the premises; *on further condition* that the building, equipment, devices and controls shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received April 25, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

554-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Fima Bokser, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- Proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—104 Weaver Street, southwest corner of Holdridge Avenue, Block 6370, Lot 38, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 17, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #344/79, reads:

"1. Street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

a. No C. of O. can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and,

b. Permit may not be issued since proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block

which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the premises was inspected by a committee of the Board which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 17, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #344/79 Objection No. 1 a and b and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; on further condition that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 8, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

555-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Fima Bokser, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—341 Shirley Avenue, northwest corner of Holdridge Avenue, Block 6370, Lot 47, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 17, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #345/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

MINUTES

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 17, 1979 acting on #345/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 8, 1979", 2 sheets, and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

556-75-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Fima Bokser, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- Proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—278 Holdridge Avenue, west side, 65.13 feet south of Weaver Street, Block 6370, Lot 43, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger Parker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 17, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #346/79, reads:

"1. Street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

a. No C. of O. can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and,

b. Permit may not be issued since proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the premises was inspected by a committee of the Board which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 17, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #346/79 Objection No. 1 a and b and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 8, 1979", 3 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

5-78-A

APPLICANT—Apsco Fabrics, Incorporated for Louis and Bessie Adler Foundation, Incorporated, owners.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to dismiss the application of January 4, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- sprinkler protection under the obstructions (Bins).

PREMISES AFFECTED—469-475 10th Avenue and 501 West 36th Street, northwest corner, Block 708, Lot 31, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: B. Allen Stollotfzlo.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF THE BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing, additional information to be submitted.

209-78-A

APPLICANT—Albert Melniker for Frank Tambakis, Nicholas and Ann Tambakis, owners.

SUBJECT—Application March 30, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—2145 Richmond Avenue, east side, 11.74 feet south of Rockland Avenue, Block 2360, Lot 54, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Albert Melniker and Leonard Rothkrug.

ACTION OF BOARD—Decision deferred and laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., hearing closed.

172-78-A

APPLICANT—Shell Oil Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Shellzone/R/", in one (1) gallon—F Style-Plastic with Sunbeam-Squeeze Lock, con-

MINUTES

tainer not in compliance with the Regulations of the Ad-80-79-A
ministrative Code of the City of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Decision deferred and laid over to
July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., hearing closed.

48-79-A

APPLICANT—Weinberg and Kirshenbaum for Zion
Tabernacle F.B.H. Church, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 16, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Proposed
Church and accessory offices.

PREMISES AFFECTED—107-50 New York Boulevard,
west side, 86 feet north of 108th Avenue, Block 10140,
Lot 23, Jamaica, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leanna R. Manno, Naomi Patrick and
Harold Weinberg.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at
2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

74-79-A

APPLICANT—Robert Davis for EDO Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 24, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Fire Commissioner re- requirement for
Certificate of Fitness as Magazine Keeper.

PREMISES AFFECTED—109-20 14th Avenue, south side,
100 feet west of 110th Street, Block 4044, Lot 6, College
Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Robert Davis.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at
2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

79-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico, for Joseph Ligotti,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed
use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local
Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—10 Latham Place, west side,
298.95 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 170,
Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Roger J. Parker.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at
2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use
of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law
#7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—20 Latham Place, west side,
198.95 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 185,
Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at
2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

81-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use
of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law
#7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—30 Latham Place, west side,
98.95 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 190,
Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at
2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

82-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use
of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law
#7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—40 Latham Place, northwest
corner of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 200, Rossville,
Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at
2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

83-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use
of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law
#7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—11 Latham Place, east side,
277.54 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 236,
Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

MINUTES

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at
2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

84-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use
of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law
#7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—21 Latham Place, east side,
177.54 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 231,
Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at
2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

85-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use
of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law
#7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—31 Latham Place, east side,
77.54 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 225,
Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at
2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

86-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use
of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law
#7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—41 Latham Place, northeast
corner of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 220, Rossville,
Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at
2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

87-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use

of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law
#7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—638 Rossville, north west corner
of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 268, Rossville, Bor-
ough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at
2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

88-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use
of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law
#7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—648 Rossville Avenue, west side,
75.50 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 263,
Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at
2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

89-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use
of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law
#7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—658 Rossville Avenue, west side,
175.50 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 258,
Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2
P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

90-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use
of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law
#7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—668 Rossville Avenue, west side,
275.50 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 253,
Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2
P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

MINUTES

91-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—21 Helios Place, east side, 201.04 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 160, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

92-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—31 Helios Place, east side, 101.04 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 155, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

93-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—41 Helios Place, northeast corner of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 150, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

269-79-A

APPLICANT—Frances L. Weiss for 15 West 81st Street Tenants Corporation, owner, Frances L. Weiss, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application March 12, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- refusal to revoke BN 1955-77, BN 3811-76 and BN 4791-77.

PREMISES AFFECTED—15 West 81st Street, north side, 250 feet west of Central Park West, Block 1195, Lot 18, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Frances Lee Weiss.

For Opposition: I. Minkin, Dept. of Building and C. K. Beinicke.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing.

320-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—585 Lamont Avenue, northwest corner of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 40, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jerome L. Grushkin.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

321-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—595 Lamont Avenue, north side, 99.53 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 45, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

322-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—625 Lamont Avenue, north side, 398.09 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 60, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

323-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use

MINUTES

of drywells for the disposal of storm water. (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—262 Ramona Avenue, south side, 398.00 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 15, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

324-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water. (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—252 Ramona Avenue, south side, 298.57 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 20, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

325-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water. (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—244 Ramona Avenue, south side, 199.05 feet south of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 26, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

326-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—234 Ramona Avenue, south side, 99.53 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 30, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

327-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—224 Ramona Avenue, southwest corner of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 35, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

328-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Vincent Rizzo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—filed pursuant to Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law re- building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—94 Melvin Avenue, south side, 104.48 feet east of Glen Street, Block 2767, Lot 80, Travis, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

329-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Vincent Rizzo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—filed pursuant to Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law re- building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—98 Melvin Avenue, south side, 140.48 feet east of Glen Street, Block 2767, Lot 82, Travis, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

330-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Vincent Rizzo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—102 Melvin Avenue, south side, 176.48 feet east of Glen Street, Block 2767, Lot 84, Travis, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

MINUTES

331-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Vincent Rizzo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—filed pursuant to Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law re- building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—106 Melvin Avenue, south side, 212.48 feet east of Glen Street, Block 2767, Lot 85, Travis, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

352-79-A

APPLICANT—Joseph Grunig for John Gulino, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—85 New Dorp Lane, north side, 250 feet west of Third Street, Block 3630, Lot 43, New Dorp, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Richard Gabel, Jr.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

369-79-A

APPLICANT—Albert Melniker for Agostinelli, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 17, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—662 Sycamore Street, south side, 134.38 feet east of Barclay Avenue, Block 6382, Lot 13, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Albert Melniker.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

513-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for The Turn Verein In The City of New York, owner. Private Cabarets Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Interpretative from the wrongful decision of the Department of Buildings dated April 6, 1979.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1251/59 Lexington Avenue, 146/52 east 85th Street, southeast corner of Lexington Avenue and East 85th Street, Block 1513, Lot 50, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: Mark Alan Siegel, Antonio Oliviere, Councilmember Jane Tiechter, Dep. Comm. Nunkiu, B.D. and others.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 20, 1979, at 10:00 A.M., for hearing.

525-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, west side, 54.39 feet south of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 104, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Edward Lauria.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

526-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, west side, 71.39 feet south of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 102, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

527-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, west side, 88.39 feet south of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 101, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

528-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

MINUTES

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, west side, 105.39 feet south of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 100, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

529-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, west side, 122.39 feet south of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 99, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

530-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, west side, 139.39 feet south of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 97, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

531-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 226.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 91, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

532-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 209.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 89, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

533-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 192.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 88, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

534-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- Proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 175.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 87, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

535-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- Proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 158.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 86, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

MINUTES

536-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- Proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 226.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 84, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

537-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 94.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 82, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

538-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 77.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 80, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

539-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 60.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 79, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

540-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 53.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 78, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

541-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, building not fronting legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 26.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 77, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

620-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Wallace Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 25, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—144-18 156th Street, west side, 75 feet south of Conduit Avenue, Block 15008, Lots 5 and 7, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 17, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

Adjourned: 7:47 P.M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

FILING FEES

FILING FEES Effective August 1, 1979 Int. No. 409

A LOCAL LAW to amend the administrative code of the city of New York in relation to the payment of fees in connection with the filing of applications with the Board of Standards and Appeals.

Be it enacted by the Council as follows:

Section 1. Section 666-1.0 of the administrative code of the city of New York is hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 666-1.0. Fees.—The fees hereinbelow set forth shall be charged for the following applications, appeals and reviews:

1. a. Application for approval of materials, appliances and methods or variances of construction \$200.00

b. Application for amendment of prior approval of materials, appliances and methods of variances of construction 150.00

2. Application for any variance and special permit under the zoning resolution with respect to:

a. One, two and three family dwellings .. 100.00

b. Other buildings and structures:

(1) 10,000 square feet or less of floor area 200.00

(2) In excess of 10,000 but not more than 20,000 square feet of floor area 300.00

(3) In excess of 20,000 but not more than 50,000 square feet of floor area 400.00

(4) In excess of 50,000 square feet of floor area 500.00

c. Junk yards, parking lots, automotive service stations and other similar uses:

(1) 10,000 square feet or less of lot area 150.00

(2) In excess of 10,000 but not more than 20,000 square feet of lot area 250.00

(3) In excess of 20,000 square feet of lot area 350.00

d. Application for amendment of variance of special permit previously granted under paragraph a[b and c] of subdivision [2] two of this section 100.00

e. Application for amendment of variance or special permit previously granted under paragraph b or c of subdivision two of this section .. 150.00

[e] f. Application for an extension of time in which to comply with the conditions imposed in the previous resolution of the board of standards and appeals 100.00

[f] g. Application for extension of time to complete construction pursuant to sections 11-32 and 11-33 of the zoning resolution of the [City] city of New York 100.00

h. Application for extension of the term of variance or special permit previously issued pursuant to subdivision two of this section:

(1) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to paragraph a of such subdivision 100.00

(2) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph one of paragraph b of such subdivision 200.00

(3) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph two of paragraph b of such subdivision 300.00

(4) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph three of paragraph b of such subdivision 400.00

(5) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph four of paragraph b of such subdivision 500.00

(6) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph one of paragraph c of such subdivision 150.00

(7) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph two of paragraph c of such subdivision 250.00

(8) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph three of paragraph c such subdivision 350.00

3. Appeals from or application for review of any order, requirement or determination of the commissioner of buildings or of any borough superintendent of buildings of the department of buildings or of the fire commissioner or any rule or regulation or amendment or repeal thereof made by the fire commissioner or the commissioner of marine and aviation 100.00

4. Application filed for variation of the provisions of the multiple dwelling law, or labor law, and application filed pursuant to the general city law 100.00

5. Exemptions—*a.* The provisions of this section shall not apply if the owner of the premises affected by the application, appeal or review, be a corporation, or association organized and operated exclusively for religious, charitable or educational purposes, or for one or more such purposes, no part of the net earnings of which enures to the benefit of any private individual and provided that the premises affected are to be used exclusively by such corporation or association for one or more such purposes.

b. The provisions of this section shall not apply if a municipal department or agency of the city is the applicant or appellant before the Board of Standards and Appeals.

§ 2. The local law shall take effect immediately.

BOARD OF STANDARDS APPEALS
80 LAFAYETTE STREET
NEW YORK, N. Y. 10013

University Of Illinois Library
Att: Serial Dept.
Urbana, Ill. 61801

BULK RATE
U. S. Postage
PAID
New York, N. Y.
Permit No. 4875

352,05
NEW



BULLETIN

OF THE

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

Issued under authority of Chapter 27, Section 665 of the Charter of the City of New York
Published weekly by the Board of Standards and Appeals at its office, 80 Lafayette Street, 9th Floor, Manhattan,
New York, N. Y. 10013

Vol. LXIV	Subscription \$25.00 a year	July 26, 1979	By Mail, 65 cents (Cash only) Single Copies, 50 cents	No. 29
-----------	--------------------------------	---------------	--	--------

DIRECTORY

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

VITO J. FOSSELLA, P.E., M.C.E., Chairman

PHILIP P. AGUSTA, R.A., M.U.P., *Vice Chairman*

HARRY M. CARROLL, P.E.

JOHN J. WALSH, P.E.

JOHN B. CINCOTTA

STANLEY M. WOLF, R.A.

Commissioners.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

RICHARD B. ATWELL, *Deputy Director*

FRANCES M. WALDRON, *Secretary*

DARRELL L. GAVRIN, J.D., *Counsel*

AVRIL H. LATHAM, *Chief Clerk*

OFFICE—80 Lafayette Street, 9th Floor, Manhattan, New
York, N. Y. 10013.

TELEPHONE—566-5174.

CONTENTS

Docket.

Notice of Hearing of Calendar.

Minutes of Regular Meeting, Tuesday Morning, July 17,
1979, Affecting Calendar Numbers—

562-67-A	338-77-A	343-77-A	348-77-A
871-67-A	339-77-A	344-77-A	349-77-A
183-72-A	340-77-A	345-77-A	350-77-A
336-77-A	341-77-A	346-77-A	351-77-A
337-77-A	342-77-A	347-77-A	352-77-A
353-77-A	619-77-A	903-78-BZ	498-79-A
354-77-A	81-78-A	50-79-BZ	504-79-BZ

355-77-A	430-60-BZ	171-79-BZ	524-79-BZ
356-77-A	247-68-BZ	172-79-BZ	552-79-BZ
357-77-A	656-77-BZ	204-79-BZ	559-79-BZ
358-77-A	969-77-BZ	205-79-BZ	560-79-BZ
359-77-A	249-29-BZ—	255-79-BZ	273-79-BZ
360-77-A	Vol. II	283-79-BZ	284-79-BZ
361-77-A	29-53-BZ	289-79-BZ	285-79-A
362-77-A	304-75-BZ	290-79-BZ	318-79-BZ
363-77-A	225-77-BZ	306-79-BZ	337-79-BZ
364-77-A	967-77-BZ	307-79-A	344-79-BZ
365-77-A	223-78-A	339-79-BZ	577-79-A
366-77-A	492-78-A	370-79-BZ	

Minutes of Regular Meeting, Tuesday Afternoon, July 17,
1979, Affecting Calendar Numbers—

172-78-A	323-79-A	534-79-A	139-79-A
209-78-A	324-79-A	535-79-A	140-79-A
48-79-A	325-79-A	536-79-A	141-79-A
74-79-A	326-79-A	537-79-A	142-79-A
79-79-A	327-79-A	538-79-A	143-79-A
80-79-A	328-79-A	539-79-A	144-79-A
81-79-A	329-79-A	540-79-A	145-79-A
82-79-A	330-79-A	541-79-A	147-79-A
83-79-A	331-79-A	612-79-A	148-79-A
84-79-A	369-79-A	613-79-A	149-79-A
85-79-A	352-79-A	614-79-A	150-79-A
86-79-A	505-79-A	615-79-A	151-79-A
87-79-A	523-79-A	620-79-A	152-79-A
88-79-A	525-79-A	34-79-A	153-79-A
89-79-A	526-79-A	35-79-A	161-79-A
90-79-A	527-79-A	132-79-A	363-79-A
91-79-A	528-79-A	133-79-A	506-79-A
92-79-A	529-79-A	134-79-A	624-79-A
93-79-A	530-79-A	135-79-A	705-79-A
320-79-A	531-79-A	136-79-A	739-79-A
321-79-A	532-79-A	137-79-A	
322-79-A	533-79-A	138-79-A	

Minutes of Special Meeting, Friday Morning, July 20,
1979, Affecting Calendar Number—513-79-A

Filing Fees.

THE LIBRARY OF THE

OCT 04 1979

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

CALENDAR

DOCKET

New cases filed up to July 17, 1979

<i>Cal. No.</i>	<i>Dept.</i>	<i>Premises Affected</i>
782-79-A	F.D.	Packaging of inflammable mixture known as "Copier Dispersant". Ricoh Electronics Incorporated, owner.
783-79-A	F.D.	Packaging of inflammable mixture known as "Classic Gas Line Antifreeze". Classic Chemical, owner.
784-79-A	B.S.I.	151 Aspinwall Street, east side, 94 feet north of Hylan Boulevard, Block 7926, Lot 62, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1143-79 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street and proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).
785-79-A	B.S.I.	147 Aspinwall Street, east side, 154 feet north of Hylan Boulevard, Block 7926, Lot 67, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1144-79 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street and proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).
786-79-A	B.S.I.	176 Carteret Street, west side, 75 feet north of Hylan Boulevard, Block 7926, Lot 49, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1146-79 re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).
787-79-A	B.S.I.	168 Carteret Street, west side, 150 feet north of Hylan Boulevard, Block 7926, Lot 47, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1147-79 re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).
788-78-A	B.S.I.	162 Carteret Street, west side, 225 feet north of Hylan Boulevard, Block 7926, Lot 41, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1148-79 re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).
789-78-A	B.S.I.	160 Connecticut Street, northwest corner of Hylan Boulevard, Block 7939, Lot 50, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1134-79 re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).
790-78-A	B.S.I.	152 Connecticut Street, west side, 100 feet north of Hylan Boulevard, Block 7939, Lot 46, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1135-79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street and proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).
791-78-A	B.S.I.	146 Connecticut Street, west side, 164 feet north of Hylan Boulevard, Block 7939, Lot 43, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1136-79 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street and proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).
792-79-A	B.S.I.	136 Connecticut Street, west side, 228 feet north of Hylan Boulevard, Block 7939, Lot 39, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1137-79 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street and proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).
793-79-A	B.S.I.	33 Massachusetts Street, east side, 295 feet north of Hylan Boulevard, Block 7929, Lot 72.

Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1138-79 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street and proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

794-79-A—B.S.I.—27 Massachusetts Street, east side, 230 feet north of Hylan Boulevard, Block 7939, Lot 68, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1139-79 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street and proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

795-79-A—B.S.I.—21 Massachusetts Street, east side, 165 feet north of Hylan Boulevard, Block 7939, Lot 65, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1140-79 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street and proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

796-79-A—B.S.I.—15 Massachusetts Street, east side, 100 feet north of Hylan Boulevard, Block 7939, Lot 62, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1141-79 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street and proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

797-79-BZ—B.M.—1466 Broadway, 152 West 42nd Street, southeast corner, Block 994, Lot 54, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M. Alt. #376-79 re-rear yard, windows and lot lines and does not provide at least one window in each apartment opening on a street, yard or lawful court, 23-47, 23-663, 23-861 Z.R. and 277(7) MDL.

798-79-A—B.M.—1466 Broadway, 152 West 42nd Street, southeast corner, Block 994, Lot 54, Borough of Manhattan. Alt. #376-79 re-Multiple Dwelling Law.

799-79-BCR—B.D.—Modification of Reference Standards RS 10 and RS 10-5 (Design, Fabrication, and Erection of Structural Steel for Buildings) of the Building Code of the City of New York.

800-79-BCR—B.G.—Modification of Reference Standard RS 17-2 (Installation of Sprinkler Systems) of the Building Code of the City of New York.

801-79-BZ—B.S.I.—5100 Amboy Road, south side, 139.85 feet east of Community Lane, Block 6469, Lot 30, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #3S.I. N.B. #556-79 re-proposed food store (UG6) and no applicable bulk, parking or loading requirements contrary to 22-00 Z.R.

802-79-A—B.S.I.—5100 Amboy Road, south side, 139.85 feet east of Community Lane, Block 6469, Lot 30, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #556-79 re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

803-79-SA—Datacon PM-1000U Electronic Computer head for gasoline pumps. Datacon, Incorporated, owner.

804-79-BZ—B.M.—22-32 East 64th Street, south side, 180 feet west of Second Avenue, Block 1418, Lot 32, Borough of Manhattan, Community Board #8M. N.B. #14-79 re-proposed building, setback, lot coverage and rear yard contrary to 23-632, 24-11 and 24-33 Z. R.

805-79-BZ—B.M.—1 Wall Street Plaza, 88 Pine Street, south east corner, Block 38, Lot 17, Borough of Manhattan. Alt. #680-79 re-floor area ratio contrary to 33-122 Z. R. Community Board #1M.

CALENDAR

806-79-A—B.Q.—145-22-26 156th Street, west side, 175 feet south of 145th Avenue, Block 15010, Lots 14 and 11, Borough of Queens, N.B. #91-79 re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

807-79-A—F.D.—222 Mansion Avenue, southeast corner of Great Kills Harbor, Block 5207, Lots 7 and 12, Great Kills, Borough of Staten Island. F. D. #63-79 Account #E308399 re-proposed conversion to self-service gas station.

808-79-A—B.S.I.—Buttonwood Road, west side, 873.95 feet west of Woodhaven Avenue, Block 881, Lot 255, Todt Hill, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1499 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street and proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

DESIGNATIONS: D—Department of Buildings; B.B.—Department of Buildings, Brooklyn; B.M.—Department of Buildings, Manhattan; B.Q.—Department of Buildings, Queens; B.S.I.—Department of Buildings, Staten Island; B.Bx.—Department of Buildings, Bronx; H.D.—Health Department; F.D.—Fire Department.

OCTOBER 2, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday morning October 2, 1979, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

624-38-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—BECA Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired May 28, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, on a plot presently occupied with a two story dwelling and two car garage, the use of the unbuilt upon portion for the parking and storage of more than five (5) motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3335 Hull Avenue, west side, 224.67 feet north of East 209th Street, Block 3348, Lots 66 and 70, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #7BX.

752-64-BZ

APPLICANT—Max Siegel Associates for Schnurmacher Brothers, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires October 20, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 60 (3) of the Multiple Dwelling Law, permitting in a C5-1 and R8 district, in an existing multiple dwelling, the addition of transient parking for the unused and surplus tenants spaces within the required accessory garage.

PREMISES AFFECTED—999 Madison Avenue, east side, from East 77th Street to East 78th Street, 47 East 77th Street, 40 East 78th Street, Block 1392, Lot 49, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

928-53-BZ

APPLICANT—Charles M. Spindler Associates for Sun Oil Company (Sunmark Industries), owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired June 15, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7f of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a business use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline service station, auto washing, lubricatorium, storage and sale of accessories and office.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1982-1992 Utica Avenue and 4914-4924 Avenue L, southwest corner, Block 7847, Lot 44, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #18BK.

632-19-BZ—Vol. III

APPLICANT—Henry M. Garsson for Metromedia, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, in an existing four story building, the change in occupancy from public garage to studios, offices and storage incidental to radio and television broadcasting with accessory garage.

PREMISES AFFECTED—215-217 East 67th Street, north side, 275 feet east of Third Avenue, Block 1422, Lot 12, Borough of Manhattan.

ZONING CASES

63-79-BZ

APPLICANT—George J. Meltzer for John Menzollillo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R-4 district the erection of a one story and cellar enlargement to an existing two family dwelling and dentist's office to be used as a funeral establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—58-12 Main Street, 138-30 58th Avenue, southwest corner, Block 6377, Lots 30 and 34, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

64-79-A

APPLICANT—George J. Meltzer for John Manzollillo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re-proposed use of frame structure as Dental office.

PREMISES AFFECTED—58-12 Main Street and 138-30 58th Avenue, southwest corner, Block 6377, Lots 30 and 34, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

266-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Taki J. Langas for Flushing Hospital and Medical Center, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-21 and 73-641 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R-4 district, the erection of a new six story building to an existing Medical Center that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, encroaches on the required front yard, penetrates the sky exposure plane and with less than the required accessory parking.

PREMISES AFFECTED—146-01 45th Avenue, northeast corner of Burling Street, Block 5199, Lots 7, 8, 9, 10, 110 21 and 21T, Block 5198, Lots 12 and 25, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

CALENDAR

267-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Taki J. Langas for Flushing Hospital and Medical Center, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 73-451 and 73-452 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R3-2 district, the construction and maintenance of an off-site accessory parking facility for an existing medical center.

PREMISES AFFECTED—144-11 45th Avenue, north side, 50 feet west of Burling Street, Block 5196, Lots 3, 5, 10, 77, 79, and 82, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

268-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Taki J. Langas for Flushing Hospital and Medical Center, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 73-451 and 73-452 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R3-2 district, the construction and maintenance of an off-site accessory parking facility for a medical center.

PREMISES AFFECTED—144-14 45th Avenue, south side, 75 feet west of Burling, Block 5204, Lots 4 and 9, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

277-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a R-5 district the erection of a three story and cellar three family dwelling that encroaches on the required front, side and rear yards.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1641-49th Street, north side, 320 feet east of 16th Avenue, Block 5448, Lot 74, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

278-79-A

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1641-49th Street, north side, 320 feet east of 16th Avenue, Block 5448, Lot 12, Borough of Brooklyn.

298-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Lidia Properties, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 20, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution to permit in a C6-1 district, in an existing seven story building, the conversion of the second through seventh floors into joint living/working quarters for artists.

PREMISES AFFECTED—351/53 Jay Street, east side, 147.7 feet south of Myrtle Avenue, Block 147, Lot 9, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #9BK.

299-79-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Lidia Properties, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 20, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- bedroom windows.

PREMISES AFFECTED—351-353 Jay Street, east side, 147 feet 7 inches south of Myrtle Avenue, Block 147, Lot 9, Borough of Brooklyn.

129-79-BZ

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger or Daniel Rubin, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing warehouse that increases the degree of non-conformity.

PREMISES AFFECTED—172-47 Baisley Boulevard, west side, 142.31 feet south of Merrill Street, Block 12390, Lot 121, Jamaica, Borough of Queens. Community Board #12Q.

Laid over from July 10, 1979, for continued hearing.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 2, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, *Tuesday afternoon, October 2, 1979*, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

532-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for York Amusement Company, Incorporated, owner, Jolar Cinema, Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1487 7th Avenue, west side, 40.5 feet south of 43rd Street, Block 1014, Lot 134, Borough of Manhattan.

533-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Fashion Wear Realty Corporation, owner, Coast Holding Corporation, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—113 West 42nd Street, north side, 150 feet west of Avenue of the Americas and West 42nd Street, Block 995, Lot 26, Borough of Manhattan.

534-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Sevco Realty Corporation, owner, Black Jack Distributors, Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—210-212 West 42nd Street, south side, 100 feet west of Seventh Avenue, Block 1013, Lot 37, Borough of Manhattan.

535-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Fun City Novelties Incorporated, lessee.

CALENDAR

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—259 West 42nd Street, north side, 100 feet east of Eighth Avenue, Block 1014, Lot 5, Borough of Manhattan.

536-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Jean Messina and Kathryn Fahy, owner, Queens Paperbook Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—247 West 42nd Street, north side, 237.6 feet east of 8th Avenue, Block 1014, Lot 11, Borough of Manhattan.

539-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for 303 West 42nd Street Corporation, owner, Show-World Center Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—303 West 42nd Street, north side, 75 feet west of Eighth Avenue, Block 1033, Lot 32, Borough of Manhattan.

540-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph L. Schwarz, Jr. for Michael Zaffarano, owner, 1605 Book Center Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1603-11 Broadway, west side, 19.6½ feet south of West 49th Street, Block 1020, Lot 43, Borough of Manhattan.

603-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Henry Tausk, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water, (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—118 Elmbank Street, south side 84.70 feet east of Harold Avenue, Block 5407, Lot 6, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

604-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Henry Tausk, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—115 Elmbank Street, north side, 32.69 feet east of Harold Avenue, Block 5406, Lot 42, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

566-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Cast Dur Realty Company also known as Jet Sand and Stone Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 14, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Department of Ports and Terminals re- Storage of Asphalt.

PREMISES AFFECTED—38-05 College Point Boulevard, south side, 22.63 feet, east of Whitestone Expressway, Block 4942, Lot 57, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

572-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Bessie Reichman, owner. Save Way Astoria Incorporated, Long-term, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application May 16, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- proposed conversion to Self Service gasoline station is Contrary to Sec. 19-73-0-b2 of the Administrative Code.

PREMISES AFFECTED—38-01 21st Street, southwest corner of 38th Avenue, Block 471, Lot 734, Astoria, Borough of Queens.

609-79-A

APPLICANT—Joseph B. Raia for Michael Ajello, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 22, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—124 Lenzie Street, south side, 460.45 feet west of Holdridge Avenue, Block 6361, Lot 95, Princess Bay, Borough of Staten Island.

38-79-A

APPLICANT—Barbara Brummer, Vice President for Boyle-Midway Division of American Homes Products Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 10, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Wizard Fire Starter Gel" in one (1) ounce and two (2) ounce plastic pouches with heat sealed container not in compliance with the regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 9, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday morning, October 9, 1979, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013 on the following:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

247-78-A

APPLICANT—Wuest and Bailey for William N. Weidman, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—for Modification of Certificate of Occupancy #Q106790 re- sprinkler system; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—31-14 30th Avenue, south side, 50 feet west of 32nd Street, Block 615, Lot 37, Astoria, Borough of Queens.

931-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel H. Lindenbaum for 80-81 and First Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough

CALENDAR

Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 72-01(b) and 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution; previously granted on condition, permitting in a C1-9 (R10) district, on a plot with an existing multiple dwelling, the erection of a 34 story and penthouse mixed building that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, has less than the required lot area per room and minimum distance between building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—401 to 403 East 80th Street, 1540 to 1556 First Avenue, northeast corner and 400 to 410 East 81st Street, Block 1560, Lots 1, 44 and 45, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

78-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel H. Lindenbaum for Arwin East 74th Street Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C1-9 (R10) and R8 district, the erection of a 33 story mixed building that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio and tower coverage, has less than the required lot area per room and encroaches on the required district between building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1422-1428 Second Avenue, 300-308 East 75th Street, southeast corner and 303-311 East 74th Street, Block 1440, Lots 2 and 3, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

548-75-A

APPLICANT—Ira M. Sherman for 1110 Third Avenue Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-stairway enclosure; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1114 Third Avenue, west side, 40.5 feet north of East 65th Street, Block 1400, Lot 134, Borough of Manhattan.

ZONING CASES

181-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Bonah Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 27, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in an R5 district, the erection of a three story and cellar, three family dwelling that encroaches on the required front, side and rear yards.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1665 50th Street, north side, 230 feet west of 17th Avenue, Block 5454, Lot 62, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

182-79-A

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Bonah Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-wheelchair access and rear yard for proposed three (3) family dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1665 50th Street, north side, 230 feet west of 17th Avenue, Block 5454, Lot 62, Borough of Brooklyn.

332-79-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Samuel Hofstein, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R3-2 district the construction and maintenance of an accessory parking facility.

PREMISES AFFECTED—43-14 Little Neck Parkway, west side, 324.53 feet north of Northern Boulevard, Block 8129, Lot 44, Little Neck, Borough of Queens. Community Board #11Q.

341-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Vincent and Lucy Calicchio, owners.

SUBJECT—Application April 3, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-18 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R3-2 (RSD) district, the construction of one story building to be occupied as a riding stable and academy with less than the required sidewalk trees.

PREMISES AFFECTED—201 Winant Avenue, east side, 256.13 feet south of Lucille Avenue, Block 7044, Lots 222 and 228, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #3S.I.

342-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Vincent and Lucy Calicchio, owners.

SUBJECT—Application April 3, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough of Superintendent re-proposed building not fronting on legal street, storm sewers and frame building without sprinklers.

PREMISES AFFECTED—201 Winant Avenue, east side, 256.13 feet south of Lucille Avenue, Block 7044, Lots 222 and 228, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

549-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for G-T Properties Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 7, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit, in a C5-5 district, in an existing 33 story structure, the conversion of the third through thirty third floors into apartments that creates non-compliance in lot area per room.

PREMISES AFFECTED—55 Liberty Street, northwest corner of Nassau Street, Block 64, Lot 8, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #1M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 9, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, *Tuesday afternoon, October 9, 1979, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:*

97-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico, for Melvin Kling, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

CALENDAR

PREMISES AFFECTED—44 Petersons Lane, west side
330.37 feet north of Amboy Road, Block 6976, Lot 83,
Princes Bay, Borough of Staten Island.

98-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico, for Melvin Kling,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 6, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use
of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law
#7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—54 Fetersons Lane, west side,
430.37 feet north of Amboy Road, Block 6976, Lot 77,
Princes Bay, Borough of Staten Island.

99-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Melvin Kling,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 6, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use
of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law
#7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—64 Petersons Lane, west side,
530.37 feet north of Amboy Road, Block 6976, Lot 72,
Princes Bay, Borough of Staten Island.

100-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Melvin Kling,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 6, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use
of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law
#7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—74 Petersons Lane, west side,
630.37 feet north of Amboy Road, Block 6976, Lot 68,
Princes Bay, Borough of Staten Island.

545-79-A

APPLICANT—Ross S. Spiro for Michael Fortunato, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Sec-
tion 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed
bulding not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—6 Johnson Street, west side,
62.27 feet north of Arthur Kill Road, Block 7207, Lot 255,
Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

546-79-A

APPLICANT—Ross S. Spiro for Michael Fortunato, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Sec-
tion 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed
building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed
use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law
#7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—26 Johnson Street, west side,
62.27 feet north of Arthur Kill Road, Block 7207, Lot 244,
Borough of Staten Island.

619-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Fraydun Enter-
prises, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 25, 1979—appeal from a deci-
sion of the Borough Superintendent re- rear yard and
proper sized inner courts.

PREMISES AFFECTED—155/49 East 50th Street, north
side, 160 feet west of Third Avenue, Block 1305, Lot 28,
Borough of Manhattan.

628-79-A

APPLICANT—Roberts Consolidated Industries for The
Gibson-Homans Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 29, 1979—appeal from a deci-
sion of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible
mixture known as "Weldwood Roofing Cement" in Fiber
Container with N/A Cleveland, container not in compliance
with the regulation of the Administrative Code.

629-79-A

APPLICANT—Roberts Consolidated Industries for The
Gibson-Homans Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 29, 1979—appeal from a deci-
sion of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible
mixture known as "Weldwood Concrete Patch" in Fiber
container with N/A Cleveland, container not in compliance
with the regulation of the Administrative Code.

630-79-A

APPLICANT—Roberts Consolidated Industries for The
Gibson-Homans Company, owner.

SUBJECT— Application May 29, 1979—appeal from a deci-
sion of the Fire Commissioner re- Packaging of com-
bustible mixture known as "Weldwood Block Top Patch"
in Fiber container with N/A Cleveland container not in
compliance with the regulation of the Administrative Code.

631-79-A

APPLICANT—Roberts Consolidated Industries for ADCO
Products, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT— Application May 29, 1979—appeal from a deci-
sion of the Fire Commissioner re- Packaging of com-
bustible mixture known as "Weldwood Butyl Rubber
Caulk" in Fiber container with N/A Kalamazoo, container
not in compliance with the regulation of the Administrative
Code.

813-79-A

APPLICANT—Neil W. Talling for Chrysler Corporation,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application July 19, 1979—appeal from a deci-
sion of the Fire Commissioner, re- Packaging of inflam-
mable mixture known as "Mopar Winter Anti-Freeze
Summer Coolant Part #106784" Plastic Bottle with
Plastic Screw Top cap container not in compliance with
regulations of the Administrative Code of New York City.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY MORNING, JULY 17, 1979, 10 A.M.

Present: Chairman Fossella, Vice-Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf.

The minutes of the regular meetings of the Board held on Tuesday morning and afternoon July 3, 1979 were approved in the Bulletin of July 12, 1979, Volume 64, Number 27.

562-63-A

APPLICANT—Harry J. Zweibel for Pen Plaza South Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of May 22, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re - elevator; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—363 Seventh Avenue, northeast corner of West 30th Street, Block 806, Lot 1, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harry J. Zweibel.

For administration: Lt. A. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and restored to the Docket, by the Board to be heard on July 24, 1979 on Special Order Calendar.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

871-67-A

APPLICANT—Harry J. Zweibel for West 25130 Realty Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of May 22, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- elevator to be kept in readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—251 West 30th Street, north side, 200 feet east of 8th Avenue, Block 780, Lot 10, Borough of Manhattan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and restored to the Docket, by the Board to be heard on July 24, 1979 on Special Order Calendar.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

183-72-A

APPLICANT—Harry J. Zweibel for Grokash Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of July 18, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- Elevator in Readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—236-238 West 30th Street, south side, 300 feet east of 8th Avenue, Block 779, Lot 63, Borough of Manhattan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and restored to the Docket, by the Board to be heard on July 24, 1979 on Special Order Calendar.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative—Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

336-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3-01 to 3-27 Lee Lane, northwest corner of Lax Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 130-77)

337-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3-02 to 3-10 121st Street, northwest corner of Lax Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time

MINUTES

to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 131-77)

338-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schoor Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class IID) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3-02 to 3-24 Lee Lane, north-west corner of Lax Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 132-77)

339-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schoor Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class IID) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3-14 to 3-24 121st Street, north-west corner of Lax Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time

to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 133-77)

340-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schoor Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class IID) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—4-02 to 4-10 121st Street, north-west corner of Lax Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 134-77)

341-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schoor Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—4-14 to 4-20 121st Street, north-west corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to

MINUTES

complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 135-77)

342-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—121-10 to 121-24 Keel Court, northwest corner of Lax Axenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 136-77)

343-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—121-10 to 121-24 Powells Cove Boulevard, northwest corner of Lax Axenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to

complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 137-77)

344-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—121-09 to 121-25 Keel Court, northwest corner of Lax Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 138-77)

345-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—121-31 to 121-45 5th Avenue, northwest corner of Lax Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to

MINUTES

complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 139-77)

346-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—122-02 to 122-14 Powells Cove Boulevard, northwest corner of Lax Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 140-77)

347-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—122-02 to 122-30 Mizzen Mews, northwest corner of Lax Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978, only as to the time

to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 141-77)

348-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—122-18 to 122-22 Powells Cove Boulevard, northwest corner of Lax Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978, only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 142-77)

349-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—122-01 to 122-15 5th Avenue, northwest corner of Lax Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978, only as to the time

MINUTES

to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 143-77)

350-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—122-01 to 122-21 Mizzen Mews, northwest corner of Lax Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 144-77)

351-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—123-12 to 123-28 Powells Cove Boulevard, northwest corner of Lax Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time

to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 145-77)

352-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2-02 to 2-24 121st Street, northwest corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 20, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 146-77)

353-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3-01 to 3-15 121st Street, northwest corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 25, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time

MINUTES

to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 147-77)

354-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—4-09 to 4-19 121st Street, northwest corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 25, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 148-77)

355-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—120-06 to 120-28 Capstan Court, northwest corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 25, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time

to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 149-77)

356-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—120-16 to 120-28 Cove Court, northwest corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 25, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 150-77)

357-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—120-24 to 120-36 Ketch Court, northwest corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 25, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time

MINUTES

to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 151-77)

358-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—120-01 to 120-29 Capstan Court, northwest corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 25, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 152-77)

359-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—an appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—120-09 to 120-29 Cove Court, northwest corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 25, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to

complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 153-77)

360-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—an appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—120-21 to 120-37 Ketch Court, northwest corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 25, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 154-77)

361-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—an appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—121-09 to 121-25 Powells Cove Boulevard, northwest corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to

MINUTES

complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 155-77)

362-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—122-01 to 122-11 Powells Cove Boulevard, northwest corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 156-77)

363-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—122-17 to 122-31 Powells Cove Boulevard, northwest corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 25, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to

complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 157-77)

364-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—123-01 to 123-11 Powells Cove Boulevard, northwest corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 25, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 158-77)

365-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. and Jay Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—123-17 to 123-27 Powells Cove Boulevard, northwest corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 50, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time

MINUTES

to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 159-77)

366-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for D.O.S. Equities Corporation and Jay-Schorr Development, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expires July 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed two family frame (Class II D) dwelling within the fire limits; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—123-31 to 123-41 Powells Cove Boulevard, northwest corner of 5th Avenue, Block 3916, Lot 25, College Point, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 19, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 19, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 160-77)

619-77-A

APPLICANT—Rampulla Associates for Ms. Irene Herzog, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7); previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—5 Herkimer Street, northwest corner of Metropolitan Avenue, Block 270, Lot 24, New Brighton, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Philip Rampulla.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and resolution amended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on January 31, 1978, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an amendment of the resolution.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on January 31, 1978 as amended through February 20, 1979 by adding thereto:

"to permit an increase of building size and drywell,

substantially as shown on revised drawings of proposed conditions marked 'Received May 23, 1979'—two sheets; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects."
(N.B. 583-77)

81-78-A

APPLICANT—Joseph Feingold for L. L. and F. Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- height, number of stories, and floor area for proposed converted dwelling is contrary to Multiple Dwelling Law; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—175 East 73rd Street, north side, 155 feet west of 3rd Avenue, Block 1408, Lot 30, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph Feingold.

For Opposition: Halina Rosenthal, Miriam Riss and Mrs. Suzanne Pinkus.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application to reopen and amend the resolution *denied*.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN AND AMEND—

Affirmative: 0
Negative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the Board considers that the terms and conditions of the resolution shall be complied with.

Resolved, that the application to amend the resolution be and it hereby is *denied*.

430-60-BZ

APPLICANT—Frank A. Vaccaro for Colonial Funeral Home, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, extension of term of variance which expires November 9, 1980 and for amendment—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the erection of a one story and cellar building for use as a funeral establishment and use of the unbuilt upon portion of the premises for accessory parking.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2819 Hylan Boulevard and 535 Tysens Lane, northwest corner, Block 4256, Lot 34, New Dorp, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #2SI.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Frank A. Vaccaro.

ACTION OF BOARD—Rules of Procedure waived, application reopened, term of variance extended and resolution amended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: 0
Absent: Chairman Fossella 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #2SI. recommended approval, received on June 25, 1979; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on November 9, 1960, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an amendment of the resolution; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

MINUTES

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby waive the Rules of Procedure and reopen and amend the resolution adopted on November 9, 1960 as amended through February 14, 1968 by adding thereto:

"that this variance shall continue for a term of ten years from November 9, 1980; to permit the extension of the building at the rear of the premises to be used as a accessory office to the funeral establishment, substantially as shown on revised drawings of proposed conditions marked 'Received May 15, 1979'—six sheets; *on condition* that all work shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 64-79) and (N.B. 872-59)

274-68-BZ

APPLICANT—Charles M. Spindler Associates for Sunmark Industries.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 11-412 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C2-2 and R6 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing automotive service station previously before the Board and the addition to the uses to include the parking of cars awaiting service.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1626 to 1640 Stillwell Avenue, northwest corner of Kings Highway, Block 6253, Lot 21, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: B. J. Amato.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and resolution amended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Chairman Fossella 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 23, 1968 on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an amendment of the resolution.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 23, 1968 as amended through January 7, 1975 by adding thereto:

"to permit the erection of a new 6' - 0" high chain link fence equipped with aluminum inserts, 50% openable in lieu of a 3' - 6" high iron picket fence on a brick base as previously required, substantially as shown on revised drawing of proposed conditions marked 'Received May 23, 1979'—one sheet; *on condition* that a highway type median guard rail shall be installed in front of the new chain link fence; and that all work shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution; and that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects." (N.B. 681-79)

656-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Mok and Sonber for Sally Kaplan, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C2-3 and R6 district, the conversion of a one story and

mezzanine structure from a garage and motor vehicle repair shop into a skating rink (skateboard center).

PREMISES AFFECTED—71-17 Roosevelt Avenue, north side, 100 feet west of 72nd Street, Block 1282, Lots 151, 160, Jackson Heights, Borough of Queens. Community Board #3Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Paul Mok.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and resolution amended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #3Q. recommended conditional approval, received on July 10, 1979; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on February 14, 1978 on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an amendment of the resolution.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on February 14, 1978 by adding thereto:

"to permit a change in use from skateboard rink Use Group 12, to roller skating rink Use Group 12 and to allow the hours of operation 10:00 A.M. to 10:00 P.M. be changed to 10:00 A.M. to 1:00 A.M., and that the number of persons occupying the premises 240 be changed to 835 persons, substantially as shown on revised drawings of proposed conditions marked 'Received June 5, 1979'—three sheets; *on condition* that the rear yard must be maintained, a fence must be erected around rear yard, rear yard must be kept free of any assembly, two professional security guards on and off premises at all times—guards to remain until all participants have left the area, no liquor sales, and that the management must respond promptly to community residents complaints; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects." (Alt. 729-77)

969-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Oswald Taylor, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to obtain Certificate of Occupancy which expired June 6, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C1-3 district, in an existing three story mixed building the enlargement in area of the first floor store that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio.

PREMISES AFFECTED—598 Nostrand Avenue, west side, 100 feet south of Pacific Street, Block 1200, Lot 48, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to obtain a Certificate of Occupancy.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Chairman Fossella 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on June 6, 1978, on certain conditions; and

MINUTES

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain a Certificate of Occupancy.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on June 6, 1978 only as to the time to obtain a Certificate of Occupancy, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read: that a Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 1130-77)

249-29-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—Walter T. Gorman for Rose L. Firestone, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and extension of term of variance which expired March 19, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7c, 7e and 21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a local retail use district, the change in occupancy from parking and storage of motor vehicles to the erection and maintenance of a structure to be used as an automatic automobile laundry with an additional curb cut in conjunction with existing gasoline service and permitting the installation of four additional gasoline storage tanks.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2228-2232 Gerritsen Avenue, southwest corner of Avenue U, Block 7370, Lot 10, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #18BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Walter T. Gorman.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision, hearing closed.

29-53-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Cyrus Rubin and Bencole Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires July 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7f, 7h, 7i, 7A of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence and retail use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline service station, lubricatorium, car wash (non-automatic), motor vehicle repairs, storage and sale of accessories and office, and permitting the parking and storage of motor vehicles with a business entrance nearer to residence use district than is permitted.

PREMISES AFFECTED—24-60 to 24-72 East Tremont Avenue, 17-54 to 17-90 Seddon Street, southeast corner and 17-34 to 17-63 St. Peters Avenue, Block 3999, Lot 32, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #9BX.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: James E. Vassalotti.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1974, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision; hearing closed.

304-75-BZ

APPLICANT—Burton Flax for Estate of William H. Flax, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, reopening for extension of time to complete which expired November 22, 1978 and for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story and mezzanine building for use as a warehouse with accessory offices.

PREMISES AFFECTED—146-86 New York Boulevard, southwest corner of 146th Drive, Block 13307, Lots 91, 100, 104 and 113, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Burton Flax.

For Opposition: Susan M. Noreika, C.B. #13Q., Eloise Tolbert and Robert Green.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision; hearing closed.

225-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Thomas R. Kalsky for Hess Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 73-211 and 73-212 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C2-5 district, the reconstruction and rearrangement of an automobile service station with accessory uses.

PREMISES AFFECTED—615-635 Tenth Avenue, a/k/a 501-505 44th Street, west side, blockfront between West 44th and West 45th Street, Block 1073, Lot 28, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #3M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Thomas R. Kalsky.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing.

967-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for Romford Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application December 15, 1977—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-9 district, the amendment to a new building application that creates non-compliance in lot area per room.

PREMISES AFFECTED—301/303 East 83rd Street and 1602/1614 Second Avenue, northeast corner, Block 1546, Lots 1 and 50, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sheldon Lobel, Charles G. Moerdler, Stewart Wohrsage, Morton H. Delson.

For Opposition: James C. Sargent, John F. Darrian.

For Administration: Jane B. Trichter, John Kaufman.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10:00 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

223-78-A

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for Romford Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 4, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- review and interpretation of zoning matter.

PREMISES AFFECTED—301/303 East 83rd Street, and 1614 Second Avenue, northeast corner of East 83rd Street and Second Avenue, Block 1546, Lots 1 and 50, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sheldon Lobel, Charles G. Moerdler, Stewart Wohrsage, Morton H. Delson.

MINUTES

For Opposition: James C. Sargent, John F. Darrian.
For Administration: Jane B. Trichter, John Kaufman.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at
10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

492-78-A

APPLICANT—Irving E. Minkin, P.E., Acting Commissioner of Department of Buildings.

OWNER OF PREMISES—H. J. Kalikow and Company, Incorporated.

SUBJECT—Application June 28, 1978—for Revocation of Certificate of Occupancy #78511.

PREMISES AFFECTED—301/303 83rd Street and 1602/1610 Second Avenue, northeast corner, Block 1546, Lots 1-5, 62, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Owner: Sheldon Lobel, Charles G. Moerdler, Stewart Wohrsage, Morton H. Delson.

For Opposition: James C. Sargent, John F. Darrian.

For Administration: Jane B. Trichter, John Kaufman.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at
10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

903-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Skylaw Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application October 16, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-2 district, the conversion of a portion of an existing one story building from retail stores and meeting room into a roller skating rink and meeting room.

PREMISES AFFECTED—82-12 151st Avenue, southwest corner of 84th Street, Block 11429, Lot 1 (Formerly 1 and 33), Howard Beach, Borough of Queens. Community Board #10Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: S. Kasel.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at
10 A.M., for deferred decision; hearing closed.

50-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Alfonso Duarte for 270-23 Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, in an existing ten story loft building, the conversion of the seventh through tenth floors into apartments.

PREMISES AFFECTED—521-523 West 23rd Street, north side, 200 feet west of 10th Avenue, Block 695, Lot 24, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Alfonso Duarte and John Rawlings.

For Opposition: Leo Edbril.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing, additional information to be submitted.

171-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Milton Butnick, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 22, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-2 district, the erection of a seven story motor hotel that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, penetrates the sky exposure, plane, encroaches on the required rear yard and without the required accessory loading berth.

PREMISES AFFECTED—78-11 51st Avenue, north side, 42.24 feet east of Hillyer Street, Block 2453, Lot 3, Borough of Queens. Community Board #2Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet R. Jacobs.

For Opposition: None.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at
10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

172-79-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Anthony Luciano, owner, Utopia Realty Company Incorporated, d/b/a Century, 21-Utopia Realty, Lessee.

SUBJECT—Application February 22, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R2 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing real estate office with accessory parking in the open space.

PREMISES AFFECTED—167-04 Northern Boulevard, southeast corner 167th Street, Block 5398, Lot 11, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

For Opposition: Dr. Alfred Dorn, Anita Dorn, John A. Cavaleri, Margaret Kelly, Eduardo Martinez, John W. Norris and others.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at
10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

204-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Robert D. Ascione, Architect for Paul and Irene Poutinen, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 28, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a M1-6 district, in an existing six story building, the conversion of all floors above the first floor store into residential loft dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—48 West 22nd Street, south side, 210.5 feet east of Avenue of the Americas, Block 823, Lot 69, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Robert D. Ascione.

For Opposition: None.

MINUTES

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: 0
Absent: Chairman Fossella 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision, hearing closed.

205-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Chaim Gross, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 1, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in an R5 district, the erection of a three story and cellar two family dwelling that encroaches on the required front yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1660 49th Street, south side, 270 feet west of 17th Avenue, Block 5454, Lot 34, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Louis Lieberman.

For Opposition: None.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision, hearing closed.

255-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Kenneth H. Koons and Alfred A. Fantino for A. Selinger Enterprises, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 8, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing warehouse and commercial vehicle storage establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3070 Waterbury Avenue, south side, 47.18 feet east of Hollywood Avenue, Block 5340, Lot 35, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #10BX.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: K. H. Koons.

For Opposition: Anthony Prisce and Vincent R. Bonvisuto, P.E.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing, additional information to be submitted.

283-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Tracy Saks, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 15, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-14 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R2 district, in conjunction with the erection of a one story and cellar medical office building, the use of a portion of the cellar as a telephone answering service establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3055 Richmond Road, northeast corner of Beacon Avenue, Block 948, Lot 9, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #2S.I.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo and Tracy Saks.

For Opposition: Richard P. Whalen and Charles Frizziola.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing.

289-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Village Carting Company, Incorporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story building for use as a commercial vehicle and storage establishment with an accessory public bus shelter.

PREMISES AFFECTED—549 Midland Avenue, northwest corner of Freeborn Street, Block 3799, Lot 1, Midland Beach, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #2S.I.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo, Brent Lally and Patsy Serra.

For Opposition: None.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; additional information; hearing closed.

290-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Village Carting Company, Incorporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing garage that increases the degree of non-conformity.

PREMISES AFFECTED—551 Midland Avenue, northeast corner of Freeborn Street, Block 3801, Lot 9, Midland Beach, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #2S.I.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo, Brent Lally and Patsy Serra.

For Opposition: None.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Augusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; additional information; hearing closed.

306-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Sam Domb, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 21, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a C5-3 district, the conversion of an existing five story building from commercial into residential occupancy that increases the degree of non-compliance within the rear yard and creates non-compliance on the distance between windows and lot lines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—294 Fifth Avenue, west side, 49 feet south of West 31st Street, Block 832, Lot 45, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Alan S. Kisseloff and Arie L. Melamlid.

For Opposition: Susie Raymond.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Augusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

307-79-A

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Sam Domb, owner.
SUBJECT—Application March 21, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—294 Fifth Avenue, west side, 49 feet south of West 31st Street, Block 832, Lot 45, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Alan S. Kisseloff and Arie L. Melamid.
For Opposition: Susie Raymond.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Augusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

339-79-BZ

APPLICANT—John M. Burns, III for Madison Park Loft Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 29, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a M1-6 district, the conversion of an existing twelve story building from lofts into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—22-24 West 26th Street, south side, 259 feet west of Broadway, Block 827, Lot 60, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harry J. Zweibel, John M. Burns, III, Jeffrey Mandel and Stephen B. Jacobs.
For Opposition: None.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Augusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; additional information; hearing closed.

370-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Leonard Ziegelbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C6-6 district the erection of an 18 story commercial building that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, encroaches on the required rear yard and penetrates the sky exposure plane.

PREMISES AFFECTED—127/29 East 55th Street, north side, 90 feet west of Lexington Avenue, Block 1310, Lot 12, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Anthony M. Salvati, Wilbur A. Lupo, Ronald U. Nou, Harriet R. Jacobs, Gaspore Gallo and George B. Boyle, Jr.
For Opposition: Thomas A. Cusick and Daniel A. Biederman.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Augusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

498-79-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Leonard Ziegelbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- side lot line walls, rear lot line wall having 0" separation for Class 1-B building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—127-29 East 55th Street, north side, 90 feet west of Lexington Avenue, Block 1310, Lot 12, Borough of Manhattan.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Augusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

504-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Shutkind and Marini for Lord Bolton Estates, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 24, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-2 district, in an existing one version of an existing Church and former theatre into a skating rink.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3560/68 Broadway and 559/61 West 146th Street, northeast corner, Block 2078, Lot 1, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #9M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: J. Marini.
For Opposition: None.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Vice Chairman Augusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: 0
Absent; Chairman Fossella 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

524-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for John and Joseph de Lorenzo, owner. 55 Irving Place Restaurant Corporation d/b/a Sal Anthony, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R8 district, in an existing six story mixed building the expansion of the first floor restaurant including outdoor service that increases the degree of non-conformity.

PREMISES AFFECTED—51-55 Irving Place, northwest corner of East 17th Street, Block 873, Lot 15, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sheldon Lobel and Alfred Menziuso, R.A.
For Opposition: None.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Augusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

MINUTES

552-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Estate of Mary J. Lange, owner. Manoidis Enterprises, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-2 and R6(SR) district, in conjunction with the erection of a one story restaurant, the expansion of the open accessory parking facility into the residence district.

PREMISES AFFECTED—9011 Third Avenue, east side, 58.1¼ feet south of 90th Street, Block 6081, Lots 3, 4, 6, 11, 13, 14 and 15, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #10BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug and Louis Freda. C.B. #10BK.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A. M., for hearing.

559-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for 757 Bushwick Avenue Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-11, 73-46, and 73-61 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, the conversion of an existing hospital into multiple dwelling that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio, lot area per room and the parking requirements.

PREMISES AFFECTED—757 Bushwick Avenue, northwest corner of Cedar Street, Block 3231, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #1BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: None.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

560-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for 757 Bushwick Avenue Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-451 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, the construction and maintenance of an off-site accessory parking facility for a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—13 Cedar Street, west side, 96.8 feet north of Bushwick Avenue, Block 3226, Lot 39, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #4BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: None.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

273-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for Conti Enterprise Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the erection of a two story enlargement to an existing warehouse that increase the degree of non-conformity and creates non-compliance within the residential side and rear yards.

PREMISES AFFECTED—20-23 119th Street, east side, 150 feet south of 20th Avenue, Block 4162, Lot 11, College Point, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sheldon Lobel.

For Opposition: F. Weisenburger.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE

COMMUNITY BOARD—Opposed to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Augusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 10, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 17, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 14, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #19/1979, reads:

1. Proposed alteration to bldg. housing a non-conforming use is contrary to Sec. 52-22 of the Z.R.
2. Proposed 2-story structure in required sideyard is contrary to Sec. 23-464.
3. Proposed 2-story structure in required rear-yard is contrary to Sec. 23-47 of Z.R.
4. Non-compliance as to rear yard requirements increased contrary to Sec. 54-31 of Z.R.
6. Application is referred to the Board since no bulk requirements exist for a commercial bldg. in an R-4 zone."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philipp Agusta, R.A., and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E.; and

WHEREAS, the property is presently developed with a warehouse facility; and

WHEREAS, the street servicing this building is occupied with mixed occupancies; and

WHEREAS, the zoning district boundary for an M1-1 district is in the center of the 119th Street Frontage with a warehouse facing this site; and

WHEREAS, the College Point Board of Trade and the Queens Chamber of Commerce has recommended approval of this enlargement; and

WHEREAS, the Board has imposed proper safeguards to protect the surrounding area; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the erection of a two-story enlargement to an existing warehouse that increases the degree of non-conformity and creates noncompliance within the residential side and rear yard *on condition*

MINUTES

that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received March 13, 1979", 2 sheets and July 13, 1979 3 sheets; and *on further condition* that the hours of operation be restricted between 8:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M.; that all loading be within the building; that there shall be no openings in the roof or lot line walls of this enlargement; that a 42 foot by 13 foot loading space be provided within the property line with a gate at the entrance; that an 8 foot fence be installed along the side, rear and front lot lines; that the proposed 5 foot landscaped area be properly maintained; that refuse be stored in a screened area within the property lines; that signs comply with the C1 district regulations; that no Building Department permit shall be issued until 31 days after the date of certification of this resolution; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

284-79-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Shell Oil Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 15 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 11-412 and 11-413, of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-2 district, the reconstruction and rehabilitation of an automotive service station with accessory uses previously before the Board into a pump only gasoline and oil selling station.

PREMISES AFFECTED—218-D Northern Boulevard, southeast corner of 218th Street, Block 7338, Lot 10, Bay-side, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 3, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 17, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 21, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #3/1979, reads:

"1. The proposed demolition of an existing gasoline station, located within a C2-2 zone, to be replaced with a self-service station with accessory storage, office, rest room kiosk, pump island attendant Kiosk, and open parking spaces for 3 employees, is contrary to approval granted by the Board of Standards and Appeals under Cal. No. 881-54-BZ, Vol. II, Bull. #6, Vol. LXI; fire prevention code Section C19-73.0 b.2; and Section 32-25, 52-53(b) of the Zoning Resolution."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E.; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #11Q. has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that this is an appropriate case in which to permit under Section 11-412 of the Zoning Resolution, the extension of the present use and, the enlargement of the present building.

WHEREAS, the Board finds that this is an appropriate case in which to permit under Section 11-413 of the Zoning Resolution, the change in use of the present building.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby permit under Sections 11-412 and 11-413 of the Zoning Resolution, to *permit* in a C2-2 district, the reconstruction and rehabilitation of an automotive service station with accessory uses, previously before the Board, into a pump only gasoline and oil selling station *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings filed with this application, marked "Received March 15, 1979", 1 sheet, "March 23, 1979", 1 sheet, and "July 16, 1979", 3 sheets; and *on further condition* that this special permit shall be limited to a term of fifteen (15) years; that the services shall be limited to gasoline and oil selling only; that full width sidewalks be installed; that the landscaping be extended and properly maintained; that there shall be no repair services performed; that the decibel level for this establishment comply with the performance standard for an M1 district; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

285-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Shell Oil Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 15, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed conversion to Self Service Gasoline Station is contrary to Sec. 19-73.0.b of the Administrative Code.

PREMISES AFFECTED—218-10 Northern Boulevard, southeast corner of 218th Street, Block 7338, Lot 10, Bay-side, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 20, 1979, on N.B. Applic. 3/79, reads:

"1. The proposed Self-Service Gasoline Station is contrary to Fire Prevention Code Section C19-73.0 b.2"

and

WHEREAS, a letter of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 20, 1979 reads: "The proposed Self-Service Gasoline Station is contrary to Fire Prevention Code Section C19-73.0b2"; and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E., which recommended that the Appeal be granted under certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, Section C19-73.0.b2 of the Fire Prevention Code permits the use and installation of self-service devices in gasoline service stations provided that such devices are operated by persons holding a Certificate of Fitness for the dispensing of gasoline or diesel fuel for motor fuel; and

WHEREAS, the filed plans indicate the control booth is located so that the dispensing areas are, at all times, in full view of the persons operating the fuel dispensing devices, consoles, and safety controls, and this person must hold a Certificate of Fitness.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 20, 1979, be and it hereby is modified and that the application be and it hereby is *granted on condition* (1) that a trained attendant who possesses a Certificate of Fitness, as above described, shall be on duty at all times when the station is open for business; (2) that it shall be the attendant's duty to require the engine of any vehicle be shut off before the start of the fuel operation, and to prohibit smoking within the immediate area of the fuel operation;

MINUTES

(3) that it shall be the attendant's duty to prevent the dispensing of fuel into portable containers; (4) that signs reading "No Smoking", "Stop Your Engine", "It Is Unlawful to Dispense Gasoline Into Portable Containers" and "The Dispensing of Gasoline Shall be by a Person Holding a Valid Drivers License or a Person 18 years of age or older" shall be conspicuously posted in clear view of the customer at the dispensing island; (5) that portable fire extinguishers, acceptable to the Fire Commissioner, be located as indicated on filed plans; (6) that all dispensing devices and fire suppression systems be approved by the Board of Standards and Appeals; (7) that the suppression system be arranged in a manner so as to cover an area around each pump encompassed by a circle having a radius equal to the maximum extendable length of the hose and nozzle of said pump; (8) that the installation and use of coin-operated dispensing devices for fuel be prohibited; (9) that there shall be constant contact between the attendant in the control booth and the dispensing island by means of a voice intercommunication system which shall be maintained in a proper operating condition at all times; (10) that all controls, devices, fire suppression systems and fire fighting equipment be maintained in good operating order at all times; (11) that a maintenance log shall be kept on the premises as per direction of the Fire Commissioner; (12) that all dispensing nozzles are the automatic closing type without hold open latches; (13) that a list of emergency procedures and instructions be conspicuously posted in the immediate vicinity of the attendant's principal control location, said instructions shall be at the direction of the Fire Commissioner; (14) that the dispensing areas shall, at all times, be well lit for complete visual control; (15) that the permit to operate this station shall be for a term of fifteen (15) years from the date of this approval; (16) that all of the conditions set forth in this resolution shall be conspicuously posted in the attendant's booth; (17) that there be no servicing or repair of motor vehicles on the premises; *on further condition* that the building, equipment, devices and controls shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 13, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

318-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Henry M. Monteverde, for Dominick Bonomonte, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 26, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, in an existing three story mixed building, the maintenance of an extension to the commercial use on the first floor that increases the degree of non-conformity.

PREMISES AFFECTED—301-3 Ainslie Street, northwest corner of Bushwick Avenue, Block 2772, Lot 18, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #1BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Henry M. Monteverde.
For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—No recommendation received.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 10, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 17, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #417/1978, reads:

"1. Proposed enlargement of a non-conforming use, in this case the extension for storage area and the conversion of a 4 car garage to eating and drinking place in a residential zone is not permitted under Section 52-41 of zoning resolution since such use is not permitted as of right under Section 22-10 of Z.R. in an R6 zone."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E.; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, in an existing three-story mixed building, the maintenance of an extension to the commercial use on the first floor that increases the degree of nonconformity *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received March 26, 1979", 7 sheets; and *on further condition* that this variance shall be limited to a term of ten (10) years; that signs comply with the C1 district regulations; that a smoke detector be installed in the residential and commercial sections of the premises; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

337-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Harry Soled for Dr. Martin S. Bernstein, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 29, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R2 district, the conversion of the second story of an existing two story residential building into medical offices.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2107 Avenue N, north side, 40 feet east of East 21st Street, Block 7657, Lot 8, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #14BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Josef Friedman.
For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application denied.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 10, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 17, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 23, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #209/1979, reads:

"1. Proposed medical offices U.G. 6 on the 2nd story in an R-2 zone is contrary to 22-14 Z.R."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E.; and

MINUTES

WHEREAS, the record has not substantiated the need for a doctor's office above residential occupancy; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that on the basis of the record in this case it is unable to make finding(s) b and c, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 23, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #209/1979, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *affirmed* and that the application be and it hereby is *denied*.

344-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Anthony Curro, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 3, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-211 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-1 district, the reconstruction and rehabilitation of an automotive service station with accessory uses previously before the Board.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2200 Hylan Boulevard, southeast corner of Zwicky Avenue, Block 3692, Lot 10, Grant City, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #2S.I.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Favorable to the application.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 10, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 17, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 30, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #464/1979, reads:

"7. The proposed automobile service station located within a C2-1 zoning district is permitted only by a special permit granted by the Board of Standards and Appeals pursuant to Section 32-31 Z.R."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Sections 73-211 and 73-212 of the Zoning Resolution.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make the required findings and *grant a Special Permit under* Sections 73-211 and 73-212 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-1 district, the reconstruction and rehabilitation of an automotive service station with accessory uses previously before the Board *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings filed with this application, marked "Received April 3, 1979", 4 sheets and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet; that accessory repairing of automobiles and motorcycles be within the building; that repair services be limited to from 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. Monday through Saturday; that there shall be no road testing of motorcycles; that motorcycle sales comply with the C2 district regulations; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

577-79A

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Anthony Curro, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 17, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water. (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—2200 Hylan Boulevard, southeast corner of Zwicky Avenue, Block 3692, Lot Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 24, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #464/79, reads:

"The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500' of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta, which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 24, 1979, acting on #464/79, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977), and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 17, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

Adjourned: 5:30 P.M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY AFTERNOON, JULY 17, 1979, 2 P.M.

Present: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf.

172-78-A

APPLICANT—Shell Oil Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Shellzone/R/", in one (1) gallon—F Style-Plastic with Sunbeam Squeeze Lock, container not

MINUTES

in compliance with the Regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Fire Commissioner, dated January 31, 1978, on Folder No. 122-956 (A) CM, reads:

"We regret to inform you that your application to register your product 'Shellzone/R/' a Combustible Mixture with a flash point of 250°F (T.O.C.) in containers as follows: 1 gallon—F Style-Plastic with Sunbeam Squeeze Lock is Disapproved. Such containers are not in compliance with our regulations to wit: Section C19-62.0.b of the New York City Administrative Code requires that containers of this type for combustible mixtures be of metal with replaceable tops or caps."

and

WHEREAS, the drawing and sample of the container were reviewed by the Board, and it was determined that the appeal be *granted* under certain conditions:

Resolved, that the decision of the Fire Commissioner dated January 31, 1978 acting on Folder Number 122-956(A) CM, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be it and hereby is *granted on condition* that the container comply with drawing filed, "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet that the modification shall apply to "Shellzone/R/" In One Gallon Container only; and *on further condition* that the label on the container be in such style and wording as is satisfactory to the Fire Commissioner; that any descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, and bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and where possible, the item itself, shall include the wording "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Cal. No. 172-78-A", and that in all other respects all laws, rules and regulations applicable shall be complied with.

209-78-A

APPLICANT—Albert Melniker for Frank Tambakis, Nicholas and Ann Tambakis, owners.

SUBJECT—Application March 30, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—2145 Richmond Avenue, east side, 11.74 feet south of Rockland Avenue, Block 2360, Lot 54, New Springville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Albert Melniker and Maurice A. Posner.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal denied.

THE VOTE TO GRANT—

Affirmative: 0

Negative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta, Commissioner Wolf 6

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 1, 1978, on N.B. #245/78, reads:

"4. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water from enlarged parking lot when no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property is contrary to Sec. P110.2(c), RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Department of Water Resources, in a letter dated January 5, 1979, has indicated that a public storm sewer is available; and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be denied.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 1, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #245/78, Objection No. 4, be and it hereby is *affirmed* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *denied*.

48-79-A

APPLICANT—Weinberg and Kirshenbaum for Zion Tabernacle F.B.H. Church, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 16, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Proposed Church and accessory offices.

PREMISES AFFECTED—107-50 New York Boulevard, west side, 86 feet north of 108th Avenue, Block 10140, Lot 23, Jamaica, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harold Weinberg, P.E.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 4, 1979, on Alt. Applic. #946/78, reads:

"1. The proposed change of use from a two story Class 4 store and one family dwelling, to a Church with accessory offices, exceeding 600 square feet in area, and of two stories in height, is contrary to Sec. C26-254.o.

2. The second story stairway enclosure of less than 3 hours fire rating, is contrary to Sec. 6.4.1.8.1 for a public building."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A., and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E., which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 4, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #946/78, Objection Nos. 1 and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the second story be used as an accessory caretaker's apartment or be left vacant with access thereto sealed except for Fire Department access, that the cellar remain vacant except for one toilet room at the termination of the stairs; that an approved smoke detector be provided in the cellar two on the first floor and one on the second floor all connected to an alarm to be heard throughout the premises; and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings marked received "January 16, 1979", 6 sheets and "July 12, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with and that substantial construction be completed within one year of the date of this resolution.

74-79-A

APPLICANT—Robert Davis for EDO Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 24, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- requirement for certificate of Fitness as Magazine Keeper.

PREMISES AFFECTED—109-20 14th Avenue, south side, 100 feet west of 110th Street, Block 4044, Lot 6, College Point, Borough of Queens.

MINUTES

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Robert Davis.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Fire Commissioner, dated January 18, 1979 and October 20, 1978, on Violation Order B 902214, reads:

"1. Have (2) people obtain a Certificate of Fitness as a Magazine keeper (C19-30.0-G, Adm. Code)." and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Fire Commissioner, dated October 20, 1978 and January 18, 1979 acting on Violation Order B 902214, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the existing permit be renewed, that the magazine area be covered by automatic sprinklers, that a sign be posted at the entrance to the magazine area with the wording "Danger-Restricted Explosives Magazine Area—No Unauthorized Personnel Permitted", that a watchman key punch station be installed outside door of locked magazine area, a security guard to punch in at one hour intervals, using standard watchman's clock provided with recording tape, such tapes to be monitored each working day by the Security Officer to insure compliances, and shall be retained for a period of 6 months and made available to the Fire Department, that security forces report all suspicious activity to Police and Fire Departments; that in the event of fire, the Fire Department is to be immediately notified via non-coin operated phone or Central Office connection, with the first Fire Company arriving to be immediately notified of exact location of explosive magazine, that floor plans detailing location of magazine be submitted to the Division of Fire Prevention (8 copies), that periodic inspections be made during the hours of 9 AM to midnight by Blasting Inspectors, that in the event of change of hours of magazine use, a magazine keeper holding a Certificate of Fitness shall be provided at all times the magazine is in use; *on further condition* that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

79-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico, for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—10 Latham Place, west side, 298.95 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 170, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1599/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block

which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1599/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure hen will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 30, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

80-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico, for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—20 Latham Place, west side, 198.95 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 185, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1600/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1600/78

MINUTES

Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 30, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

81-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico, for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—30 Latham Place, west side, 98.95 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 190, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1601/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c)(2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1601/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed

in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 30, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

82-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico, for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED— 40 Latham Place, northwest corner of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 200, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1602/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c)(2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1602/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 30, 1979", 2 sheets, and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

MINUTES

83-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico, for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—11 Latham Place, east side, 277.54 feet south of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 236, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1603/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1603/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 30, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

84-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico, for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—21 Latham Place, east side, 177.54 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 231, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1604/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1604/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and on further condition that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 30, 1979, 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

85-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico, for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—31 Latham Place, east side, 77.54 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 225, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1605/78, reads:

MINUTES

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1605/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 30, 1979", 2 sheets, and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

86-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—41 Latham Place, northeast corner of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 220, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1606/78 reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent,

dated January 3, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1606/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 30, 1979", 2 sheets, and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

87-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of dry wells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—638 Rossville Avenue, northwest corner of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 268, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1607/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1607/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the

MINUTES

street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and on further condition that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 30, 1979", 2 sheets, and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

88-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—648 Rossville Avenue, west side, 75.50 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 263, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1608/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1608/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the side of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and on further condition that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 30, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

89-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—658 Rossville Avenue, west side, 175.50 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 258, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE:

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1609/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1609/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and on further condition that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 30, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

90-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—688 Rossville Avenue, west side, 275.50 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 253, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

MINUTES

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE:

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1610/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1610/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and on further condition that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 30, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

91-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—21 Helios Place, east side, 201.04 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 160, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1611/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1611/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 30, 1979", 2 sheets, and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

92-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—31 Helios Place, east side, 101.04 feet north of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 155, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1612/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

MINUTES

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1612/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the side of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 30, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

93-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Joseph Ligotti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of dry wells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—41 Helios Place, northeast corner of Woodrow Road, Block 7026, Lot 150, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1613/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 3, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1613/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells;

that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received January 30, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

320-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—585 Lamont Avenue, northwest corner of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 40, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #401/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #401/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 27, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

MINUTES

321-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—595 Lamont Avenue, north side, 99.53 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 45, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #402/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #402/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 27, 1979", 2 sheets, and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

322-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—625 Lamont Avenue, north side, 398.09 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 60, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #405/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #405/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 27, 1979", 2 sheets, and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

323-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—262 Ramona Avenue, south side, 398.00 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 15, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #406/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of

MINUTES

the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #406/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 27, 1979", 2 sheets, and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

324-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—252 Ramona Avenue, south side, 298.57 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 20, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #407/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #407/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the

volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 27, 1979", 2 sheets, and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

325-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water. (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—244 Ramona Avenue, south side, 199.05 feet south of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 26, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #408/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 50 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #408/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be

MINUTES

located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 27, 1979", 2 sheets, and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

326-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Gruskin for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—234 Ramona Avenue, south side, 99.53 feet west of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 30, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #409/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2 (c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #409/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and on further condition that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 27, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

327-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin, for Pambrook Associates, Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—224 Ramona Avenue, southwest corner of Nippon Avenue, Block 6336, Lot 35, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #410/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #410/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 27, 1979", 2 sheets, and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

328-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Vincent Rizzo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—filed pursuant to Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law re- building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—94 Melvin Avenue, south side, 104.48 feet east of Glen Street, Block 2767, Lot 80, Travis, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

MINUTES

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #395/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map therefore:

a) No certificate of occupancy can be issued, as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code)."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, March 23, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #395/79 Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted, on condition* that the sidewalk, curb, curb cut and pavement to the middle of the street be in accordance with the standards of the Department of Highways; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "March 27, 1979", 1 sheet; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

329-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Vincent Rizzo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—filed pursuant to Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law re- building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—98 Melvin Avenue, south side, 140.48 feet east of Glen Street, Block 2767, Lot 82, Travis, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczywski.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #396/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map therefore:

a) No certificate of occupancy can be issued, as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code)."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, March 23, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #396/79 Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted, on condition* that the sidewalk, curb, curb cut and pavement to the middle of the street be in accordance with the standards of the Department of Highways; and that the building shall

substantially comply with drawings marked received "March 27, 1979", 1 sheet; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

330-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Vincent Rizzo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law re- building not fronting on legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—102 Melvin Avenue, south side, 176.48 feet east of Glen Street, Block 2767, Lot 84, Travis, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczywski.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #397/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map therefore:

a) No certificate of occupancy can be issued, as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code)."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, March 23, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #397/79 Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted, on condition* that the sidewalk, curb, curb cut and pavement to the middle of the street be in accordance with the standards of the Department of Highways; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "March 27, 1979", 1 sheet; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

331-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Vincent Rizzo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—filed pursuant to Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law re- building not fronting on a legal street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—106 Melvin Avenue, south side, 212.48 feet east of Glen Street, Block 2767, Lot 85, Travis, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kraczynski

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #398/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed build-

MINUTES

ing is not duly placed on the official map therefore:

a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued, as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code)."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, March 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #398/79, Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is granted, *on condition* that the sidewalk, curb, curb cut and pavement to the middle of the street be in accordance with the standards of the Department of Highways; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "March 27, 1979", 1 sheet; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

369-79-A

APPLICANT—Albert Melniker for Agostinelli, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 17, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—662 Sycamore Street, south side, 134.38 feet east of Barclay Avenue, Block 6382, Lot 13, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Albert Melniker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 3, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #2010/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a) No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and,

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and therefore contrary to Section 26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 feet of property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 3, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #2010/78, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required

retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received April 17, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

352-79-A

APPLICANT—Joseph Grunig for John Gulino, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—85 New Dorp Lane, north side, 250 feet east of Third Street, Block 3630, Lot 43, New Dorp, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Richard Gabel Jr.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 5, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #149/78, reads:

"1. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when a public storm sewer is located within 500 ft. of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(b) (3) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 5, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #149/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received April 6, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

505-79-A

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Isaac Battino, owner.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 25, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water, (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—92 Arbutus Avenue, west side, 767 feet south of Amboy Road, Block 6559, Lot 65, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 16, 1979, on Alt. Applic. #10/77, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

a) No C. of O. can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and

b) Proposed construction does not have at least eight percent of the total perimeter of building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 ft. of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 16, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #10/77, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that objection number 1 a and b is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received April 25, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

523-79-A

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for John Consiglio, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—130 Rensselaer Avenue, east side, 200 feet south of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5705, Lot 30, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 20, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #846/78, reads:

"1. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 ft. of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c), RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 20, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #846/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked Received May 2, 1979", 2 sheets and "June 4, 1979", 1 sheet and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

525-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, west side, 54.39 feet south of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 104, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

MINUTES

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #502/75, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

- a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.
- b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, April 19, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #502/75, Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is modified under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

526-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 36, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, west side, 71.39 feet south of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 102, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 19, 1979 on N.B. Applic. #503/75, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

- a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.
- b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, April 19, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #503/75 Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is modified under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

527-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 36, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, west side, 88.39 feet south of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 101, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

MINUTES

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #504/75, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

- a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.
- b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, April 19, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #504/75, Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

528-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 36, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, west side, 105.39 feet south of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 100, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #505/75, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

- a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.
- b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 19, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #505/75, Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

529-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, west side, 122.39 feet south of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 99, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

MINUTES

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #506/75, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site of evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, April 19, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #506/75 Objection No. 1 a and b be it and it is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby *granted on condition* that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

530-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, west side, 139.39 feet south of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 97, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #507/75, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, April 19, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #507/75, Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

531-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 226.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 91, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION ON BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

MINUTES

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #500/75, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

- a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.
- b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, April 19, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #500/75 Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

532-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 209.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 89, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #499/75, reads:

1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

- a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.
- b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, April 19, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #499/75, Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is modified under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

533-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 192.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 88, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—TO REOPEN HEARING

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

MINUTES

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #498/75, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

- a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.
- b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, April 19, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #498/75 Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

534-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 175.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 87, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #497/75, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

- a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.
- b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, April 19, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #497/75, Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

535-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 158.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 86, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,

MINUTES

Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. 496/75, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3 Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, April 19, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. 496/75, Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

536-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 226.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 84, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #495/75, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, April 19, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #495/75 Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

537-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 94.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 82, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

MINUTES

THE VOTE—TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta, Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta, Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta, Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1801/74, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

- a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.
- b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, April 19, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1801/74 Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

538-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 77.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 80, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1800/74, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

- a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.
- b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, April 19, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1800/74, Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

539-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Association Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement, south side, 60.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block 5479, Lot 79, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1799/74, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building
is not placed on the official map of the City of New York
therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued
per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least
8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting
directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage
space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0
of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of
the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E.
and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that
the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated, April 19, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1799/74, Ob-
jection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the
powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City
Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on con-*
dition that a deed restriction be placed on the property re-
quiring that a block association be formed, which is to be
composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to
continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working con-
dition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the
property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restric-
tion be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Build-
ing Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate
the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction;
that Abingdon Courts curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting
and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided
in accordance with Department of Highway specifications;
that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all
front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the
specifications of the Department of Parks; that a minimum
thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall
be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and
that the building shall substantially comply with drawings
marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17,
1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution
and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied
with.

540-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Associa-
tion Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to
Section 36, Article 3, General City Law re- proposed
building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement,
south side, 53.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block
5479, Lot 78, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1798/74, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building
is not placed on the official map of the City of New York
therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued
per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least
8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting
directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage
space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0
of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of
the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E.
and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that
the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 19, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1798/74
Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under
the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General
City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on*
condition that a deed restriction be placed on the property
requiring that a block association be formed, which is to
be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility
is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working
condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing
the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed
restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of
a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall
indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed
restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, side-
walks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street
shall be provided in accordance with Department of High-
way specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within
250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court
shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks;
that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be
provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and un-
obstructed at all times; and that the building shall substan-
tially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979",
1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the con-
ditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regu-
lations shall be complied with.

541-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for 29 Prospect Associa-
tion Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—filed pursuant to
Section 36, Article 3, General City Law re- proposed
building not fronting on legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Abingdon Court Easement,
south side, 26.99 feet west of Arthur Kill Road, Block
5479, Lot 77, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 19, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1797/74, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore, contrary to Section C26-401.0 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 19, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1797/74 Objection No. 1 a and b be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that a deed restriction be placed on the property requiring that a block association be formed, which is to be composed of each property owner, whose responsibility is to continuously maintain Abingdon Court in good working condition, including all utilities and appurtenances servicing the property in Abingdon Court; that a copy of the deed restriction be filed with this Board prior to the issuance of a Building Permit; that the Certificate of Occupancy shall indicate the libre, page and date of recording of the deed restriction; that Abingdon Court's curbs, curb cuts, sidewalks, lighting and pavement to the middle of the street shall be provided in accordance with Department of Highway specifications; that fire hydrants be installed within 250 feet of all front entrances; that trees in Abingdon Court shall meet the specifications of the Department of Parks; that a minimum thirty (30) foot easement roadbed be provided, which shall be maintained free, clear and unobstructed at all times; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings marked received "July 16, 1979", 1 sheet and "July 17, 1979", 1 sheet as modified by the conditions of this resolution and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

612-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Steven Nagel, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 22, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—41 Sloane Avenue, east side, 141.73 feet south of Chance Avenue, Block 953, Lot 271, Egbertville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 8, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #418/78, reads:

"1. Street giving access to the proposal building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

A. No C. of O. can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and,

B. Permit may not be issued since proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 8, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #418/78, Objection Nos. 1 A and B and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 A and B is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 22, 1979", 3 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

613-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Steven Nagel, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 22, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- Proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

MINUTES

PREMISES AFFECTED—47 Sloane Avenue, east side, 131.61 feet south of Chance Avenue, Block 953, Lot 261, Egbertville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 8, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #419/78, reads:

"1. Street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

A. No C. of O. can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and,

B. Permit may not be issued since proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 8, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #419/78, Objection Nos. 1 A and B and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 A and B is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 22, 1979", 3 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

614-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Steven Nagel, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 22, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- Proposed

building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—51 Sloane Avenue, east side, 121.48 feet south of Chance Avenue, Block 953, Lot 251, Egbertville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 8, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #420/78, reads:

"1. Street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

A. No C. of O. can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and,

B. Permit may not be issued since proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 8, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #420/78 Objection Nos. 1 A and B and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection No. 1 A and B is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 22, 1979", 3 sheets, and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

615-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Steven Nagel, owner.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application May 22, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—55 Sloane Avenue, southeast corner of Chance Avenue, Block 953, Lot 241, Egbertville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 8, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #421/78, reads:

"1. Street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

A. No C. of O. can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and,

B. Permit may not be issued since proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which in developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 8, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #421/78, Objection Nos. 1 A and B and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site run-off to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 A and B is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 22, 1979", 3 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

620-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Wallace Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 25, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—144-18 156th Street, west side, 75 feet south of Conduit Avenue, Block 15008, Lots 5 and 7, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 21, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #20/79, reads:

"1. Sewer certification for subject premises (S.D. 33/79) indicates that no storm or combined public sewer into which storm water discharge is feasible is within 500 feet of property. Therefore no more than 50% of storm water run-off may be disposed of on-site; the remainder shall be conveyed by sewers to a point of disposal off-site as per P110.2 (c-5) (L.L. 7/74)."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 21, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #20/79, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 25, 1979", 3 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

34-79-A

APPLICANT—Albert Melniker for Perosi Development Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of splash blocks for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—325 Simonson Avenue, east side, 148.95 feet south of Dixon Avenue, Block 1184, Lot 43, Mariners Harbor, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Albert Melniker.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

35-79-A

APPLICANT—Albert Melniker for Perosi Development Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of splash blocks for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—329 Simonson Avenue, east side, 859.88 feet north of Forest Avenue, Block 1184, Lot 41, Mariners Harbor, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Albert Melniker.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

132-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associate for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—57 Stafford Avenue, northwest corner of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 1, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

133-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associate for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—55 Stafford Avenue, west side, 27.02 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5712, Lot 89, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

134-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associate for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—51 Stafford Avenue, west side, 53.09 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 87, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

135-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associate for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—49 Stafford Avenue, west side, 79.17 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 85, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

136-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—47 Stafford Avenue, west side, 105.24 feet north Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 83, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

137-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—45 Stafford Avenue, west side, 131.32 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 81, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

138-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—41 Stafford Avenue, west side

MINUTES

157.39 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 79, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

139-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—39 Stafford Avenue, west side, 183.47 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 77, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

140-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—37 Stafford Avenue, west side, 209.54 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 75, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

141-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—35 Stafford Avenue, west side, 235.62 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 73, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

142-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use

of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—31 Stafford Avenue, west side, 261.69 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 71, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

143-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—29 Stafford Avenue, west side, 287.77 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 69, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

144-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—27 Stafford Avenue, west side, 313.84 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 67, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

145-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—25 Stafford Avenue, west side, 339.92 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 65, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

147-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—47 Shift Place, southeast corner of Powell Street, Block 6085, Lot 190, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

148-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—37 Shift Place, southwest corner of Dahlia Street, Block 6085, Lot 180, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

149-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—17 Shift Place, southeast corner of Dahlia Street, Block 6085, Lot 92, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

150-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—7 Shift Place, southwest corner of Huguenot Avenue, Block 6085, Lot 85, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

151-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—400 Huguenot Avenue, west side, 69.24 feet south of Shift Place, Block 6085, Lot 80, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

152-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—81 Dahlia Street, east side, 60.06 feet south of Shift Place, Block 6085, Lot 97, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

153-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—80 Dahlia Street, west side, 69.01 feet south of Shift Place, Block 6085, Lot 175, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

161-79-A

APPLICANT—Borough Superintendent Department of Buildings, Max Donner, owner, R. Ferrara, Lessee.

SUBJECT—Application February 8, 1979—Revocation of Certificates of Occupancy #21877-57 and #23566-58.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1777 East Gun Hill Road, northeast corner of Bushnell Avenue, Block 4806, Lots 38-42, Borough of Bronx.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Lessee: Richard Ferri.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing, additional information to be submitted.

MINUTES

363-79-A

APPLICANT—Clara G. Stewart for Union Carbide Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 12, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner, re- Packaging of inflammable mixture known as Simoniz Shines Like The Sun Liquid Car Wax in plastic squeeze bottle, not in compliance with regulation of the Administrative Code of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Thomas C. Willoughby.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing, additional information to be submitted.

506-79-A

APPLICANT—Tufo, Johnston, Zuccotti and Allegaert for Olympia and York Park Plaza Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 25, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- partitions, exit elevator, stairs and escalators, contrary to Building Code.

PREMISES AFFECTED—464-466 Lexington Avenue, southwest corner of 46th Street, Block 1300, Lot 14, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: P. Martimes and N. Stein.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

624-79-A

APPLICANT—Stuart Furman for American Standard Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 28, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- proposed fuel storage is contrary to Chapter 19 of the Administrative Code.

PREMISES AFFECTED—39 West 39th Street, 40/52 west 40th Street, north side, 270 feet east of Avenue of Americas, Block 841, Lots 16, 75, 76, 78, 18 and 71, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Stuart Furman and Harry H. Bond.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,

Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

705-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Briarwood Plaza, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—36-35/37 Bell Boulevard, east side, 101.16 feet north of 38th Avenue, Block 6176, Lots 55 and 58, Bayside, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

739-79-A

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for Greh Corporation, owner, Stenack Construction Corporation, contract vendee.

SUBJECT—Application June 22, 1979—appeal from an administrative decision of the New York City Buildings Department for clarification and interpretation of zoning matter.

PREMISES AFFECTED—176-178 Broadway and 3-7 Maiden Lane, northeast corner, Block 65, Lots 15 and 17, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sheldon Lobel, Alfred Menziuso and Harold Thurman.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

Adjourned: 6:35 P.M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

SPECIAL MEETING

SPECIAL MEETING

FRIDAY MORNING, JULY 20, 1979, 10 A.M.

Present: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf.

513-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for The Turn Verein In The City of New York, owner. Private Cabarets Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Interpretative from the wrongful decision of the Department of Buildings dated April 6, 1979.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1251/59 Lexington Avenue, 146/52 East 85th Street, southeast corner of Lexington Avenue and East 85th Street, Block 1513, Lot 50, Borough of Manhattan.

SPECIAL MEETING

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard Rothkrug.

For Opposition: Lillian Stark, Elisabeth Fisnar, Frances Pincus, Isabelle Hansen, Morton Delson, Doris Hyman, Norma Lewin and others.

For Administration: I. Minkin, Buildings Dept.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to July 24, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

Adjourned: 12:30 P.M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

FILING FEES

FILING FEES

Effective August 1, 1979

Int. No. 409

A LOCAL LAW to amend the administrative code of the city of New York in relation to the payment of fees in connection with the filing of applications with the Board of Standards and Appeals.

Be it enacted by the Council as follows:

Section 1. Section 666-1.0 of the administrative code of the city of New York is hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 666-1.0. Fees.—The fees hereinbelow set forth shall be charged for the following applications, appeals and reviews:

1. a. Application for approval of materials, appliances and methods or variances of construction \$200.00

b. Application for amendment of prior approval of materials, appliances and methods of variances of construction 150.00

2. Application for any variance and special permit under the zoning resolution with respect to:

a. One, two and three family dwellings .. 100.00

b. Other buildings and structures:

(1) 10,000 square feet or less of floor area 200.00

(2) In excess of 10,000 but not more than 20,000 square feet of floor area 300.00

(3) In excess of 20,000 but not more than 50,000 square feet of floor area 400.00

(4) In excess of 50,000 square feet of floor area 500.00

c. Junk yards, parking lots, automotive service stations and other similar uses:

(1) 10,000 square feet or less of lot area 150.00

(2) In excess of 10,000 but not more than 20,000 square feet of lot area 250.00

(3) In excess of 20,000 square feet of lot area 350.00

d. Application for amendment of variance of special permit previously granted under paragraph a[b and c] of subdivision [2] two of this section 100.00

e. Application for amendment of variance or special permit previously granted under paragraph b or c of subdivision two of this section .. 150.00

[e] f. Application for an extension of time in which to comply with the conditions imposed in the previous resolution of the board of standards and appeals 100.00

[f] g. Application for extension of time to complete construction pursuant to sections 11-32 and 11-33 of the zoning resolution of the [City] city of New York 100.00

h. Application for extension of the term of variance or special permit previously issued pursuant to subdivision two of this section:

(1) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to paragraph a of such subdivision 100.00

(2) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph one of paragraph b of such subdivision 200.00

(3) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph two of paragraph b of such subdivision 300.00

(4) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph three of paragraph b of such subdivision 400.00

(5) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph four of paragraph b of such subdivision 500.00

(6) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph one of paragraph c of such subdivision 150.00

(7) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph two of paragraph c of such subdivision 250.00

(8) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph three of paragraph c such subdivision 350.00

3. Appeals from or application for review of any order, requirement or determination of the commissioner of buildings or of any borough superintendent of buildings of the department of buildings or of the fire commissioner or any rule or regulation or amendment or repeal thereof made by the fire commissioner or the commissioner of marine and aviation 100.00

4. Application filed for variation of the provisions of the multiple dwelling law, or labor law, and application filed pursuant to the general city law 100.00

5. Exemptions—*a.* The provisions of this section shall not apply if the owner of the premises affected by the application, appeal or review, be a corporation, or association organized and operated exclusively for religious, charitable or educational purposes, or for one or more such purposes, no part of the net earnings of which enures to the benefit of any private individual and provided that the premises affected are to be used exclusively by such corporation or association for one or more such purposes.

b. The provisions of this section shall not apply if a municipal department or agency of the city is the applicant or appellant before the Board of Standards and Appeals.

§ 2. The local law shall take effect immediately.

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS
80 LAFAYETTE STREET
NEW YORK, N. Y. 10013

BULK RATE
U. S. Postage
PAID
New York, N. Y.
Permit No. 4875

University of Illinois Library
Att: Serials Dept.
Urbana, Ill. 61801

BULLETIN

OF THE

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

Issued under authority of Chapter 27, Section 665 of the Charter of the City of New York
Published weekly by the Board of Standards and Appeals at its office, 80 Lafayette Street, 9th Floor, Manhattan,
New York, N. Y. 10013

Vol. LXIV Subscription August 2, 1979 By Mail, 65 cents (Cash only) No. 30
\$25.00 a year Single Copies, 50 cents

DIRECTORY

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

VITO J. FOSSELLA, P.E., M.C.E., Chairman

PHILIP P. AGUSTA, R.A., M.U.P., Vice Chairman

HARRY M. CARROLL, P.E.

JOHN J. WALSH, P.E.

JOHN B. CINCOTTA

STANLEY M. WOLF, R.A.

Commissioners.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, Executive Director

RICHARD B. ATWELL, Deputy Director

FRANCES M. WALDRON, Secretary

DARRELL L. GAVRIN, J.D., Counsel

AVRIL H. LATHAM, Chief Clerk

OFFICE—80 Lafayette Street, 9th Floor, Manhattan, New
York, N. Y. 10013.

TELEPHONE—566-5174.

CONTENTS

NOTICE OF PETITION AND VERIFIED PETITION SERVED ON THE

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

On July 27, 1979 a Notice of Petition and Verified Petition was served on the Board by Mayne Miller petitioner, seeking a review of the Board's denial of the application on June 26, 1979, based on the application dated October 31, 1977—request for review of the decision of the Commissioner of Buildings in reference to B.N. 3846/76. Calendar Number 835-78-A, premises affected 534 East 84th Street, south side, 123 feet west of East End Avenue, Block 1580, Lot 30, Borough of Manhattan.

NOTICE OF PETITION AND VERIFIED PETITION SERVED ON THE

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

On July 31, 1979 a Notice of Petition and Verified Petition was served on the board by Mayne Miller petitioner, seeking a review of the Board's denial of the application on June 26, 1979, based on Application dated October 28, 1977—request for review of the decision of the Commissioner of Buildings in reference to B.N. 1574/77. Calendar Number 836-78-A, premises affected 88 East End Avenue, west side, 76.4 feet south of East 84th Street, Block 1580, Lot 30, Borough of Manhattan.

Docket.

Notice of Hearing of Calendar.

Minutes of Regular Meeting, Tuesday Morning, July 24, 1979, Affecting Calendar Numbers—

62-78-A	871-67-A	172-79-BZ
249-29-BZ—	183-72-A	204-79-BZ
Vol. II	385-76-BZ	205-79-BZ
29-53-BZ	371-79-BZ	282-79-BZ
622-55-BZ—	507-79-BZ	289-79-BZ
Vol. III	740-79-BZ	290-79-BZ
666-59-BZ	903-78-BZ	306-79-BZ
201-69-BZ	1083-78-BZ	307-79-A
152-74-BZ	967-77-BZ	339-79-BZ
516-75-BZ	223-78-A	370-79-BZ
576-77-BZ	492-78-A	498-79-A
612-77-BZ	65-78-BZ	504-79-BZ
923-77-BZ	33-79-BZ	524-79-BZ
164-78-BZ	127-79-BZ	559-79-BZ
279-78-BZ	128-79-A	560-79-BZ
562-63-A	171-79-BZ	568-79-BZ

Minutes of Regular Meeting, Tuesday Afternoon, July 24, 1979, Affecting Calendar Numbers—

134-38-SA	183-79-A	643-79-A
1050-39-SA	219-79-A	644-79-A
24-41-SA	220-79-A	645-79-A
884-48-SA	221-79-A	646-79-A
703-49-SA	222-79-A	647-79-A
122-55-SA	223-79-A	648-79-A
328-63-SA	224-79-A	649-79-A
273-73-SM	225-79-A	650-79-A
62-74-SA	226-79-A	651-79-A
152-77-SA	227-79-A	652-79-A
611-79-SM	228-79-A	653-79-A
687-78-A	229-79-A	654-79-A
688-78-A	230-79-A	655-79-A
689-78-A	359-79-A	656-79-A
34-79-A	506-79-A	657-79-A
35-79-A	582-79-A	658-79-A
132-79-A	583-79-A	659-79-A
133-79-A	584-79-A	660-79-A
134-79-A	585-79-A	661-79-A
135-79-A	586-79-A	662-79-A
136-79-A	587-79-A	663-79-A
137-79-A	588-79-A	683-79-A
138-79-A	589-79-A	687-79-A
139-79-A	590-79-A	705-79-A
140-79-A	591-79-A	717-79-A
141-79-A	592-79-A	718-79-A
142-79-A	593-79-A	719-75-A
143-79-A	594-79-A	725-79-A
144-79-A	595-79-A	727-79-A
145-79-A	596-79-A	728-79-A
147-79-A	597-79-A	729-79-A
148-79-A	598-79-A	734-79-A
149-79-A	599-79-A	739-79-A
150-79-A	600-79-A	756-79-A
151-79-A	621-79-A	269-79-A
152-79-A	624-79-A	513-79-A
153-79-A	625-79-A	
178-79-A	632-79-A	

Filing Fees.

CALENDAR

DOCKET

New cases filed up to July 24, 1979

Cal. No. Dept. Premises Affected

809-79-BZ—B.S.I.—Hylan Boulevard, southeast corner of Elm Tree Avenue, Block 3701, Lot 6, Dongan Hills, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1248/79, re- proposed construction of commercial building and no bulk or parking regulations—contrary to 22-10 Z.R.

810-79-A—B.S.I.—Hylan Boulevard, southeast corner of Elm Tree Avenue, Block 3701, Lot 6, Dongan Hills, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1248/79, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

811-79-A—B.S.I.—20 Clinton Road, west side, 207.45 feet south of Amboy Road, Block 5132, Lot 27, Great Kills, Borough of Staten Island. Alt. #122/79, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

812-79-BZ—B.M.—279-293 Madison Avenue, 23-29 East 40th Street, northeast corner of Madison Avenue, Block 1275, Lot 23, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M. Alt. #728/78, re- proposed change of use from eating and drinking UG 6 to eating and drinking without restrictions UG 12 contrary to 32-20 and 32-21 Z.R.

813-79-A—F.D.—Packaging of flammable mixture known as "Mopar Winter Anti-Freeze Summer Coolant Part #106784. Chrysler Corporation, owner.

814-79-A—B.B.—164-178 Fourth Avenue, southwest corner of Douglass Street, Block 420, Lots 37 and 40, Borough of Brooklyn. Alt. #626/79, re- proposed conversion to self-service gas station contrary to 19-73-0-(b)2 of Fire Prevention Code, City of New York.

815-79-A—B.S.I.—610 Woodrow Road, northwest corner of Arden Avenue, Block 5717, Lot 12, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #388/79, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

816-79-A—B.S.I.—600 Woodrow Road, east side, 87.17 feet north of Arden Avenue, Block 5717, Lot 16, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #389/79, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

817-79-A—B.S.I.—594 Woodrow, east side, 187.17 feet north of Arden Avenue, Block 5717, Lot 20, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #390/79, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

818-79-A—B.S.I.—169 Crown Avenue, west side, 190.82 feet north of Arden Avenue, Block 5717, Lot 80, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #391/79 re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

819-79-A—B.S.I.—179 Crown Avenue, west side, 70.82 feet north of Arden Avenue, Block 5717, Lot 85, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #392/79, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

820-79-A—B.B.—401-423 East 80th Street, northwest corner of Foster Avenue, Block 7918, Lots 1, 76, Borough of Brooklyn. Alt. #774/79, re- proposed conversion to self-service gas station is contrary to 19-73.0.b.2 of Fire Prevention Code of the City of New York.

821-79-A—F.D.—1636 Westchester Avenue, southwest corner of Harrod Place, Block 3745, Lot 5, Borough of The Bronx. F. D. Letter of July 19, 1979, re- Modification of Certificate of Occupancy #2141.

822-79-A—F.D.—118-01 to 118-21 Metropolitan Avenue, southeast corner of 83rd Avenue, Block 3329, Lot 1, Kew Gardens, Borough of Queens. F. D. Letter July 19, 1979, re- Modification of Certificate of Occupancy #13059.

823-79-A—B.S.I.—50 Johnson Street, west side, 452.22 feet north of Arthur Kill Road, Block 7207, Lot 239, Borough of Staten Island. Alt. #80/69 re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street, General City Law.

824-79-BZ—B.B.—1448 56th Street, south side, 300 feet west of 15th Avenue, Block 5692, Lot 22, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK. N.B. 26/79, re- proposed building, floor area, open space, rear yard, living rooms, lot line 23-142, 23-47, 23-84 and 23-861.

825-79-A—B.B.—1448 56th Street, south side, 300 feet west of 15th Avenue, Block 5692, Lot 22, Borough of Brooklyn. N.B. #26/79, re- rear yard, outer courts, exterior wall and entrance, Multiple Dwelling Law.

826-79-BZ—B.M.—38 East 21st Street, south side, 275 feet west of Park Avenue South, Block 849, Lot 52, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M. Alt. #162/79, re- proposed change of use from manufacturing to residential contrary to 42-10 A.R.

827-79-BZ—B.B.—1321 Oriental Boulevard, north side, 28 feet west of Langham Street, Block 8754, Lot 65, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #15BK. Alt. #397/79, re- proposed conversion of building to a two family dwelling, floor area, open space and lot area 54-31 Z.R.

DESIGNATIONS: D—Department of Buildings; B.B.—Department of Buildings, Brooklyn; B.M.—Department of Buildings, Manhattan; B.Q.—Department of Buildings, Queens; B.S.I.—Department of Buildings, Staten Island; B.Bx.—Department of Buildings, Bronx; H.D.—Health Department; F.D.—Fire Department.

SEPTEMBER 11, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday morning, September 11, 1979, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013 on the following:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

625-68-BZ

APPLICANT—Alfonso Duarte for 349 East 52nd Street Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 73-14 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R8 and C1-5 district, in an existing five story building, the change in occupancy of the first floor from an apartment to a telephone exchange.

PREMISES AFFECTED—349 East 52nd Street, north side, 90 feet 6 inches west of First Avenue, Block 1345, Lot 122, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #6M.

479-52-BZ

APPLICANT—Alfonso Duarte for Interim Realty Corporation, owner.

CALENDAR

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and extension of term of variance which expired June 5, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the change in occupancy from one family dwellings to business use (offices) and caretaker's apartment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—134 East 38th Street (formerly 130-136 East 38th Street) and 312 Lexington Avenue, southwest corner, Block 893, Lot 72, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #6M.

85-59-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Frank Homelsky and Estate of Bernard Tancer, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired June 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7f, 7i and 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a local retail and residence use district, to erect and maintain a gasoline service station, car wash, minor auto repairs, lubricatorium, storage, office and salesroom, parking and storage of motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—242-30 Northern Boulevard, south side, from Douglaston Parkway to 243rd Street, 45-01, 45-13 Douglaston Parkway and 45-02, 45-14 243rd Street, Block 8179, Lot 1 (formerly Lots 1 and 44), Bay-side, Borough of Queens.

191-46-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—William J. Calise for Rose Polomba, Frank Vivolo, Vincent Vivolo, Vencenza Vivolo, widow and Administratrix of Estate of Angelo Vivolo, owners.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires July 7, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7a and 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the extension of the restaurant, banquet hall, meeting room and occupancy by two families.

PREMISES AFFECTED—8821-8829 26th Avenue, south side, 210 feet east of Harway Avenue, Block 6897, Lot 18, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #13BK.

128-53-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for La-Vil Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires July 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a local retail and manufacturing use district, an entrance from local retail district into the manufacturing use district.

PREMISES AFFECTED—121-60 Farmers Boulevard, west side, 441.53 feet south of 121st Avenue, Block 12458, Lot 25, St. Albans, Borough of Queens. Community Board #12Q.

531-58-BZ

APPLICANT—Camilla Loria, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires July 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, on a plot with an existing two-story dwelling, the use of the unbuilt upon portion of the premises for a parking lot for the parking and storage of more than five (5) motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—170-180 McKinley Avenue and 408-414 Autumn Avenue, southwest corner, Block 4170, Lot 21, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #5BK.

1290-66-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—Larry Meltzer for Abe Rothstein and Cyrus Gebber, owners.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of November 30, 1976—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R4 district, the erection of a one story building for use as a retail store.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1836-1846 Rockaway Parkway and 9625 Avenue M, northwest corner, Block 3261, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn.

557-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Pan Am Motor Inn, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner for Modification of Certificate of Occupancy #Q160047 re-sprinkler system; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—79-10 Queens Boulevard, south side, 80 feet east of Hillyer Street, Block 2453, Lot 40, Elmhurst, Borough of Queens.

402-66-BZ

APPLICANT—Jack Garfinkel for University Heights Development Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 72-21 and 73-641 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R5 district, the erection of a ten story dormitory building that exceeds the permitted floor area ration and penetrates the sky exposure plane and side yard setback.

PREMISES AFFECTED—125 West 183rd Street, north side, 83.5 feet east of Sedgwick Avenue, 2254-2258 Sedgwick Avenue, Block 3225, Lots 48, 50, 58 and 60 Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #7BX.

304-75-BZ

APPLICANT—Burton Flax for Estate of William H. Flax, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, reopening for extension of time to complete which expired November 22, 1978 and for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story and mezzanine building for use as a warehouse with accessory offices.

PREMISES AFFECTED—146-86 New York Boulevard, southwest corner of 146th Drive, Block 13307, Lots 91, 100 104 and 113, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens.

225-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Thomas R. Kalsky for Hess Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 73-211 and 73-212 of the Zoning Resolution, per-

CALENDAR

mitting in a C2-5 district, the reconstruction and rearrangement of an automobile service station with accessory uses.

PREMISES AFFECTED—615-635 Tenth Avenue, a/k/a 501-505 44th Street, west side, blockfront between West 44th and West 45th Street, Block 1073, Lot 28, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #3M.

385-76-BZ

APPLICANT—Wechsler-Grasso-Menziuso for 80 Warren Street Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, extension of time to complete which expired October 11, 1978 and for amendment—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an M1-5 district, the conversion of a six story and cellar building from warehouse, manufacturing and offices into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—76-84 Warren Street, north side, 100.9 feet west of West Broadway, Block 137, Lot 5, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #1M.

386-76-A

APPLICANT—Wechsler-Grasso-Menziuso for 80 Warren Street Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- front yard and egress; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—76-84 Warren Street, north side, 100.9 feet west of West Broadway, Block 137, Lot 5, Borough of Manhattan.

562-63-A

APPLICANT—Harry J. Zweibel for Pen Plaza South Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of May 22, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- elevator; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—363 Seventh Avenue, northeast corner of West 30th Street, Block 806, Lot 1, Borough of Manhattan.

183-72-A

APPLICANT—Harry J. Zweibel for Grokash Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of July 18, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- Elevator in Readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—236-238 West 30th Street, south side, 300 feet east of 8th Avenue, Block 779, Lot 63, Borough of Manhattan.

871-67-A

APPLICANT—Harry J. Zweibel for West 25130 Realty Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of May 22, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- elevator to be kept in readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—251 West 30th Street, north side, 200 feet east of 8th Avenue, Block 780, Lot 10, Borough of Manhattan.

ZONING CASES

25-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Shea, Gould, Climenko and Casey for 692-694 Broadway Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application January 5, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-01 and 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a M1-5B district, the conversion of an existing twelve story building that exceeds the permitted area from Lofts into joint living/working quarters with stores on the first floor.

PREMISES AFFECTED—692-694 Broadway, southeast corner of East 4th Street, Block 531, Lot 6, Borough of Manhattan Community Board #2M.

131-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Tucciarone and DiMilia for Rego Crescent Corporation, owner, 86-43 105th St. Realty Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R-2 district the erection of a two story medical office building that exceeds the permitted area, encroaches on the required side and rear yards and with less than the required accessory parking.

PREMISES AFFECTED—162-01 92nd Street, southeast corner of 162nd Avenue, Block 10466, Lot 1, Borough of Queens. Community Board #10Q.

311-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Israel Solomon, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a R6 district, the erection of a two story retail store and office building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—5002-5008 13th Avenue, southwest corner of 50th Street, Block 5648, Lot 41, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

312-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Israel Solomon, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a R-6 district, the erection of a two story retail store and office building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—5010-5014 13th Avenue, west side, 55 feet south of 50th Street, Block 5648, Lot 43, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

313-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Israel Solomon, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a R-6 district, the erection of a two story retail store and office building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—5016-5020 13th Avenue, west side 48.4 feet north of 51st Street, Block 5648, Lot 45, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

314-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Israel Solomon, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zon-

CALENDAR

ing Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a R-6 district, the erection of a two story retail store and office building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—5022-5036 13th Avenue, northwest corner of 51st Street, Block 5648, Lot 47, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

367-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Mok and Sonber for Edward Meegan, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story office building with accessory parking in the open area.

PREMISES AFFECTED—220-22 147th Avenue, southwest corner of Springfield Lane, Block 13434, Lot 91, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens. Community Board #12Q.

Laid over from July 10, 1979, for hearing.

368-79-A

APPLICANT—Mok and Sonber for Edward Meegan, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 17, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—220-22 147th Avenue, southwest corner of Springfield Lane, Block 13434, Lot 191, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens.

Laid over from July 10, 1979, for hearing.

638-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Howard I. Brenner for 58 Realopp Corporation, owner, H.I.S. Enterprises, Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application August 7, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C6-4CL district, in an existing four story and penthouse structure, the expansion into the fourth floor of the existing physical culture establishment located on the second and third floors.

PREMISES AFFECTED—300 West 58th Street, a/k/a 989 Eighth Avenue, southwest corner, Block 1048, Lot 36, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M.

Laid over from June 5, 1979, for continued hearing.

96-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Starrett City Incorporated, owner, Eddie Arcaro's Restaurant, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application February 5, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C4-3 district, within an existing shopping center, the addition to the uses of an existing restaurant to include an amusement arcade.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1344/50 Pennsylvania Avenue, southwest corner of Twin Pines Drive, Block 4452, Lot 15, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #5BK.

Laid over from July 3, 1979, for continued hearing.

1136-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Richard R. Metzner for Arnold Trading Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application December 15, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C4-3 district, the enlargement in area of the third and fourth floors of an existing four story non-conforming food processing establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—284-290 South 5th Street, south side, 60 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2460, Lot 18, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #1BK.

Laid over from July 10, 1979, for continued hearing.

1157-78-A

APPLICANT—Richard R. Metzner for Arnold Trading Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application December 28, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed enlargement of Class 111 building contrary to the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

PREMISES AFFECTED—280-290 South 5th Street, south side, 60 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2460, Lot 18, Borough of Brooklyn.

Laid over from July 10, 1979, for continued hearing.

50-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Alfonso Duarte for 270-23 Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an MI-5 district, in an existing ten story loft building, the conversion of the seventh through tenth floors into apartments.

PREMISES AFFECTED—521-523 West 23rd Street, north side, 200 feet west of 10th Avenue, Block 695, Lot 24, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M.

Laid over from July 17, 1979, for continued hearing.

255-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Kenneth H. Koons and Alfred A. Fantino for A Selinger Enterprises, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 8, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing warehouse and commercial vehicle storage establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3070 Waterbury Avenue, south side, 47.18 feet east of Hollywood Avenue, Block 5340, Lot 35, Borough of Bronx. Community Board #10BX.

Laid over from July 17, 1979, for continued hearing.

283-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Tracy Saks, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 15, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-14 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R2 district, in conjunction with the erection of a one story and cellar medical office building, the use of a portion of the cellar as a telephone answering service establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3055 Richmond Road, northeast corner of Beacon Avenue, Block 948, Lot 9, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #2S.I.

Laid over from July 17, 1979, for continued hearing.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

SEPTEMBER 11, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing Tuesday afternoon, September 11, 1979, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

350-79-A

APPLICANT—Roberts Consolidated Industries, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 4, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re-packaging of flammable

CALENDAR

mixture known as "Weldwood Panel Adhesive", in eleven (11) ounce Fiber cartridge with N/A Kalamazoo, container not in compliance with the Regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

351-79-A

APPLICANT—Roberts Consolidated Industries, owner.
SUBJECT—Application April 4, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of flammable mixture known as "Weldwood Panel Weld", in fiber cartridge 11 ounce with N/A Kalamazoo, container not in compliance with the Regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

515-79-A

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger for Sigmund Sommer, owner.
SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Department of Buildings re- renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from date of its original issuance.
PREMISES AFFECTED—269-10 Grand Central Parkway, southeast corner of 267th Street, Block 8489, Lot 1, Glen Oaks, Borough of Queens.

516-79-A

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger for Sigmund Sommer, owner.
SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Department of Buildings re- renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from date of its original issuance.
PREMISES AFFECTED—270-10 Grand Central Parkway, southeast corner of 267th Street, Block 8489, Lot 1, Glen Oaks, Borough of Queens.

517-79-A

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger for Sigmund Sommer, owner.
SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Department of Buildings re- renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from date of its original issuance.
PREMISES AFFECTED—271-10 Grand Central Parkway, southeast corner of 267th Street, Block 8489, Lot 1, Glen Oaks, Borough of Queens.

518-79-A

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger for Sigmund Sommer, owner.
SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Department of Buildings re- renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from date of its original issuance.
PREMISES AFFECTED—270-40 Grand Central Parkway, southeast corner of 267th Street, Block 8489, Lot 1, Glen Oaks, Borough of Queens.

623-79-A

APPLICANT—Thomas R. Kalsky for Hess Realty Corporation, owner.
SUBJECT—Application May 28, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- proposed conversion to self service gasoline station is contrary to Sec. 19-73-0-b2 of the Administrative Code.

PREMISES AFFECTED—615/635 10th Avenue, northwest corner of West 44th Street, Block 1073, Lot 28, Borough of Manhattan.

161-79-A

APPLICANT—Borough Superintendent Department of Buildings, Max Donner, owner, R. Ferrara, Lessee.
SUBJECT—Application February 8, 1979—Revocation of Certificates of Occupancy #21877-57 and #23566-58.
PREMISES AFFECTED—1777 East Gun Hill Road, northeast corner of Bushnell Avenue, Block 4806, Lot 38-42, Borough of Bronx.

110-79-A

APPLICANT—Spirit Fluid Manufacturing Corporation, owner.
SUBJECT—Application February 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Spirit Light Charcoal Starter", in sixty-four (64) ounces round plastic bottle with child proof cap container not in compliance with the Regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

543-78-A

APPLICANT—Galbreath-Ruffin Corporation.
SUBJECT—Application July 14, 1978—review and appeal of Modification to Reference Standard 13-1 (Air Filters) granted under Calendar Number 281-77-BCR.
PREMISES AFFECTED—150 E. 42nd St., block bounded by Lexington Ave., 3rd Ave., E. 42nd St. and E. 41st St., Block 1296, Lot 46, Borough of Manhattan.

5-78-A

APPLICANT—Apsco Fabrics, Incorporated for Louis and Bessie Adler Foundation, Incorporated, owners.
SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to dismiss the application of January 4, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- sprinkler protection under the obstructions (Bins).
PREMISES AFFECTED—469-475 10th Avenue and 501 West 36th Street, northwest corner, Block 708, Lot 31, Borough of Manhattan.

363-79-A

APPLICANT—Clara G. Stewart for Union Carbide Corporation, owner.
SUBJECT—Application April 12, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of inflammable mixture known as Simoniz Shines Like The Sun Liquid Car Wax in plastic squeeze bottle not in compliance with regulation of the Administrative Code of New York.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

SEPTEMBER 18, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday morning, September 18, 1979, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

357-59-BZ

APPLICANT—Frederick A. Ketcher for Harvey Starr, owner.

CALENDAR

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires December 15, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the maintenance of a parking lot for the parking and storage of more than five (5) cars of the passenger-type.

PREMISES AFFECTED—21-23 Hillside Avenue, south side, 252 feet east of Broadway, Block 2170, Lot 110, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #12M.

561-75-BZ

APPLICANT—Stroock and Stroock and Lavan for Lincoln Plaza Associates South, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired July 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C4-7L district, the erection of a thirty-two story plus penthouse mixed building that will have less than the required lot area per room.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1886-1896 Broadway, 23-25 West 62nd Street, northeast corner, 24-40 West 63rd Street, Block 1115, Lots 22, 43, 47 and 17, Borough of Manhattan.

183-48-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for 255 Turnpike Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to obtain a Certificate of Occupancy which expired May 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7c and 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in the retail use portion, the maintenance of a gasoline service station.

PREMISES AFFECTED—255-01 to 259-25 Union Turnpike, blockfront, north side between 255th Street and 260th Street, Block 8513, Lot 2, Glen Oaks, Borough of Queens.

157-69-A

APPLICANT—Jay Winston for 56 West 39th Street Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired May 20, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re-Elevators in Readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—54 West 39th Street, south side, 210 feet east of Avenue of the Americas, Block 840, Lot 78, Borough of Manhattan.

891-76-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for the MacIntyre Building Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired July 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an M1-5 district, in an existing ten story and tower structure, the conversion of a portion of the third floor, and the conversion of a portion of the third floor and the fourth through eleventh floors into residential use.

PREMISES AFFECTED—874 Broadway, northeast corner of East 18th Street, Block 847, Lot 24, Borough of Manhattan.

38-76-A

APPLICANT—Albert Melniker for Standard Boat Company, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, extension of time to complete which expired May 9, 1979 and for amendment—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re-classification as a bulk storage plant.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1483 Richmond Terrace, north side, 95.77 feet east of Broadway, Block 184, Lot 275, West New Brighton, Borough of Staten Island.

524-76-BZ

APPLICANT—Edward Lauria for Christian Beetschen, owner; Victory Van Lines, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to dismiss for lack of prosecution the application of June 9, 1976—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, in conjunction with an existing furniture warehouse, the enlargement in lot area and the use of open area for commercial vehicle storage.

PREMISES AFFECTED—69-71 St. Mary's Avenue, north side, 25 feet east of Tilson Place, Block 2846, Lot 237, Borough of Staten Island.

21-74-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Ciampa Properties, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, extension of time to complete which expired October 26, 1977; and for amendment—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 73-44 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C4-2 district, the reduction in the required accessory parking spaces for a proposed seven story office building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—136-26 37th Avenue, south side, 60.35 feet west of 138th Street, Block 4978, Lot 13, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

819-52-BZ

APPLICANT—Richard E. Beaman for Marinid Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the docket by the Board to extend the term of variance in accordance with the resolution adopted March 20, 1979, showing completion of work on the facade of building, side yard and sidewalk and curb on Watson Avenue—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7i of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the change in occupancy from five-car garage to motor vehicle repair shop.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1890 Watson Avenue and 1077 White Plains Road, southwest corner, Block 3732, Lot 49, Borough of The Bronx.

655-74-A

APPLICANT—H. W. Peter of BUG and G. Kelly, Kelro Consultants for Brooklyn Union Gas Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re-use of naphtha as well as fuel oil for boiler burners at the SNG Plant; previously granted on condition.

CALENDAR

PREMISES AFFECTED—438 Varick Avenue and 287 to 473 Maspeth Avenue, northeast corner, Block 2838, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn.

761-58-BZ

APPLICANT—Kenneth H. Koons for 2954 Bruner Avenue Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired June 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7e and 21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use D-1 area district, the extension of a marble factory occupying more than the permitted area.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2954-2958 Bruner Avenue, east side, 100 feet south of Adea Avenue, Block 4791, Lots 5 and 52, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #12BX.

ZONING CASES

168-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Ernest D. Davis for Gary Gabriel, owner, Barbara Taylor, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application February 21, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-4 district, in an existing two story commercial building, the maintenance of the present eating and drinking establishment without restrictions on entertainment or dancing.

PREMISES AFFECTED—101-115 West 116th Street, northwest corner of Lenox Avenue, Block 1901, Lot 29, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #10M.

279-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Inc., corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 660 of the New York City Charter, to permit in an R5 district the erection of a three story and cellar three family dwelling that encroaches on the required front, side and rear yards.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1456 58th Street, south side, 220 feet west of 15th Avenue, Block 5706, Lot 29, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

280-79-A

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Inc., corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1456 58th Street, south side, 220 feet west of 15th Avenue, Block 5706, Lot 29, Borough of Brooklyn.

364-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for 252 West 30th Street Association, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, in an existing fifteen story structure, the conversion of the second through fifteenth floors from lofts into apartments.

PREMISES AFFECTED—252 West 30th Street, south side, 100.3 feet east of 8th Avenue, Block 779, Lot 71, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

366-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Shul-Reis Realty Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-01, 72-21 and 73-241 of the Zoning Resolution and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a C2-8 district, the construction of four additional stories on an existing one story and basement commercial building that creates non-compliance on the commercial lot area and floor area requirement.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1185 1st Avenue, northwest corner of East 64th Street, Block 1439, Lot 23, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

500-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Wax Bryman Associates for TCA Hudson Street Incorporation, owner, El Diario, La Prensa, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, in an existing eight story commercial building, the conversion of the third through eighth floors into apartments.

PREMISES AFFECTED—181-85 Hudson Street, northwest corner of Vestry Street, Block 222, Lot 19, part of 22, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #1M.

Laid over from July 3, 1979 for hearing.

371-79-BZ

APPLICANT—John W. Stacom for Feinrose Association, owner. Super A-musement Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-35 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a M2-1 district within an existing shopping center the change in use of one store into an amusement arcade.

PREMISES AFFECTED—133-42 Whitestone Expressway, southeast corner of Downing Street, Block 4367, Lot 1, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

Laid over from July 24, 1979, for continued hearing; additional information.

507-79-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino, for 164-02 Cross Bay Boulevard Corporation, owner. J.T.R. Entertainment Corporation, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 25, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-24 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-2 district, in an existing one story building occupied as a restaurant, the addition to the uses to include cabaret.

PREMISES AFFECTED—164-02 Cross Bay Boulevard, southwest corner of 164th Avenue, Block 1252, Lots 5 and 9, Howard Beach, Borough of Queens. Community Board #10Q.

Laid over from July 24, 1979, hearing closed.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

SEPTEMBER 18, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, *Tuesday afternoon, September 18, 1979*, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

CALENDAR

164-79-GR

APPLICANT—The City of New York Board of Standards and Appeals.

SUBJECT—Applicability of Reference Standard RS 18-1 (Safety Code for elevators, dumbwaiters, escalators and moving walks) of the Building Code of the City of New York.

170-79-SA

APPLICANT—Holmes Protection, Incorporated, New York for Potter Electric Signal Company—central station fire alarm transmitter.

799-79-BCR

APPLICANT—Irwin Fruchtman, P.E., Commissioner, Department of Buildings, letter dated June 22, 1979 and received July 20, 1979.

SUBJECT—Modification of Reference Standards RS 10 and RS 10-5 (Design, Fabrication, and Erection of Structural Steel for Buildings) of the Building Code of the City of New York.

800-79-BCR

APPLICANT—Irwin Fruchtman, P.E., Commissioner, Department of Buildings, letter dated June 29, 1979 and received July 20, 1979.

SUBJECT—Modification of Reference Standard RS 17-2 (Installation of Sprinkler Systems) of the Building Code of the City of New York.

544-79-SM

APPLICANT—Dresser Manufacturing Division, Dresser Industries, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Sleeve to electrically isolate two sections of gas line.

1104-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—188-35 69th Ave., Queens, Block 7117, Lot 3.

1105-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—69-10 188th Street, Queens, Block 7115, Lot 2.

1106-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—194-25 64th Ave., Queens, Block 7117, Lot 4.

1107-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—192-15 69th Ave., Queens, Block 7117, Lot 8.

1108-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—196-05 67th Ave., Queens, Block 7117, Lot 6.

1109-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—88-01 188th Street, Queens, Block 7117, Lot 2.

550-79-A

APPLICANT—Augustus A. Beekman, Fire Commissioner. Owner of Premises—Mit-Will Realty.

SUBJECT—Application May 7, 1979—for Modification of Certificate of Occupancy re- sprinkler system.

PREMISES AFFECTED—419/21 Fifth Avenue, east side, 12 feet north of 8th Street, Block 999, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn.

635-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Homelawn Housing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 35, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building in the bed of a mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3169 Miles Avenue, north side, 125.46 feet west of Stevens Place, Block 5517, Lot 32, Borough of The Bronx.

636-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Homelawn Housing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 35, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building in the bed of a mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3171 Miles Avenue, north side, 103.96 feet west of Stevens Place, Block 5517, Lot 31, Borough of The Bronx.

637-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Homelawn Housing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 35, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building in the bed of a mapped street.

CALENDAR

PREMISES AFFECTED—3173 Miles Avenue, north side, 74.52 feet west of Stevens Place, Block 5517, Lot 30, Borough of The Bronx.

513-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for The Turn Verein In The City of New York, owner. Private Cabarets Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Interpretative from the wrongful decision of the Department of Buildings dated April 6, 1979.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1251/59 Lexington Avenue, 146/52 East 85th Street, southeast corner of Lexington Avenue and East 85th Street, Block 1513, Lot 50, Borough of Manhattan.

269-79-A

APPLICANT—Frances L. Weiss for 15 West 81st Street Tenants Corporation, owner, Frances L. Weiss, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application March 12, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- refusal to revoke BN 1955-77, BN 3811-76 and BN 4791-77.

PREMISES AFFECTED—15 West 81st Street, north side, 250 feet west of Central Park West, Block 1195, Lot 18, Borough of Manhattan.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

SEPTEMBER 25, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday morning, September 25, 1979, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013 on the following:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

251-58-BZ

APPLICANT—Carlo Nuzzi for John Colangelo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the use of vacant plot for a parking lot for the parking and storage of more than (5) motor vehicles, pleasure type.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2446-2450 Beaumont Avenue, east side, 238.57 feet south of East 189th Street and 2445-2449 Crotona Avenue, Block 3105, Lot 17, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #6BX.

635-57-BZ

APPLICANT—George Perotto for 115 Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the use of the first floor as a legation for the Republic of Sudan to the United Nations and the second floor as an office for the Pharmaceutical Information Bureau.

PREMISES AFFECTED—115 East 69th Street, north side, 185 feet east of Park Avenue, Block 1404, Lot 8, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

516-43-A

APPLICANT—Saul Goldsmith for Welbilt Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the acting Borough Superintendent re- bed of mapped street; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—57-46 Flushing Avenue, 59-05 60th Avenue, 58-12 59th Drive and 59-83 59th Street, east side, 214 feet 10 inches south of 59th Drive, Block 2649, Lot 113 (formerly Block 2649, Lots 113 and 115, Block 2653, Lot 5), Maspeth, Borough of Queens.

1055-64-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Bethpage Harding Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C2-2 district, in an existing building occupied as a radio transmitting station and restaurant, the extension of the use to include catering and restaurant without restriction.

PREMISES AFFECTED—173-11 to 173-15 Horace Harding Expressway, 59-48 to 59-60 174th Street, northwest corner, Block 6887, Lot 74, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

11-69-A

APPLICANT—Larry Meltzer for Island Transportation Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- use of unapproved trailer tank trucks in City of New York—transportation of fuel oil in 8,000 gallon tractor-trailers not in conformity with requirements of Administrative Code of City of New York; previously granted on condition.

936-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel H. Lindenbaum for 499 Park Avenue Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to withdraw the application for extension of time to complete which expired July 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution permitting in a C5-3R district, the erection of a twenty five story, store and office building that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, penetrates the sky exposure plane and without the required accessory loading berth.

PREMISES AFFECTED—487-499 Park Avenue, southeast corner of East 59th Street, Block 1313, Lot 4, Borough of Manhattan.

ZONING CASES

70-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Guardian Federal Savings and Loan Association, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 22, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R6 district in an existing four story building, the conversion of accessory garage space into apartments that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio, lot area per room and the parking requirements.

PREMISES AFFECTED—37-06 104th Street, 103-14 37th Avenue, southwest corner, Block 1769, Lot 7, Corona, Borough of Queens. Community Board #3Q.

CALENDAR

275-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 660 of the New York City Charter, to permit in an R6 district the erection of a three story and cellar, three family dwelling that encroaches on the required side and rear yards.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1518-53rd Street, south side, 137.6 feet east of 15th Avenue, Block 5477, Lot 12, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

276-79-A

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1518 53rd Street, south side, 137.6 feet east of 15th Avenue, Block 5477, Lot 12, Borough of Brooklyn.

291-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Herbert Gallin Associated for Frank and Joseph Coretti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 16, 1979—decision of the Superintendent, under Section 11-413 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit C8-1 and R-4 district the change in use of an existing one story building previously before the Board from a garage into an automobile repair shop.

PREMISES AFFECTED—911 Sackett Avenue, north side, 94.24 feet east of Bronxdale Avenue, Block 4058, Lot 25, Borough of Bronx. Community Board #11BX.

346-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Harry Soled for Eugene Lichtenstein, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 4, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R-6 district, the erection of an enlargement to the first floor of an existing three story and basement multiple dwelling that creates non-compliance in open space ratio and rear yard obstruction.

PREMISES AFFECTED—221 Keap Street, north side, 121 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2194, Lot 46, Borough of Brooklyn.

347-79-A

APPLICANT—Harry Soled for Eugene Lichtenstein, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 4, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- open space ratio.

PREMISES AFFECTED—221 Keap Street, north side, 121 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2194, Lot 46, Borough of Brooklyn.

270-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Paul Siegel Antiques, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a C6-1 district, on a Zoning lot con-

taining two commercial structures, the conversion of both buildings into apartments that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio and lot area per room on one building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—808 Broadway, 110 Fourth Avenue, east side, 240.4 feet north of East 10th Street, Block 557, Lots 9 and 26, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

Laid over from July 3, 1979, for hearing.

271-79-A

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Paul Siegel Antiques, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—808 Broadway, 110 Fourth Avenue, east side, 240.4 feet north of East 10th Street, Block 557, Lots 9 and 26, Borough of Manhattan.

Laid over from July 3, 1979, for hearing.

552-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Estate of Mary J. Lange, owner. Manoidis Enterprises, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-2 and R6 (SR) district, in conjunction with the erection of a one story restaurant, the expansion of the open accessory parking facility into the residence district.

PREMISES AFFECTED—9011 Third Avenue, east side, 58.1¼ feet south of 90th Street, Block 6081, Lots 3, 4, 6, 11, 13, 14 and 15, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #10BK.

Laid over from July 17, 1979 for hearing.

695-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Roy-Al Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 18, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C8-4 district the enlargement in floor area and the change in use of an existing manufacturing building into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—314 West 11th Street/721 Washington Street, northeast corner, Block 634, Lot 47, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

Laid over from June 26, 1979, for continued hearing.

696-78-A

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Roy-Al Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 18, 1978—Appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—341 West 11th Street, northeast corner of Washington Street, Block 634, Lot 47, Borough of Manhattan.

Laid over from June 26, 1979, for continued hearing.

SEPTEMBER 25, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing Tuesday afternoon, September 25, 1979, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

338-79-A

APPLICANT—Sajra Discount Department Stores, Incorporated, owner.

CALENDAR

SUBJECT—Application March 29, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- Sprinkler System.
PREMISES AFFECTED—1963 Prospect Avenue, northwest corner of East Tremont Avenue, Block 3093, Lot 47, Borough of The Bronx.

519-79-A

APPLICANT—Vincent John Bartone for St. Nektarios Greek Orthodox Church, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed change in occupancy from a dwelling to a Church.

PREMISES AFFECTED—156-03 Sanford Avenue, northeast corner of 156th Street, Block 5329, Lot 3, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

553-79-A

APPLICANT—William E. Cratty for Intercontinental Lubricants Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner, re- packaging of inflammable mixture known as Old New England Lamp Oil in plastic bottle, not in compliance with regulation of the Administrative Code of New York City.

558-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Ralten Real Estate and Building Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—5394 Amboy Road, south side, 40.27 feet west of Stecher Street, Block 6570, Lot 30, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

561-79-A

APPLICANT—E. E. La Rue for Siloo, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner, re- packaging of inflammable mixture known as Siloo Gas Line Anti-Freeze in plastic bottle, not in compliance with regulations of the Administrative Code of New York City.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 2, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday morning October 2, 1979, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

624-38-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—BECA Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired May 28, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, on a plot presently occupied with a two story dwelling and two car garage, the use of the unbuilt upon portion for the parking and storage of more than five (5) motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3335 Hull Avenue, west side, 224.67 feet north of East 209th Street, Block 3348, Lots 66 and 70, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #7BX.

752-64-BZ

APPLICANT—Max Siegel Associates for Schnurmacher Brothers, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires October 20, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 60 (3) of the Multiple Dwelling Law, permitting in a C5-1 and R8 district, in an existing multiple dwelling, the addition of transient parking for the unused and surplus tenants spaces within the required accessory garage.

PREMISES AFFECTED—999 Madison Avenue, east side, from East 77th Street to East 78th Street, 47 East 77th Street, 40 East 78th Street, Block 1392, Lot 49, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

928-53-BZ

APPLICANT—Charles M. Spindler Associates for Sun Oil Company (Sunmark Industries), owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired June 15, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7f of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a business use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline service station, auto washing, lubricatorium, storage and sale of accessories and office.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1982-1992 Utica Avenue and 4914-4924 Avenue L, southwest corner, Block 7847, Lot 44, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #18BK.

632-19-BZ—Vol. III

APPLICANT—Henry M. Garsson for Metromedia, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, in an existing four story building, the change in occupancy from public garage to studios, offices and storage incidental to radio and television broadcasting with accessory garage.

PREMISES AFFECTED—215-217 East 67th Street, north side, 275 feet east of Third Avenue, Block 1422, Lot 12, Borough of Manhattan.

ZONING CASES

63-79-BZ

APPLICANT—George J. Meltzer for John Menzollillo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R-4 district the erection of a one story and cellar enlargement to an existing two family dwelling and dentist's office to be used as a funeral establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—58-12 Main Street, 138-30 58th Avenue, southwest corner, Block 6377, Lots 30 and 34, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

64-79-A

APPLICANT—George J. Meltzer for John Manzollillo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of frame structure as Dental office.

PREMISES AFFECTED—58-12 Main Street and 138-30 58th Avenue, southwest corner, Block 6377, Lots 30 and 34, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

CALENDAR

266-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Taki J. Langas for Flushing Hospital and Medical Center, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-21 and 73-641 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R-4 district, the erection of a new six story building to an existing Medical Center that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, encroaches on the required front yard, penetrates the sky exposure plane and with less than the required accessory parking.

PREMISES AFFECTED—146-01 45th Avenue, northeast corner of Burling Street, Block 5199, Lots 7, 8, 9, 10, 110 21 and 21T, Block 5198, Lots 12 and 25, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

267-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Taki J. Langas for Flushing Hospital and Medical Center, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 73-451 and 73-452 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R3-2 district, the construction and maintenance of an off-site accessory parking facility for an existing medical center.

PREMISES AFFECTED—144-11 45th Avenue, north side, 50 feet west of Burling Street, Block 5196, Lots 3, 5, 10, 77, 79, and 82, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

268-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Taki J. Langas for Flushing Hospital and Medical Center, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 73-451 and 73-452 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R3-2 district, the construction and maintenance of an off-site accessory parking facility for a medical center.

PREMISES AFFECTED—144-14 45th Avenue, south side, 75 feet west of Burling, Block 5204, Lots 4 and 9, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

277-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Inc., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a R-5 district the erection of a three story and cellar three family dwelling that encroaches on the required front, side and rear yards.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1641-49th Street, north side, 320 feet east of 16th Avenue, Block 5448, Lot 74, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

278-79-A

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Inc., owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1641-49th Street, north side, 320 feet east of 16th Avenue, Block 5448, Lot 12, Borough of Brooklyn.

298-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Lidia Properties, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 20, 1979— decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution to permit in a C6-1 district, in an existing seven story building, the conversion of the second through seventh floors into joint living/working quarters for artists.

PREMISES AFFECTED—351/53 Jay Street, east side, 147.7 feet south of Myrtle Avenue, Block 147, Lot 9, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #9BK.

299-79-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Lidia Properties, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 20, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- bedroom windows.

PREMISES AFFECTED—351-353 Jay Street, east side, 147 feet 7 inches south of Myrtle Avenue, Block 147, Lot 9, Borough of Brooklyn.

129-79-BZ

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger or Daniel Rubin, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing warehouse that increases the degree of non-conformity.

PREMISES AFFECTED—172-47 Baisley Boulevard, west side, 142.31 feet south of Merrill Street, Block 12390, Lot 121, Jamaica, Borough of Queens. Community Board #12Q.

Laid over from July 10, 1979, for continued hearing.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 2, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, *Tuesday afternoon, October 2, 1979*, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

256-79-SA

APPLICANT—American District Telegraph Company, Incorporated—fire alarm system.

742-79-SA

APPLICANT—Air Balance New York Incorporated—1½ hour fire rated smoke/fire dampers.

803-79-SA

APPLICANT—Allied Electronics Incorporated—dispenser computer for gasoline stations (including self-service uses).

854-79-SA

APPLICANT—Enraf-Nonius—liquid level gauge for bulk petroleum products storage tanks.

927-79-SM

APPLICANT—Superior Fireplace Company, division Mobex Corporation—factory built fireplaces.

532-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for York Amusement Company, Incorporated, owner, Jolar Cinema, Incorporated, lessee.

CALENDAR

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1487 7th Avenue, west side, 40.5 feet south of 43rd Street, Block 1014, Lot 134, Borough of Manhattan.

533-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Fashion Wear Realty Corporation, owner, Coast Holding Corporation, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—113 West 42nd Street, north side, 150 feet west of Avenue of the Americas and West 42nd Street, Block 995, Lot 26, Borough of Manhattan.

534-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Sevco Realty Corporation, owner, Black Jack Distributors, Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—210-212 West 42nd Street, south side, 100 feet west of Seventh Avenue, Block 1013, Lot 37, Borough of Manhattan.

535-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Fun City Novelty Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—259 West 42nd Street, north side, 100 feet east of Eighth Avenue, Block 1014, Lot 5, Borough of Manhattan.

536-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for Jean Messina and Kathryn Fahy, owner, Queens Paperbook Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—247 West 42nd Street, north side, 237.6 feet east of 8th Avenue, Block 1014, Lot 11, Borough of Manhattan.

539-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph J. Schwarz, Jr. for 303 West 42nd Street Corporation, owner, Show-World Center Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—303 West 42nd Street, north side, 75 feet west of Eighth Avenue, Block 1033, Lot 32, Borough of Manhattan.

540-78-A

APPLICANT—Ralph L. Schwarz, Jr. for Michael Zaffarano, owner, 1605 Book Center Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1978—Review and Interpretation of Zoning Matter re- proposed use of viewing machines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1603-11 Broadway, west side, 19.6½ feet south of West 49th Street, Block 1020, Lot 43, Borough of Manhattan.

603-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Henry Tausk, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water, (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—118 Elmbank Street, south side 84.70 feet east of Harold Avenue, Block 5407, Lot 6, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

604-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Henry Tausk, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—115 Elmbank Street, north side, 32.69 feet east of Harold Avenue, Block 5406, Lot 42, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

566-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Cast Dur Realty Company also known as Jet Sand and Stone Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 14, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Department of Ports and Terminals re- Storage of Asphalt.

PREMISES AFFECTED—38-05 College Point Boulevard, south side, 22.63 feet, east of Whitestone Expressway, Block 4942, Lot 57, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

572-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Bessie Reichman, owner. Save Way Astoria Incorporated, Long-term, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application May 16, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- proposed conversion to Self Service gasoline station is Contrary to Sec. 19-73-0-b2 of the Administrative Code.

PREMISES AFFECTED—38-01 21st Street, southwest corner of 38th Avenue, Block 471, Lot 734, Astoria, Borough of Queens.

609-79-A

APPLICANT—Joseph B. Raia for Michael Ajello, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 22, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—124 Lenzie Street, south side, 460.45 feet west of Holdridge Avenue, Block 6361, Lot 95, Princess Bay, Borough of Staten Island.

38-79-A

APPLICANT—Barbara Brummer, Vice President for Boyle-Midway Division of American Homes Products Corporation, owner.

CALENDAR

SUBJECT—Application January 10, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Wizard Fire Starter Gel" in one (1) ounce and two (2) ounce plastic pouches with heat sealed container not in compliance with the regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 9, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday morning, October 9, 1979, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013 on the following:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

247-78-A

APPLICANT—Wuest and Bailey for William N. Weidman, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—for Modification of Certificate of Occupancy #Q106790 re- sprinkler system; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—31-14 30th Avenue, south side, 50 feet west of 32nd Street, Block 615, Lot 37, Astoria, Borough of Queens.

931-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel H. Lindenbaum for 80-81 and First Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 72-01(b) and 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution; previously granted on condition, permitting in a C1-9 (R10) district, on a plot with an existing multiple dwelling, the erection of a 34 story and penthouse mixed building that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, has less than the required lot area per room and minimum distance between building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—401 to 403 East 80th Street, 1540 to 1556 First Avenue, northeast corner and 400 to 410 East 81st Street, Block 1560, Lots 1, 44 and 45, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

78-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel H. Lindenbaum for Arwin East 74th Street Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C1-9 (R10) and R8 district, the erection of a 33 story mixed building that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio and tower coverage, has less than the required lot area per room and encroaches on the required district between building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1422-1428 Second Avenue, 300-308 East 75th Street, southeast corner and 303-311 East 74th Street, Block 1440, Lots 2 and 3, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

548-75-A

APPLICANT—Ira M. Sherman for 1110 Third Avenue Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired July 18, 1979

—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- stairway enclosure; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1114 Third Avenue, west side, 40.5 feet north of East 65th Street, Block 1400, Lot 134, Borough of Manhattan.

ZONING CASES

181-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Bonah Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 27, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in an R5 district, the erection of a three story and cellar, three family dwelling that encroaches on the required front, side and rear yards.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1665 50th Street, north side, 230 feet west of 17th Avenue, Block 5454, Lot 62, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

182-79-A

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Bonah Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- wheelchair access and rear yard for proposed three (3) family dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1665 50th Street, north side, 230 feet west of 17th Avenue, Block 5454, Lot 62, Borough of Brooklyn.

332-79-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Samuel Hofstein, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 27, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R3-2 district the construction and maintenance of an accessory parking facility.

PREMISES AFFECTED—43-14 Little Neck Parkway, west side, 324.53 feet north of Northern Boulevard, Block 8129, Lot 44, Little Neck, Borough of Queens. Community Board #11Q.

341-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Vincent and Lucy Calicchio, owners.

SUBJECT—Application April 3, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-18 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R3-2 (RSD) district, the construction of one story building to be occupied as a riding stable and academy with less than the required sidewalk trees.

PREMISES AFFECTED—201 Winant Avenue, east side, 256.13 feet south of Lucille Avenue, Block 7044, Lots 222 and 228, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #3S.I.

342-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Vincent and Lucy Calicchio, owners.

SUBJECT—Application April 3, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough of Superintendent re- proposed building not fronting on legal street, storm sewers and frame building without sprinklers.

PREMISES AFFECTED—201 Winant Avenue, east side, 256.13 feet south of Lucille Avenue, Block 7044, Lots 222 and 228, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

CALENDAR

549-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for G-T Properties Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 7, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit, in a C5-5 district, in an existing 33 story structure, the conversion of the third through thirty third floors into apartments that creates non-compliance in lot area per room.

PREMISES AFFECTED—55 Liberty Street, northwest corner of Nassau Street, Block 64, Lot 8, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #1M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 9, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, *Tuesday afternoon, October 9, 1979*, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

97-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico, for Melvin Kling, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—44 Petersons Lane, west side 330.37 feet north of Amboy Road, Block 6976, Lot 83, Princes Bay, Borough of Staten Island.

98-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico, for Melvin Kling, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—54 Petersons Lane, west side, 430.37 feet north of Amboy Road, Block 6976, Lot 77, Princes Bay, Borough of Staten Island.

99-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Melvin Kling, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—64 Petersons Lane, west side, 530.37 feet north of Amboy Road, Block 6976, Lot 72, Princes Bay, Borough of Staten Island.

100-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Melvin Kling, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—74 Petersons Lane, west side, 630.37 feet north of Amboy Road, Block 6976, Lot 68, Princes Bay, Borough of Staten Island.

545-79-A

APPLICANT—Ross S. Spiro for Michael Fortunato, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—6 Johnson Street, west side, 62.27 feet north of Arthur Kill Road, Block 7207, Lot 255, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

546-79-A

APPLICANT—Ross S. Spiro for Michael Fortunato, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—26 Johnson Street, west side, 62.27 feet north of Arthur Kill Road, Block 7207, Lot 244, Borough of Staten Island.

619-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Fraydun Enterprises, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 25, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- rear yard and proper sized inner courts.

PREMISES AFFECTED—155/49 East 50th Street, north side, 160 feet west of Third Avenue, Block 1305, Lot 28, Borough of Manhattan.

628-79-A

APPLICANT—Roberts Consolidated Industries for The Gibson-Homans Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 29, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Weldwood Roofing Cement" in Fiber Container with N/A Cleveland, container not in compliance with the regulation of the Administrative Code.

629-79-A

APPLICANT—Roberts Consolidated Industries for The Gibson-Homans Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 29, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Weldwood Concrete Patch" in Fiber container with N/A Cleveland, container not in compliance with the regulation of the Administrative Code.

630-79-A

APPLICANT—Roberts Consolidated Industries for The Gibson-Homans Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 29, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- Packaging of combustible mixture known as "Weldwood Block Top Patch" in Fiber container with N/A Cleveland container not in compliance with the regulation of the Administrative Code.

631-79-A

APPLICANT—Roberts Consolidated Industries for ADCO Products, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 29, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- Packaging of combustible mixture known as "Weldwood Butyl Rubber Caulk" in Fiber container with N/A Kalamazoo, container

CALENDAR

not in compliance with the regulation of the Administrative Code.

813-79-A

APPLICANT—Neil W. Talling for Chrysler Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application July 19, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner, re- Packaging of inflammable mixture known as "Mopar Winter Anti-Freeze Summer Coolant Part #106784" Plastic Bottle with Plastic Screw Top cap container not in compliance with regulations of the Administrative Code of New York City.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 16, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday morning, October 16, 1979, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

927-64-BZ

APPLICANT—Frederick A. Ketcher for Marichal-Agosto Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires December 10, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 11-412 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a R7-2 district, the enlargement in area of an existing tropical products establishment previously before the Board to include the adjoining lot for accessory parking.

PREMISES AFFECTED—80-84 East 111th Street, south side, 114 feet west of Park Avenue, Block 1616, Lot 42, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #11M.

582-58-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Richard Corbisiero, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired June 25, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the premises to be occupied as a parking lot solely for the employees and patrons of the restaurant located on Block 876, Lot 12 (Riccardio's).

PREMISES AFFECTED—21-12 to 21-20 (21-16 official) 24th Avenue, south side, 87.95 feet west of 23rd Street and 24-09 21st Street and 21-07 24th Road, Block 874, Lot 70, Astoria, Borough of Queens. Community Board #1Q.

178-41-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—Alfred Laufer for Exxon Company, U.S.A., owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and extension of term of variance which expires November 9, 1980—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7f and 7i of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a retail use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline service station, lubricatorium, car washing and office.

PREMISES AFFECTED—8-16 Reid Avenue and 1097-1101 DeKa'b Avenue, northwest corner, Block 1599, Lot 40, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #3BK.

780-53-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Pauline Kurfist, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and extension of term of variance which expired July 14, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the parking and storage of new and used motor vehicles waiting to be serviced in adjoining building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—34-58 to 34-66 (34-60 official) 60th Street, west side, 100 feet north of 37th Avenue, Block 1198, Lot 54, Long Island City, Borough of Queens. Community Board #2Q.

67-59-BZ

APPLICANT—Frederick A. Ketcher for Mrs. Nancy Turner, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term and variance which expired December 15, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the maintenance of a parking lot for the parking and storage of more than (5) motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—134-140 Hillside Avenue, north side, 145 feet west of Sickles Street, Block 2173, Lot 41, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #12M.

197-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Stephen B. Jacobs for Estate of Robert Steinberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired July 11, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C8-4 district, the conversion of a warehouse into a multiple dwelling with a store on the cellar level.

PREMISES AFFECTED—131-133 Perry Street, north side, 117 feet west of Greenwich Street, Block 633, Lot 28, Borough of Manhattan.

ZONING CASES

78-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for John Carneglia d/b/a Fountain Auto Sales, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the enlargement in area of an existing automobile wrecking and dismantling establishment previously before the Board into the adjoining plot.

PREMISES AFFECTED—671 Fountain Avenue, northeast corner of Wortman Avenue, Block 4527, Lots 94 and 110, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #5BK.

169-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for 113 Jane Street, Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 21, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, on a plot with a five story and two story structure the conversion of the second through fifth floors from factory into apartments.

PREMISES AFFECTED—341-345 West 37th Street, west side, 225 feet east of Ninth Avenue, Block 761, Lot 10, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

CALENDAR

348-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Claude's Auto Repair, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 5, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 11-411, 11-413, and 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R5 district, at an existing automotive service station with accessory uses previously before the Board, the enlargement in area extension in term of the approval and the change in use into an automobile repair shop.

PREMISES AFFECTED—129-10 94th Avenue, south side, 52.51 feet east of 129th Street, Block 9445, Lot 23, Richmond Hill, Borough of Queens. Community Board #9Q.

581-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for William Bastardi and d/b/a B. and B. Auto Parts Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R5 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing automobile supply store and machine shop establishment, previously before the Board.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1255 East 180th Street, north side, 116.98 feet west of Van Nest Avenue, Block 4015, Lot 38, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #11BX.

684-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Parish House Partners, Contract Vendee. Lessee.

SUBJECT—Application June 8, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-8 (R9) and R8 district, the construction of additional stories on an existing school and the conversion into nine story multiple dwelling that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio, lot area per room penetration of the sky exposure plane and encroachment into the yards, courts and distance between window and lot lines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—248 East 31st Street, south side 77 feet west of 2nd Avenue, Block 911, Lot 42, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #6M.

685-79-A

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Parish House Partners, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application June 11, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed enlargement of Building of the Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—248 East 31st Street, south side, 77 feet west of 2nd Avenue, Block 911, Lot 42, Borough of Manhattan.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 16, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday afternoon, October 16, 1979, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N.Y. 10013, on the following matters:

154-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Two Grand Avenue Associate, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979, appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use

of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—46 Rye Avenue, southeast corner of Van Brunt Street, Block 5628, Lot 29, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

155-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Two Grand Avenue Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—9 Van Brunt Street, east side, 40 feet south of Rye Avenue, Block 5628, Lot 30, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

208-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 28, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—70 Summit Road, south side, 40.70 feet east of Bryan Street, Block 7942, Lot 3, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

209-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—15 Bryan Street, east side, 88.18 feet south of Summit Road, Block 7942, Lot 39, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

210-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—19 Bryan Street, east side, 144.18 feet south of Summit Road, Block 7942, Lot 37, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

211-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—25 Bryan Street, east side, 112 feet north of Pittsville, Block 7942, Lot 35, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

212-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed

CALENDAR

use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—31 Bryan Street, east side, 56 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7942, Lot 32, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

213-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—35 Bryan Street, northeast corner of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7942, Lot 27, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

214-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—32 Connecticut Street, west side, 106.18 feet south of Summit Road, Block 7942, Lot 13, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

215-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—38 Connecticut Street, west side, 166.18 feet south of Summit Road, Block 7942, Lot 15, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

216-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—44 Connecticut Street, west side, 120 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7942, Lot 17, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

217-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—50 Connecticut Street, west side, 60 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7942, Lot 21, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

218-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—56 Connecticut Street, northwest corner of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7942, Lot 23, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

557-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Rene Spinetta, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—459 Sharrotts Road, north side, 319.97 feet west of Carlin Street, Block 7310, Lot 66, Princess Bay, Borough of Staten Island.

601-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Mel Kling, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—77 Lincoln Avenue, northeast corner of Lisbon Place, Block 3581, Lot 1, Grant City, Borough of Staten Island.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY MORNING, JULY 24, 1979, 10 A.M.

Present: Chairman Fossella, Vice-Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf.

The minutes of the regular meetings of the Board held on Tuesday morning and afternoon, July 10, 1979, were approved in the Bulletin of July 19, 1979, Volume 64, Number 28.

62-78-A

APPLICANT—Solomon Haselnuss for Cast Ten Ventures, Incorporated, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired June 6, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re-proposed cellar apartment; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—361-365 Clinton Street, southeast corner of DeGraw Street, Block 333, Lot 9, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Solomon Haselnuss.

ACTION OF BOARD—application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on June 6, 1978, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on June 6, 1978, only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(Alt. 464-77)

249-29-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—Walter T. Gorman for Rose L. Firestone, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and extension of term of variance which expired March 19, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7c, 7e and 21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a local retail use district, the change in occupancy from parking and storage of motor vehicles to the erection and maintenance of a structure to be used as an automatic automobile laundry with an additional curb cut in conjunction with existing gasoline service and permitting the installation of four additional gasoline storage tanks.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2228-2232 Gerritsen Avenue, southwest corner of Avenue U, Block 7370, Lot 10, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #18BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Patrick Murphy.

ACTION OF BOARD—Rules of Procedure waived, application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #18BK. was notified by the applicant on May 14, 1979 and no response has been received; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on February 20, 1950 on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby waive the Rules of Procedure and *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on February 28, 1950, as amended through September 22, 1970, only as to the term of the variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"granted for a term of ten years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . . ; on condition that all signs shall not exceed a total surface area of 150 square feet; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects, and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 1554-49)

29-53-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Cyrus Rubin and Bencole Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires July 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7f, 7h, 7i, 7A of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence and retail use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline service station, lubricatorium, car wash (non-automatic), motor vehicle repairs, storage and sale of accessories and office, and permitting the parking and storage of motor vehicles with a business entrance nearer to residence use district than is permitted.

PREMISES AFFECTED—24-60 to 24-72 East Tremont Avenue, 17-54 to 17-90 Seddon Street, southeast corner and 17-34 to 17-63 St. Peters Avenue, Block 3999, Lot 32, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #9BX.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: James E. Vassalotti.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #9BX. was notified by the applicant on May 17, 1979, and no response has been received; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on November 4, 1953, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on November 4, 1953 as amended through January 9, 1979 only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"granted for a term of ten years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . . ; on condition that the parking lot will only be used for parking and storage of pleasure motor vehicles and at no time will there be any trucks parked on this lot; where required, full-width sidewalks shall be installed along Sedden Street and St. Peters Avenue frontage; and shall also repair the East Tremont Avenue sidewalk as required; remove

MINUTES

all barbed wire from fences; repair and/or replace all existing fencing and bumpers; and that the parking area shall be patched and paved to prevent ponding; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (N.B. 1441-52)

622-55-BZ—Vol. III

APPLICANT—Charles M. Spindler Associates for Leemilts Petroleum Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and extension of time to complete which expired January 10, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7c, 7e and 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a restricted retail and residence use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline and oil selling station, lubritorium, car wash (non-automatic), office and salesroom, minor repairs and parking and storage of more than five motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1413-1429 Edward L. Grant Highway and 1380-1390 Plimpton Avenue, southwest corner, Block 2521, Lot 15, Borough of The Bronx.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: B. J. Amato.

ACTION OF BOARD—Rules of procedure waived, application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board as a Vol. III on June 18, 1957, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby waive the Rules of Procedure and *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on June 18, 1957, as amended through January 10, 1978, only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(B.N. 392-77)

666-59-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Titan Enterprises, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to dismiss for lack of prosecution by the applicant the application to waive the rules of procedure and extension of term of variance which expired April 29, 1977—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the maintenance of an automobile wrecking yard, salvage, sale of used parts and sale of used cars.

PREMISES AFFECTED—147-23 Springfield Lane, northeast corner of 149th Avenue, Block 13435, Lots 16, 18, 20, 54, 56 and 58, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application dismissed for lack of prosecution by the applicant.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

201-69-BZ

APPLICANT—Abraham Grossman for Milbeck Apartments, Incorporated.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires July 1, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 60(3) of the Multiple Dwelling Law to permit in an R8 district, the addition to the use of an accessory parking lot for a multiple dwelling to include transient parking for the unused and surplus spaces.

PREMISES AFFECTED—309-313 West 30th Street, north side, 150 feet west of Eighth Avenue, Block 754, Lot 31, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Abraham Grossman.

For Opposition: Barbara Moore and Richard L. Strahan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 1, 1969 on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 1, 1969, only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"granted for a term of ten years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . . ; on condition that lights shall be installed and protected with an iron guard, and that the lights are to illuminate the parking lot uniformly at 1/10 of a watt thruout the night, and that a chain shall be installed across the front of the parking lot, and to be locked when the lot is not in use; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 303-69)

152-74-BZ

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for John and Steven, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for extension of term of variance which expired June 11, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 73-241 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C2-2 district, in an existing three story and cellar commercial building, the change in occupancy of the second and third floors from catering establishment to an eating and drinking establishment without restrictions.

PREMISES AFFECTED—31-11 to 31-17 Broadway, northwest corner of 32nd Street, Block 613, Lot 1, Astoria, Borough of Queens. Community Board #1Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sheldon Lobel.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #1Q. recommended approval, received on June 25, 1979; and

MINUTES

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on June 11, 1974, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on June 11, 1974, only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"granted for a term of five years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . . ; on condition that the graffiti shall be removed from the facade of the building facing 32nd Street and to be maintained in a clean condition at all times; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 1447-73)

516-75-BZ

APPLICANT—Tudda, Scherer and Zoborowski for 341 East 60th Street Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C8-4 district, the erection of a five story building to be occupied as a tennis club with roof tennis facilities that encroaches on the required rear yard and penetrates the sky exposure plane.

PREMISES AFFECTED—333 East 60th Street, north side, 48.4 feet east of Queensboro Bridge Ramp, Block 1435, Lot 16, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: John J. Tudda, Abe Hirschfeld, Scott Mollen.

For Opposition: Morton H. Delson and Councilperson Jane Trichter.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and resolution amended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the items under consideration on this amendment application are technical in nature and the proposed uses are conforming uses permitted as of right, and

WHEREAS, the original application was a bulk variance request pertaining to sky exposure plane, rear yard requirements and tennis facilities on the roof; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on May 4, 1976, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an amendment of the resolution.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on May 4, 1976, as amended through February 20, 1979 by adding thereto:

"that the Board permits the changes of interior layout and acknowledges the changes of use to different conforming uses in the sub-cellar, cellar, first and second floors including the addition of the lounge and handball-racquet ball court on the third floor; and squash courts, handball-racquet ball courts on the fourth and fifth floors substantially as shown on the revised drawings of proposed conditions marked 'Received May 31, 1979'—ten sheets; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects." (N.B. 32-75)

576-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Thomas R. Kalsky for 693 Townhouse, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, extension of time to complete which expired March 7, 1979 and for amendment—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C8-4 district, the erection of two additional stories on a one story commercial structure and the conversion into residential use.

PREMISES AFFECTED—689, 691 and 693 Washington Street, east side, 51 feet north of Charles Street, Block 632, Lot 3, formerly Lots 3, 4 and 5, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joel A. Cohen and Peter A. Williams.

ACTION OF BOARD—Rules of procedure waived, application reopened and time extended to complete the work, and resolution amended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on March 7, 1978, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an amendment of the resolution; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby waive the Rules of Procedure and *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on March 7, 1978, by adding thereto: "that the interior layout may be rearranged and to add a cellar and sub-cellar to each dwelling to be used as a recreation room only, substantially as shown on revised drawings of proposed conditions marked 'Received June 1, 1979'—six sheets; and that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution; that other than as herein amended, the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects." (N.B. 7, 8, 9-79)

612-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Diffendale and Kubec for Mrs. Rose Pitcherelli, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired May 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-11 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C8-1 district, in an existing three story mixed building, the conversion of the second and third floors from two apartments into four apartments that increase the degree of non-conformity.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2131 Hylan Boulevard, northwest corner of Bedford Avenue, Block 3589, Lot 63, Grant City, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Peter W. Diffendale.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on

MINUTES

May 23, 1978, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on May 23, 1978, only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(Alt. 106-75)

923-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Larry Meltzer for Matthew J. Percia, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired May 31, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R5 district, the erection of a one story building for use as a manufacturing establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1905 McDonald Avenue, east side, 104.5½ feet south of Quintin Road, Block 6658, Lot 86, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ronald Ogur.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on May 31, 1978, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested on extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on May 31, 1978, only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 89-77)

164-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Salvatore Porcaro, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired June 20, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 73-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing retail store.

PREMISES AFFECTED—147-26 Brookville Boulevard and 235-31 147th Road, northwest corner, Block 13728, Lots 23 and 25, Rosedale, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Salvatore Porcaro.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on June 20, 1978, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested on extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on June 20, 1978, only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(Alt. 52-78)

279-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella for Hurley and Farinella for Davidim, A. G. Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired July 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C5-3 district, the erection of three additional stories upon an existing seven story commercial structure that increases the degree of non-compliance within the rear yard and penetration of the sky exposure plane.

PREMISES AFFECTED—144 East 44th Street, south side, 155 feet east of Lexington Avenue, Block 1298, Lot 45, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Anthony Romano.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 18, 1978, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(Alt. 204-78)

562-63-A

APPLICANT—Harry J. Zweibel for Pen Plaza South Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of May 22, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- elevator; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—363 Seventh Avenue, northeast corner of West 30th Street, Block 806, Lot 1, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harry J. Zweibel, Richard Gregory, Walter Walker, Albert Aviles, S. Grant, Jonathan Lockley, S/Sgt Willie L. McAlpine.

For Opposition: None.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis and D. C. Edward Fitzgerald, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision, hearing closed.

871-67-A

APPLICANT—Harry J. Zweibel for West 25130 Realty Associates, owner.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of May 22, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- elevator to be kept in readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—251 West 30th Street, north side, 200 feet east of 8th Avenue, Block 780, Lot 10, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harry J. Zweibel, Richard Gregory, Walter Walker, Albert Aviles, S. Grant, Jonathan Lockley, S/Sgt Willie L. McAlpine.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis and D. C. Edward Fitzgerald, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision, hearing closed.

183-72-A

APPLICANT—Harry J. Zweibel for Grokash Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of July 18, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- Elevator in Readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—236-238 West 30th Street, south side, 300 feet east of 8th Avenue, Block 779, Lot 63, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harry J. Zweibel, Richard Gregory, Walter Walker, Albert Aviles, S. Grant, Jonathan Lockley, S/Sgt. Willie L. McAlpine.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis and D. C. Edward Fitzgerald, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision, hearing closed.

385-76-BZ

APPLICANT—Wechsler-Grasso-Menziuso for 80 Warren Street Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, extension of time to complete which expired October 11, 1978, and for amendment—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an M1-5 district, the conversion of a six story and cellar building from warehouse, manufacturing and offices into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—76-84 Warren Street, north side, 100.9 feet west of West Broadway, Block 137, Lot 5, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #1M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Al Menziuso and Peter Williams.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for continued hearing.

371-79-BZ

APPLICANT—John W. Stacom for Feinrose Association, owner. Super A-musement Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-35 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a M2-1 district within an existing shopping center the change in use of one store into an amusement arcade.

PREMISES AFFECTED—133-42 Whitestone Expressway, southeast corner of Downing Street, Block 4367, Lot 1, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ferdinand C. Menna, John W. Stacom, Anthony Gaudio, Louis and Fred Bromberg and Louis L. Rosenberg.

For Opposition: Julia Harrison, Maria Sullivan and many others.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 18, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing; additional information.

507-79-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino, for 164-02 Cross Bay Boulevard Corporation, owner. J.T.R. Entertainment Corporation, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 25, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-24 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-2 district, in an existing one story building occupied as a restaurant, the addition to the uses to include cabaret.

PREMISES AFFECTED—164-02 Cross Bay Boulevard, southwest corner of 164th Avenue, Block 1252, Lots 5 and 9, Howard Beach, Borough of Queens. Community Board #10Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over Decision deferred and to September 18, 1979, at 10 A.M., hearing closed.

740-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Richmond Park Realty Corporation, owner, New York State Office of Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application June 26, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent under Sections 72-21 and 72-22 of the Zoning Resolution to permit in an R5 and C4-2 district the front yard enlargement of an office building, previously granted by the Board, for proposed use by the Staten Island Developmental Center.

PREMISES AFFECTED—100 Park Avenue and 115 Bennett Street, northwest corner, Block 1005, Lot 25, Port Richmond, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #1R.S.I.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—No recommendation received.

THE VOTE TO WITHDRAW—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

903-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Skylaw Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application October 16, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-2 district, the conversion of a portion of an existing one story building from retail stores and meeting room into a roller skating rink and meeting room.

PREMISES AFFECTED—82-12 151st Avenue, southwest corner of 84th Street, Block 11429, Lot 1 (Formerly 1 and 33), Howard Beach, Borough of Queens. Community Board #10Q.

MINUTES

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: Walter Ward and Anne Grossberg.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Opposed to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application denied.

THE VOTE TO GRANT—

Affirmative: 0

Negative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioned Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta, Commissioner Wolf 6

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on May 8, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to May 29, 1979; then to June 12, 1979; then to July 3, 1979; then to July 17, 1979; then to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated September 25, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #9/1977, reads:

"1. The proposed enclosed skating rink, Use Group 12, within a C1-2 zone, is contrary to Section 32-21 Z.R." and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta R.A. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A. and whereas the Board has determined that the owner can realize a reasonable return from a conforming use,

WHEREAS, the Board finds that on the basis of the record in this case it is unable to make finding (b) under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated September 25, 1979 acting on Alt. Applic. #9/1977 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is affirmed that the application be and it hereby is *denied*.

1083-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Arnold H. Fassler for DWOJRA Kemp, owner.

SUBJECT—Application November 20, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution to permit in an R1-2 district, the erection of a four story hotel that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, has less than the required open space ratio, penetrates the sky exposure plane and encroaches on the required front and side yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—44-41 231st Street, northeast corner of 46th Avenue, Block 8164, Lot 1, Bayside, Borough of Queens. Community Board #11Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Arnold Fassler.

For Opposition: Virginia Dent, John O. Riedl.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Opposed to the application.

For Administration: Kathryn Gill, Parks Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application denied.

THE VOTE TO GRANT—

Affirmative: 0

Negative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta, Commissioner Wolf 6

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on May 8, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to May 29, 1979; then to June 19, 1979; then to July 10, 1979; then to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated November 6, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #373/1978, reads:

"1. Proposed Class B Hotel (Use Group 5) in R1-2 District is not permitted as per Sect. 22-11 Zoning Resolution.

2. Proposed floor area ratio and open space ratio for a building located in a R1-2 District is contrary to Sect. 23-141 Zoning Resolution.

3. Minimum 20-foot front yard for interior portion of the lot is not provided, contrary to Sect. 23-45 Zoning Resolution.

4. Front wall of building facing 231st Street projects into the required front setback and penetrates the sky exposure plane for a building in a R1-2 District, contrary to Sect. 23-631 Z.R.

5. There are no requirements for side and rear setbacks for a non-conforming use (Hotel) in an R1-2 District, Sect. 23-66 Zoning Resolution.

6. There are no parking requirements for a Class B Hotel in a R1-2 District, Sect. 25-30 Zoning Resolution."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A.; and

WHEREAS, the site does not have frontage on or near Northern Boulevard; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that on the basis of the record in this case it is unable to make finding(s) c and e, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution.

WHEREAS, the proposed hotel is not compatible with the wildlife refuge preserve and the recreational facilities of Alley Pond Park; and

WHEREAS, the proposed multi-story building is not in harmony with the surrounding structures; and

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated November 6, 1978, acting on N. B. Applic. #373/1978, Objection Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 be and it hereby is *affirmed* and that the application be and it hereby is *denied*.

967-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for Romford Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application December 15, 1977—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-9 district, the amendment to a new building application that creates non-compliance in lot area per room.

PREMISES AFFECTED—301/303 East 83rd Street and 1602/1614 Second Avenue, northeast corner, Block 1546, Lots 1 and 50, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sheldon Lobel.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Favorable to the application.

For Administration: Jane Treicher and John Karp, C.P.C.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

MINUTES

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on April 25, 1978, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to May 23, 1978; then to June 27, 1978; then to July 5, 1978; then to July 11, 1978, then to July 18, 1978, then to September 12, 1978, then to October 17, 1978; then to November 21, 1978; then to December 19, 1978; then to January 23, 1979; then to February 13, 1979; then to March 13, 1979; then to April 3, 1979; then to May 8, 1979; then to May 22, 1979; then to June 6, 1979; then to June 19, 1979; then to July 3, 1979; then to July 17, 1979; then to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated October 5, 1978, acting N.B. Applic. #41/1973, reads:

"23. Proposed plans indicate an excess in total number of permitted zoning rooms which is contrary to Section 23-223 and 23-23 Z.R.

24. Proposed combined zoning floor area of two buildings on one zoning lot exceeds the maximum permitted and is therefore contrary to Section 23-15 Z.R." and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A.; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #8M has recommended approval of this application as well as the City Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-9 district, the amendment to a new building application that creates noncompliance in lot area per room and floor area ratio *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received December 15, 1977", 5 sheets, "April 4, 1978", 3 sheets, and "September 7, 1978", 2 sheets; and *on further condition* that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

223-78-A

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for Romford Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 4, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- review and interpretation of zoning matter.

PREMISES AFFECTED—301/303 East 83rd Street and 1614 Second Avenue, northeast corner of East 83rd Street and Second Avenue, Block 1546, Lots 1, and 50, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sheldon Lobel.

For Administration: Jane Treicher, John Kaufman, C.P.C.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal withdrawn at the request of the applicant.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO WITHDRAW—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

492-78-A

APPLICANT—Irving E. Minkin, P.E., Acting Commissioner of Department of Buildings.

OWNER OF PREMISES—H. J. Kalikow and Company, Incorporated.

SUBJECT—Application June 28, 1978—for Revocation of Certificate of Occupancy #78511.

PREMISES AFFECTED—301/303 83rd Street and 1602/1610 Second Avenue, northeast corner, Block 1546, Lots 1-5, 62, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Owner: Sheldon Lobel.

For Administration: Jane Treicher, John Kaufman, C.P.C.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application *withdrawn* without prejudice pending confirmation from the two agencies involved in this application.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO WITHDRAW—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

65-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Donald Rowe for Salvatore Nicolosi, Joseph Livio and Louis Capo, Contract-Vendees.

SUBJECT—Application February 9, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R3-2 district in an existing one story and basement building previously before the Board, the change in use from Marchine Shop into restaurant and cabaret establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—8 Crabtree Avenue, southwest corner of Bloomingdale Road, Block 7092, Lot 61, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #3.S.I.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Donald Rowe.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

MINUTES

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 24, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 12, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #3/1978, reads:

"1. Proposed change of an existing non-conforming use (Use Group 17) in an R3-2 district, to use group 12, when such non-conforming use has been discontinued for a continuous period exceeding two years is contrary to Section 52-61 Z.R. and Section 22-10 Z.R.

2. There are no applicable bulk and parking requirements for the proposed commercial use (Use Group 12) located in a residential (R3-2) zone."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John P. Cincotta; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, in an existing one story and basement building previously before the Board, the change in use from a machine shop into a restaurant and cabaret establishment *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked received "March 15, 1979", 13 sheets and "July 12, 1979", 2 sheets and *on further condition* that this variance be limited to a term of 10 years; that the site be landscaped and properly maintained; that accessory parking be provided on site, that all activity be within the building; that the building facade be restored and maintained; that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

33-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Wise Excavating Company, Incorporated, owner.

APPLICANT—Application January 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 11-412 and 11-413 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R-6 district, the change in use of an existing one story and mezzanine structure previously before the Board from a garage into a contractors equipment storage and garage facility with accessory offices.

PREMISES AFFECTED—95/105 Vanderbilt Avenue, east side, 290' 7 $\frac{5}{8}$ ", south of Park Avenue, Block 1887, Lot 24, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #2BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on June 19, 1979, after due notice by publication in the

Bulletin; laid over to July 3, 1979; then to July 10, 1979; then to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated October 30, 1978, acting on Alt. Applic. #1161/1978, reads:

"1. Change of use from a trucks garage, Use Group 16, in an R6 district previously granted by the Board under calendar number 250-46-BZ Vol. II to an enclosed contractor's yard, Use Group 17, deviates from the original variance and must therefore be referred back to the Board for consideration."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #2BK has recommended approval of this application on condition; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that this is an appropriate case in which to permit under Section 11-412 of the Zoning Resolution, the structural alteration of the present building; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that this is an appropriate case in which to permit under Section 11-413 of the Zoning Resolution, the change in use of the present building; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *permit* under Sections 11-412, 11-413 of the Zoning Resolution, in an R6 district, the change in use of an existing one-story and mezzanine structure, previously before the Board, from a garage into a contractor's equipment storage and garage facility with accessory offices *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings filed with this application, marked "Received November 20, 1979", 7 sheets, "June 29, 1979", 1 sheet, and "July 20, 1979", 1 sheet that the hours of operation be limited to from 7 A.M. to 6 P.M. Monday through Friday; that weekend use be limited to unusual projects; that proper safeguards be installed to prevent damage to adjoining properties; that a four foot landscaped buffer be installed on the east and south lot lines; that lighting be provided for security purposes and directed away from adjoining properties; that the premises be maintained in good repair at all times; that all vehicles shall be started and warmed up within the building; that all maintenance or repair work be performed within the building; that all contractor's equipment shall be stored within the building; that this variance shall be limited to a term of four years; that no Building Department permit shall be issued until 31 days after the date of certification of this resolution; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

127-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Fourth Federal Savings and Loan Association, owner, Avivaben LTD, Under Contract.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C6-1 district, residential the erection of a six story and mezzanine structure that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio and height of the front wall, has less than the required open space ratio and lot area per room and encroaches on the required rear yard and minimum distance between window and lot lines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—63-69 Cooper Square, east side, 42 feet north of East 7th Street, Block 463, Lot 3, 4, 5 and 6, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #3M.

MINUTES

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.
For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on 10, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 12, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #31/1978, reads:

"5. Proposed building is contrary to Section 23-142 ZR since it exceeds permitted FAR and does not meet minimum OSR.

6. Proposed building is contrary to Section 23-223 ZR since it exceeds permitted number of zoning rooms.

7. Proposed building is contrary to Section 23-47 ZR since it does not have a 30' rear yard.

8. Proposed building is contrary to Section 23-861 ZR since it does not provide a 30' distance between req. windows and rear lot line.

9. Proposed building is contrary to Section 33-432 ZR since height of front wall exceeds 6 stories (mezzanine levels exceed 33⅓%)."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A.; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #3M has no objection to this application; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Sections 72-21 and 72-11 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Sections 72-21 and 72-11 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C6-1 district, the erection of a six-story and mezzanine residential structure that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio and height of the front wall, has less than the required open space ratio and lot area per room and encroaches on the required rear yard and minimum distance between windows on lot lines *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 16 sheets; and *on further condition* that a smoke detector with a self-contained alarm be installed in each apartment; that a rate-of-rise device be installed in the public halls and spaces, and that a sprinkler system be installed on the commercial floors including the cellar, connected to an alarm that can be heard throughout the building; that a fire alarm station be installed on each floor; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

128-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Fourth Federal Savings and Loan Association of New York, owner, Aviva-ben LTD. Under Contract.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—63/69 Cooper Square, east side, 42 feet north of East 7th Street, Block 463, Lot 3, 4, 5 and 6, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.
For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 12, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #31/78 reads:

"1. Total floor area at Mezzanine levels (Public hall & Residential space) exceeds 33⅓% of floors below and must be counted as separate step. Therefore bldg. is contrary to Sec. C-26-406.1 A.C.

14. Egress balconies to comply with Sec. C26-604.2 A.C.

18. Aggregate area of fixed projections which extend beyond street line amount permitted under Sec. C26-408.1."

and

WHEREAS, the premises had a site evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A. which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 12, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #31/1978, Objection Nos. 11 and 18 only, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that all work conform to the resolution adopted this date under Calendar Number 127-79-BZ; and that all laws, rules and regulation be complied with.

171-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Milton Butnick, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 22, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-2 district, the erection of a seven story motor hotel that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, penetrates the sky exposure plane, encroaches on the required rear yard and without the required accessory loading berth.

PREMISES AFFECTED—78-11 51st Avenue, north side, 42.24 feet east of Hillyer Street, Block 2453, Lot 3, Borough of Queens. Community Board #2Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Anthony Salvati and Harriet Jacobs.
For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on May 15, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to June 12, 1979; then to July 3, 1979; then to July 17, 1979; then to July 24, 1979; and

MINUTES

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 9, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #10/1979, reads:

"1. Floor area for proposed building exceeds the maximum permitted F.A.R. of 1.00 in a C2-2/R-4 District; contrary to Section 33-121 Zoning Resolution.

2. Required rear yard for proposed building lot provided, contrary to Section 33-26 Zoning Resolution.

3. Front wall facing Hillyer Street and 51st Ave. penetrate the sky-exposure plane—contrary to Section 33-431 Zoning Resolution.

4. Required off-street loading berth not provided, contrary to Sect. 36-62 Zoning Resolution."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A. and whereas, Community Board #4Q. has recommended approval of this application.

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution limited to the objection cited and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-2 district, the erection of a seven story motor hotel that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, penetrates the sky exposure plane, encroaches on the required accessory rear and without the required accessory loading berth *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked received "February 22, 1979", 11 sheets and *on further condition* that a deed restriction be filed to indicate that this new structure shall be maintained in the same ownership and control as the existing hotel; that there shall be no additional signs provided; that refuse bins be installed within the new facility; that parking be restricted to patron parking only; that trees be planted along the 51st Avenue and Hillyer Street frontage; that all laws, rules and regulations be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

172-79-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Anthony Luciano, owner, Utopia Realty Company Incorporated, d/b/a Century, 21-Utopia Realty, Lessee.

SUBJECT—Application February 22, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R2 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing real estate office with accessory parking in the open space.

PREMISES AFFECTED—167-04 Northern Boulevard, southeast corner 167th Street, Block 5398, Lot 11, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph Morsellino.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on June 26, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 10, 1979; then to July 17, 1979; then to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 1, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #1258/1978, reads:

"2. Proposed conversion of an existing store to offices U.G. 6, and extension and enlargement of the non conforming use, for office U.G. 6, located in an R2 zoning district, is contrary to Section 22-00, 52-22, 54-31 Zoning Resolution.

3. Proposed enlargement within the fifteen foot front yard, required in an R2 zoning district, is contrary to Section 23-45 Zoning Resolution."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board; consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E.; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #7Q has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R2 district, the erection of a one-story enlargement to an existing real estate office with accessory parking in the open area *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received May 25, 1979", 1 sheet, and "July 10, 1979", 8 sheets; and *on further condition* that a landscaped buffer zone be provided on the southerly portion of the premises; that this variance shall lapse with any change in ownership or control; that the proposed illuminated Century 21 sign be turned off at 10 P.M.; that fence posts be installed and maintained at the vehicular entrance in front of the premises with an entrance chain to be locked after business hours; that accessory parking be limited to four (4) spaces only; that this variance shall be limited to a term of ten (10) years; that no Building Department permit shall be issued until 31 days after the date of certification of this resolution; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

204-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Robert D. Ascione, Architect for Paul and Irene Poutinen, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 28, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a M1-6 district, in an existing six story building, the conversion of all floors above the first floor store into residential loft dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—48 West 22nd Street, south side, 210.5 feet east of Avenue of the Americas, Block 823, Lot 69, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Robert D. Ascione.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

MINUTES

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 3, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 17, 1979; then to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 28, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #1149/1978, reads:

"C2. Proposed change of use from manufacturing U.G. 17 to general residential living-loft dwelling U.G. 2 is not permitted as of right in M1-6 Z.R. as per Sec. 44-11 Z.R.

Note: No zoning guidance for such use for bulk regulations and special uses regulation."

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A. and

WHEREAS, Community Board #5M has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-6 district, in an existing six story building the conversion of all floors above the first floor store into residential loft dwellings *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked received "March 1, 1979", 6 sheets and "June 5, 1979", 2 sheets and *on further condition* that a smoke detector with a self contained alarm be installed in each apartment, that a fire station be provided on each floor connected to an alarm that can be heard throughout the building; that the existing sprinkler system be properly maintained; that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

205-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Chaim Gross, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 1, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in an R5 district, the erection of a three story and cellar two family dwelling that encroaches on the required front yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1660 49th Street, south side, 270 feet west of 17th Avenue, Block 5454, Lot 34, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Louis Lieberman.
For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 17, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 28, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #24/1979, reads:

"1. Proposed front yard less than 18' 0" for a 2 family house in an R5 zone is contrary to Section 23-45 ZR."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E.; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #12BK has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R5 district, the erection of a 3-story and cellar 2-family dwelling that encroaches on the required front yard *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings filed with this application, marked "Received March 1, 1979", 3 sheets; and *on further condition* that the arrangement and design layout within this building be to the satisfaction of the Department of Buildings; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

282-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for 1745 Forest Avenue, Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 under Section of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the construction and maintenance of an off-site accessory parking facility for an existing restaurant.

PREMISES AFFECTED—840 Richmond Avenue, northwest corner of Willow Road West, Block 1147, Lot 1, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas Salvadeo, John J. Turvey.
For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 10, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 2, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #6/1979, reads:

"1. The proposed parking lot for 43 cars accessory to an existing eating and drinking establishment (Use

MINUTES

Group 6) which is not a use permitted as of right when located in a R-4 Zoning District is contrary to Section 22-10 of the Zoning Resolution."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board; consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P. E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #1.S.I. has recommended approval of this application on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the construction and maintenance of an off-site accessory parking facility for an existing restaurant *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received March 15, 1979", 2 sheets and "July 17, 1979", one sheet; and *on further condition* that a uniformed attendant supervise this parking facility between 10:00 P.M. and 4:00 A.M. Friday and Saturday; that 6 foot high evergreen shrubs be planted on all lot lines except for the ingress and egress openings; that the ingress and egress be restricted to the Richmond Avenue frontage only; that full width sidewalks be constructed on both Richmond Avenue and Willow Road West; that lighting be directed away from adjoining properties; that this parking facility is restricted to accessory parking for the restaurant at 1745 Forest Avenue only; that this variance shall be limited to a term of 10 years; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

289-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Village Carting Company, Incorporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story building for use as a commercial vehicle and storage establishment with an accessory public bus shelter.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Midland Avenue, northwest corner of Freeborn Street, Block 3799, Lot 1, Midland Beach, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #2S.I.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Opposed to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Not Voting: Chairman Fossella 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 17, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #436/1979, reads:

"6. Proposed erection of a building for use as an auto, truck & container storage (Use Group 16c) with an accessory public bus shelter within a R3-2 district is contrary to Sec. 11-111, 22-00 and 22-10 Z.R.

7. There are no parking, loading berth or bulk provisions for such occupancy within an R3-2 district."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board; consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one-story building for use as a commercial vehicle storage establishment with an accessory public bus shelter *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received March 16, 1979", 2 sheets, and "July 19, 1979", one sheet; and *on further condition* that this commercial vehicle storage facility shall be maintained in the same ownership and control, and accessory to the property in Block 3801, Lot 9; that all commercial equipment and maintenance shall be within the enclosed building; that trees and shrubbery be planted on Freeborn Street as indicated on the enclosed plans; that a gate be provided at the entrance to the accessory parking area that will be locked after business hours; that this variance shall be limited to a term of 15 years; that no Building Department permit shall be issued until 31 days after certification of this resolution; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

290-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Village Carting Company, Incorporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing garage that increases the degree of non-conformity.

PREMISES AFFECTED—551 Midland Avenue, northeast corner of Freeborn Street, Block 3801, Lot 9, Midland Beach, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #2S.I.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Opposed to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Not Voting: Chairman Fossella 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 17, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 24, 1979; and

MINUTES

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 15, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #13/1979, reads:

"1. The proposed structural alteration of the existing building occupied by the non-conforming use is contrary to Section 52-22 Z.R.

2. The proposed enlargement of the non-conforming use located in R3-2 district, is contrary to Section 52-41 and 11-112 Z.R.

3. There are no parking loading berths or bulk provisions for commercial occupancy in a residence district." and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta; and

WHEREAS, there is a current Certificate of Occupancy for a garage on this site; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one-story enlargement to an existing garage that increases the degree of nonconformity *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received March 16, 1979", 3 sheets, and "July 19, 1979", 1 sheet; and *on further condition* that this facility shall be maintained in the same ownership and control and as the prior use for the commercial vehicle storage garage on Block 3799, Lot 1; that all commercial equipment and maintenance shall be within the building; that trees and shrubbery be planted on Freeborn Street and Hempstead Avenue; that no Building Department permit shall be issued until 31 days after certification of this resolution; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

306-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Sam Domb, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 21, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a C5-2 district, the conversion of an existing five story building from commercial into residential occupancy that increases the degree of non-compliance within the rear yard and creates non-compliance on the distance between windows and lot lines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—294 Fifth Avenue, west side, 49 feet south of West 31st Street, Block 832, Lot 45, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Alan Kisseloff.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on

July 17, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 1, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #331/1978, reads:

"1. Proposed living rooms which do not have a 30' distance between required windows and rear lot line are contrary to Section 23-861 ZR.

2. Proposed change of use from Commercial to Residential building increases the rear yard degree of non-compliance which is contrary to Section 54-31 ZR." and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A.; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #5M. has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C5-2 district, the conversion of an existing five story building from commercial into residential occupancy that increases the degree of non-compliance within the rear yard and creates non-compliance on the distance between windows and lot lines *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received March 21, 1979", 4 sheets, "May 15, 1979", 2 sheets and "July 19, 1979", 2 sheets, and *on further condition* that a smoke detector with a self-contained alarm be installed in each apartment; that a sprinkler system shall be installed and maintained throughout the building; that a fire alarm station be installed on each floor, with an interior alarm, that the first floor shall be occupied with a conforming commercial use; that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

307-79-A

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Sam Domb, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 21, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—294 Fifth Avenue, west side, 49 feet south of West 31st Street, Block 832, Lot 45, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Alan Kisseloff.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 1, 1979, on Alt. Applic. #331/78, reads:

"3. The 15' rear yard for proposed M.D. is contrary to Sec. 277(7) M.D.L." and

WHEREAS, the premises were inspected by a committee of the Board which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough

MINUTES

Superintendent, dated March 1, 1979 acting on Alt. Applic. #331/1978, Objection No. 3, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that all work conform to the resolution adopted this date under calendar 306-79-BZ and that all other laws, rules, and regulations be complied with.

339-79-BZ

APPLICANT—John M. Burns, III for Madison Park Loft Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 29, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-6 district, the conversion of an existing twelve story building from lofts into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—22-24 West 26th Street, south side, 259 feet west of Broadway, Block 827, Lot 60, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harry Zweibel.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 17, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 27, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #1054/1978, reads:

"1. Use Group 2 residential apartments within an M1-6 district is not permitted by Sec. 42-10 Z.R."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A.; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #5M. has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-6 district, the conversion of an existing twelve story building from lofts into a multiple dwelling *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received March 29, 1979", 12 sheets; and *on further condition* that a smoke detector with a self-contained alarm be installed in each apartment, that a rate of rise device be installed in the public halls and spaces, that a sprinkler system be installed on the commercial floors including the cellar connected to an alarm that can be heard throughout the building, that a fire alarm station be installed on each floor, that the first three floors shall be occupied with conforming commercial development; that 30% of the roof area be allocated for tenant recreational space; that all laws, rules and regulations

applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

370-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Leonard Ziegelbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C6-6 district the erection of an 18 story commercial building that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, encroaches on the required rear yard and penetrates the sky exposure plane.

PREMISES AFFECTED—127/29 East 55th Street, north side, 90 feet west of Lexington Avenue, Block 1310, Lot 12, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Anthony M. Salvati.

For Opposition: Amelia Watkins.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on June 26, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 17, 1979; then to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #10/1979, reads:

"1. The proposed floor area ratio of 18.36 exceeds the permitted floor area ratio of 15 for the commercial building located inside C6-6 district and hence contrary to Section 33-122 of Z.R.

2. The proposed building located inside of the required rear yard 20' is contrary to Section 33-26 of Z.R.

3. The proposed commercial building, having front wall exceeding six stories and located within the initial setback of 20' and penetrating inside the required sky exposure plane, is contrary to Section 33-432 of Zoning Resolution."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A.; and

WHEREAS, the entire block is zoned either C5-3 or C6-6, which permits a floor area ratio of 15; and

WHEREAS, the City Planning Commission has indicated that it does not oppose this application; and

WHEREAS, the proposed area of 13.8 is less than the permitted floor area ratio of 15; and

WHEREAS, the site is a narrow plot that limits the economic viability for a complying building; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant

MINUTES

is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C6-6 district, the erection of a fifteen-story commercial building that encroaches on the required rear yard and penetrates the sky exposure plane *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received April 18, 1979", 6 sheets, and "June 22, 1979", 7 sheets; and *on further condition* that a sprinkler system be installed throughout the building; that no Building Department permit shall be issued until 31 days after the date of certification of this resolution; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

498-79-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Leonard Ziegelbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- side lot line walls, rear lot line wall having 0" separation for Class 1-B building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—127-29 East 55th Street, north side, 90 feet west of Lexington Avenue, Block 1310, Lot 12, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Anthony M. Salvati.

For Opposition: Amelia Watkins.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 16, 1979, N.B. Applic. #10/79, reads:

"4. The proposed openings in the side lot line walls and rear lot line wall having 0' separation for the Class 1-B building are not permitted as per Section C26-503.1 and Table 3-4 of the Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the premises had a site evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A. which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #10/79, Objection No. 4, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that all work conform to the resolution adopted this date under Calendar Number 370-79-BZ; and that all other laws, rules and regulations be complied with.

504-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Shutkind and Marini for Lord Bolton Estates, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 24, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-2 district, in an existing one version of an existing Church and former theatre into a skating rink.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3560/68 Broadway and 559/61 West 146th Street, northeast corner, Block 2078, Lot 1, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #9M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph Marini.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Opposed to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 10, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 17, 1979; then to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 26, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #174/1979, reads:

"1. (559-561 W. 146th St.) The proposed change of church use building, which is in an R7-2 and C1-4 use district, into a skating rink U.G. 12 is contrary to the Zoning Resolution Section 32-21.

2. (3560-68 Bway.) The proposed change, at first floor, from church lobby to parking rink lobby U.G. 12 within a C1-4 to R7-2 use district is contrary to the Zoning Resolution Section 32-21."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A.; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-4 and R7-2 district the conversion of an existing church and former theatre into a skating rink *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection above noted, filed with this application, marked received "April 24, 1979", 12 sheets and "July 12, 1979", 1 sheet and *on further condition* that the skating sessions be limited to the following hours, from 3 P.M. to 12 midnight except Friday and Saturday sessions until 2 A.M., that security be provided by a metal detection system and 10 persons under the supervision of officer experienced in crowd control; that there shall be no alcoholic beverages sold, displayed or consumed on the premises; that the variance be limited to a term of 10 years; that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

MINUTES

524-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for John and Joseph De Lorenzo, owner, 55 Irving Place Restaurant Corporation d/b/a Sal Anthony, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application May 2, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R8 district, in an existing six story mixed building the expansion of the first floor restaurant including outdoor service that increases the degree of non-conformity.

PREMISES AFFECTED—51-55 Irving Place, northwest corner of East 17th Street, Block 873, Lot 15, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sheldon Lobel and Alfred Menzivso.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 17, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 4, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #1249/1978, reads:

"C1. Increasing the non-conforming use of eating and drinking place, use group 6, on 1st floor in R-8 Z.D. by eliminating an apt. to be used for eating and drinking place in conjunction with existing one is contrary to Sec. 52-31 ZR.

C2. Proposed change of use from residential (apt.) use group 2 to eating and drinking place use group 6 in R-8 ZD is not permitted as of right as per sec. 22-12." and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #5M. has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R8 district, in an existing six story mixed building the expansion of the first floor and cellar restaurant including outdoor service that increased the degree of non-conformity, *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received May 2, 1979", 3 sheets and "June 12, 1979", 2 sheets; and *on further condition* that a two hour rated separation be provided between this establishment and the residential occupancies; that smoke detectors be installed in each apartment; that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

559-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for 757 Bushwick Avenue Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-11, 73-46, and 73-61 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, the conversion of an existing hospital into multiple dwelling that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio, lot area per room and the parking requirements.

PREMISES AFFECTED—757 Bushwick Avenue, northwest corner of Cedar Street, Block 3231, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #1BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 17, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 11, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #220/1979, reads:

"2. Proposed conversion of a hospital in an R6 zone to a Class 'A' apartment residence, exceeds the required maximum floor area required for a residential building in an R6 zone under Section 23-142 of Z.R.

3. Proposed conversion of a hospital in an R6 zone to a Class 'A' apartment residence, does not comply with the minimum required open space, required for a residential building in an R6 zone under Section 23-142 of Z.R.

4. Proposed conversion exceeds the required lot area per room required for a residence in an R6 zone under Section 23-223 of Z.R.

5. Proposed conversion under Section 25-25 of Z.R. for Federal Rent Subsidy Program is required to provide parking for 45% of dwelling units in an R6 zone, in their lease 32 off street parking space are required under Section 25-25 of Z.R."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E. and whereas, Community Board #1BK. and the Department of Housing Preservation and Development has recommended approval of this application.

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Sections 72-21, 73-46 and 73-61 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Sections 72-21, 73-46 and 73-61 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, the conversion of an existing hospital into multiple dwelling that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio, lot area per room and the parking requirement *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked received "May 19, 1979", 12 sheets and *on further condition* that the lot area of approximately

MINUTES

4000 square feet on any lot used for off-site accessory parking shall be used to satisfy the bulk requirements for the primary use; that this condition shall be indicated on the certificate of occupancy; that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

560-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for 757 Bushwick Avenue Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-451 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, the construction and maintenance of an off-site accessory parking facility for a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—13 Cedar Street, west side, 96.8 feet north of Bushwick Avenue, Block 3226, Lot 39, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #4BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.
For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 17, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 7, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #368/1979, reads:

"1. Proposed off site accessory parking in an R6 district is contrary to Section 25-52 of Zoning Resolution."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E.; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #4BK. has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 73-452 of the Zoning Resolution.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make the required findings and *grants a Special Permit* under Section 73-452 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, the construction and maintenance of an off-site accessory parking facility for a multiple dwelling *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings filed with this application marked "Received May 9, 1979", 1 sheet and "May 25, 1979", 1 sheet; and *on further condition* that the lot area of approximately 4000 square feet on any lot used for off-site accessory parking shall be used to satisfy the bulk requirements for the primary use; that this condition shall be indicated on the certificate of occupancy; that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

568-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Richard H. Bakalor of Quick and Bakalor for Irene Banham and Robert Banham, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 15, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit, in an R3-2 district, the maintenance of a two story enlargement to a one family dwelling that creates non-compliance in open space ratio and encroachment into the side yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1729 Hendrickson Street, north side, 240 feet south Quentin Road, Block 7889, Lot 28 (temp.), Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #18BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Richard Bakalor and others.
For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 24, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 7, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #233/1979, reads:

"1. Open space ratio provided is less than that which is required by Section 23-141 of the Zoning Resolution for a one family dwelling in an R3-2 district.

2. Side yard for the new enlargement to an existing one family detached residence in an R3-2 district is less than that required by Section 23-461 of the Zoning Resolution."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E.; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #18BK. has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the side yard condition and open space therein is similar to other developments within the area; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the maintenance of a two story enlargement to a one family dwelling that creates non-compliance in open space ratio and encroachment into the side yard *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received May 15, 1979", 3 sheets and *on further condition* that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

Adjourned: 4:30 P.M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY AFTERNOON, JULY 24, 1979, 2 P.M.

Present: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf.

STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,

THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

134-38-SA

APPLICANT—G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, owner.
SUBJECT—To show compliance with revised standards.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:
134-38-SA—Amendment to resolution to show compliance with revised standards.

G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, Bronx, New York, requested that the resolution adopted March 15, 1938 and amended through February 18, 1977 under Calendar Number 134-38-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards.

PROPOSED USE: Elevator hoistway door interlocks.

DESCRIPTION: The previously approved elevator hoistway door interlocks, Models K, K1, and K5, have not been changed since their approval.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The interlocks have been certified by Underwriters' Laboratories (File SA595) to comply with the revised applicable standards, which were adopted February 20, 1979 under Calendar Number 72-79-BCR, to revise Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code of the City of New York.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted March 15, 1938 and amended through February 8, 1977 under Calendar Number 134-38-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards (Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code) of the Models K, K1, and K5 elevator hoistway door interlocks, as described above, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code of the City of New York and the original resolution and previous amendments adopted under this Calendar Number.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 134-38-SA".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,

Resolved that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited resolution in accordance with the above report.

1050-39-SA

APPLICANT—G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, owner.
SUBJECT—To show compliance with revised standards.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:
1050-39-SA: Amendment to resolution to show compliance with revised standards.

G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, Bronx, New York, requested that the resolution adopted November 14, 1939 and amended February 8, 1977 under Calendar Number 1050-39-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised Standards.

PROPOSED USE: Elevator hoistway door interlock.

DESCRIPTION: The previous approved elevator hoistway door interlock, Model G, has not been changed since its approval.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The interlock has been certified by Underwriters' Laboratories (File SA 595) to comply with the revised applicable standards, which were adopted February 20, 1979 under Calendar Number 72-79-BCR, to revise Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code of the City of New York.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted November 14, 1939 and amended February 8, 1977 under Calendar Number 1050-39-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards (Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code) of the Model G elevator hoistway door interlock, as described above, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code of the City of New York and the original resolution and previous amendment adopted under this Calendar Number.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 1050-39-SA".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate

MINUTES

name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited resolution in accordance with the above report.

24-41-SA

APPLICANT—G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, owner.
SUBJECT—To show compliance with revised standards.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:

24-41-SA: Amendment to resolution to show compliance with revised standards.

G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, Bronx, New York, requested that the resolution adopted February 4, 1941 and amended February 8, 1977 under Calendar Number 24-41-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards.

PROPOSED USE: Elevator hoistway door interlock.

DESCRIPTION: The previously approved elevator hoistway door interlock, Model M, has not been changed since its approval.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The interlock has been certified by Underwriters' Laboratories (File SA595) to comply with the revised applicable standards, which were adopted February 20, 1979 under Calendar Number 72-79-BCR, to revise Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code of the City of New York.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted February 4, 1941 and amended February 8, 1977 under Calendar Number 24-41-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards (Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code) of the Model M elevator hoistway door interlock, as described above, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code of the City of New York and the original resolution and previous amendment adopted under this Calendar Number.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 24-41-SA".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and

packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

884-48-SA

APPLICANT—G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, owner.
SUBJECT—To show compliance with revised standards.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:

884-48-SA: Amendment to resolution to show compliance with revised standards.

G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, Bronx, New York, requested that the resolution adopted April 11, 1950 and amended March 8, 1977 under Calendar Number 884-48-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards.

PROPOSED USE: Elevator hoistway door interlock.

DESCRIPTION: The previously approved elevator hoistway door interlock, Model HD, has not been changed since its approval.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The interlock has been certified by Underwriters' Laboratories (File SA595) to comply with the revised applicable standards, which were adopted February 20, 1979 under Calendar Number 72-79-BCR, to revise Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code of the City of New York.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted April 11, 1950 and amended March 8, 1977 under Calendar Number 884-48-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards (Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code) of the Model HD elevator hoistway door interlock, as described above, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code of the City of New York and the original resolution and previous amendment adopted under this Calendar Number.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 884-48-SA".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls

MINUTES

of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,

STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,

THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

703-49-SA

APPLICANT—G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—To show compliance with revised standards.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:

703-49-SA: Amendment to resolution to show compliance with revised standards.

G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, Bronx, New York, requested that the resolution adopted April 11, 1950 and amended March 8, 1977 under Calendar Number 703-49-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards.

PROPOSED USE: Elevator hoistway door interlock.

DESCRIPTION: The previously approved elevator hoistway door interlock, Model MO, has not been changed since its approval.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The interlock has been certified by Underwriters' Laboratories (File SA 595) to comply with the revised applicable standards, which were adopted February 20, 1979 under Calendar Number 72-79-BCR, to revise Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code of the City of New York.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted April 11, 1950 and amended March 8, 1977 under Calendar Number 703-49-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards (Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code) of the Model MO elevator hoistway door interlock, as described above, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code of the City of New York and the original resolution and previous amendment adopted under this Calendar Number.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New

York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 703-49-SA."

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,

STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,

THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

122-55-SA

APPLICANT—G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—To show compliance with revised standards.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:

122-55-SA: Amendment to resolution to show compliance with revised standards.

G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, Bronx, New York, requested that the resolution adopted June 23, 1959 and amended through March 8, 1977 under Calendar Number 122-55-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards.

PROPOSED USE: Elevator hoistway door interlock.

DESCRIPTION: The previously approved elevator hoistway door interlock, Model DM, has not been changed since its approval.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The interlock has been certified by Underwriters' Laboratories (File SA 595) to comply with the revised applicable standards, which were adopted February 20, 1979 under Calendar Number 72-79-BCR, to revise Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code of the City of New York.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted June 23, 1959 and amended through March 8, 1977 under Calendar Number 122-55-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards (Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code) of the Model DM elevator hoistway door interlock, as described above, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code of the City of New York and the original resolution and previous amendments adopted under this Calendar Number.

MINUTES

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 122-55-SA."

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

328-63-SA

APPLICANT—G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—To show compliance with revised standards.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:
328-63-SA: Amendment to resolution to show compliance with revised standards.

G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, Bronx, New York, requested that the resolution adopted March 10, 1964 and amended March 8, 1977 under Calendar Number 328-63-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards.

PROPOSED USE: Elevator hoistway door interlock.

DESCRIPTION: The previously approved elevator hoistway door interlock, Model MOCP, has not been changed since its approval.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The interlock has been certified by Underwriters' Laboratories (File SA 595) to comply with the revised applicable standards, which were adopted February 20, 1979 under Calendar Number 72-79-BCR, to revise Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code of the City of New York.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted March 10, 1964 and amended March 8, 1977 under Calendar Number 328-63-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards (Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code) of the Model MOCP elevator hoistway door interlock, as described above, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of

the Building Code of the City of New York and the original resolution and previous amendment adopted under this Calendar Number.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 328-63-SA".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

273-73-SM

APPLICANT—G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—To show compliance with revised standards.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

273-73-SM: Amendment to resolution to show compliance with revised standards.

G.A.L. Manufacturing Corporation, Bronx, New York, requested that the resolution adopted November 23, 1973 under Calendar Number 273-73-SM be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards.

PROPOSED USE: Elevator hoistway door interlock.

DESCRIPTION: The previously approved elevator hoistway door interlock, Model VR, has not been changed since its approval.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The interlock has been certified by Underwriters' Laboratories (File SA 595) to comply with the revised applicable standards, which were adopted February 20, 1979 under Calendar Number 72-79-BCR, to revise Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code of the City of New York.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted November 23, 1973 under Calendar Number 273-73-SM be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards (Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code) of the Model VR elevator hoistway door interlock as described above, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code and the original resolution adopted under this Calendar Number.

MINUTES

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 273-73-SM".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

62-74-SA

APPLICANT—Fenwal Incorporated, Division of Walter Kidde and Company, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Additional smoke detector.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Tom Weilert.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:
62-74-SA: Amendment to resolution to include additional smoke detector.

Fenwal Incorporated, Division of Walter Kidde and Company, Incorporated, Ashland, Massachusetts, requested that the resolution adopted February 24, 1976 under Calendar Number 62-74-SA be reopened and amended to include an additional smoke detector.

PROPOSED USE: Combustion products smoke detector.
DESCRIPTION: The additional combustion products smoke detector, Model CPD-1250, is a dual chamber, ionization type. The detectors are rated 15 volts, D.C. The detectors are interfaced with alarm indicating equipment. An alarm is indicated by energizing a relay in the interface unit and by a signal LED on the detector. An auxiliary relay connection can also be energized.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The detector has been tested and approved by Underwriters' Laboratories (File S1064) and has been recommended for approval by the Division of Fire Prevention.

RECOMMENDATION: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted February 24, 1976 under Calendar Number 62-74-SA be reopened and amended to include an additional smoke detector, Model CPD-1250, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of Article 17 and

RS 17 of the Building Code and Chapter 19 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York and Fire Department Directives.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 62-74-SA".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited resolution in accordance with the above report.

152-77-SA

APPLICANT—Veeder-Root, a Subsidiary of Western Pacific Industries, owner.

SUBJECT—Additional self-service gasoline station equipment.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:
152-77-SA: Amendment to resolution to include additional self-service gasoline station equipment.

Veeder-Root, a Subsidiary of Western Pacific Industries, Hartford, Connecticut, requested that the resolution adopted April 11, 1978 under Calendar Number 152-77-SA be reopened and amended to include additional self-service gasoline station equipment.

PROPOSED USE: Consoles for self-service gasoline stations.

DESCRIPTIONS: The additional self-service consoles are Veeder-Root 7884 and 7880 Series.

The 7884 Series is normally post-pay/money only operated. It can control up to four hoses. It can optionally be provided with post-pay/prepay, money/volume, and management/accounting data.

The 7880 Series can control up to 16 hoses and is normally provided with postpay/prepay, money/volume, and management/accounting data.

Both Series require an interconnection box for making field connections. The 7884 Series console has a power

MINUTES

supply built into the console. The 7880 Series console has a separate power supply with battery back-up to maintain programmed information. Both Series are provided with an emergency switch on the front panel to stop the flow of gasoline to all hoses during an emergency. No product can be dispensed from a hose until authorization has been made by the attendant.

The components of the Series are as follows:

VEEDER-ROOT 7884 SERIES PREPAY/POSTPAY SELF-SERVICE CONSOLES

Model No.	Description
788481-001	Postpay—Money Readout Only Console Controls 2, 3, or 4 Hoses
788480-001	Prepay/Postpay—Money & Gallons Readout Console Controls 2, 3, or 4 Hoses

VEEDER-ROOT 7884 SERIES PREPAY/POSTPAY SELF-SERVICE CONSOLES

Model No.	Description
788280-001	Controls 2 Hoses
788281-001	Controls 3 Hoses
788282-001	Controls 4 Hoses

NOTE: In some cases the fifth digit of the model number may read "9" or "0" rather than "8." This indicates only the status of approval of the device by CSA in Canada and/or the Underwriters Laboratories.

VEEDER-ROOT 7880 SERIES PREPAY/POSTPAY SELF-SERVICE CONSOLES

Model No.	Description
788090-001	Prepay/postpay, money/volume, management/ accounting data Readout console controls 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 & 16 hoses

INTERCONNECTION BOXES

(for use with above console in combinations to equal
hose requirement)

Model No.	Description
788291-001	4 hose IC Box controls 3 hoses*
788292-001	4 hose IC Box controls 4 hoses
788297-001	4 hose IC Box with I/O controls 4 hoses
788298-001	6 hose IC Box with I/O controls 5 hoses*
788299-001	6 hose IC Box with I/O controls 6 hoses *Expandable by one hose

POWER SUPPLY WITH BATTERY BACK-UP

(for use with above console and interconnection boxes)

Model No.	Description
788190-001	Power Supply

NOTE: In some cases the fifth digit of the model number may read "9" or "0" rather than "8." This indicates only the status of approval of the device by CSA in Canada and/or the Underwriters Laboratories.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The equipment listed above has been tested and approved by Underwriters' Laboratories (File MH8483).

RECOMMENDATION: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted April 11, 1978 under Calendar Number 152-77-SA be reopened and amended to include Veeder-Root 7884 and 7880 Series self-service consoles, with components as listed above, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code of the City of New York and the original resolution adopted under this Calendar Number, and shall comply with the applicable requirements of City Council Intro. No. 325 of 1978, or whatever form said Intro, is adopted into law. No coin operated application shall be used.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 152-77-SA."

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufac-

turer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,

STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,

THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

611-79-SM

APPLICANT—Industrial Acoustics Company, Incorporated,
owner.

SUBJECT—¾ hour fire rated wall and partition assembly.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Neil Schultz.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 18, 1979,
at 2 P.M.

687-78-A

APPLICANT—Albert Melniker, for Charles Matarese,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 15, 1978—filed pursuant to
Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed
building not fronting on a legally mapped street, re- pro-
posed use of drywells (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—798 Pelton Avenue, west side,
54.86 feet south of Berwick Place, Block 295, Lot 84,
West New Brighton, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Albert Melniker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated June 26, 1979 and July 25, 1978, on N.B. Applic.
#1054/78, reads:

"1. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal
of storm water when no public sewers into which dis-
charge is feasible are located within 500 feet of the
property is contrary to Sec. P110.2(c) RS-16 of the
Administrative Code.

"2. The street giving access to the proposed build-
ing is not duly placed on the official map of the City of
New York, therefore:

A. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be
issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General
City Law.

MINUTES

B. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 26, 1979 and July 25, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1054/78 Objection Nos. 1 and 2 A and B, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 2 A and B is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 27, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

688-78-A

APPLICANT—Albert Melniker, for Charles Matarese, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 15, 1978—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, re- proposed use of drywells (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—802 Pelton Avenue, west side, 96.31 feet south of Berwick Place, Block 295, Lot 86, West New Brighton, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Albert Melniker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 26, 1979 and July 25, 1978, on N.B. Applic. #1055/78, reads:

"1. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 feet of the property is contrary to Sec. P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code.

"2. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

A. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

B. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 26, 1979 and July 25, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1055/78, Objection Nos. 1 and 2 A and B, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 2 A and B is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 27, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

689-78-A

APPLICANT—Albert Melniker for Charles Matarese, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 15, 1978—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, re- proposed use of drywells (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—806 Pelton Avenue, west side, 137.76 feet south of Berwick Place, Block 295, Lot 88, West New Brighton, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Albert Melniker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 26, 1979 and July 25, 1978, on N.B. Applic. #1056/78, reads:

"1. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500' of the property is contrary to Sec. P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code.

"2. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

A. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

MINUTES

B. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 26, 1979 and July 25, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1056/78, Objection Nos. 1 and 2 A and B be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 2 A and B is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 27, 1979", 3 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

34-79-A

APPLICANT—Albert Melniker for Perosi Development Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of splash blocks for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—325 Simonson Avenue, east side, 148.95 feet south of Dixon Avenue, Block 1184, Lot 43, Mariners Harbor, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Albert Melniker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal denied.

THE VOTE TO GRANT—

Affirmative: 0
Negative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 14, 1978, on N.B. Applic. #1247/78, reads:

"1. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500' of the property is contrary to Sec. P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be denied.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, Fire Commissioner, dated December 14, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1247/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *affirmed* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *denied*.

35-79-A

APPLICANT—Albert Melniker for Perosi Development Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of splash blocks for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—329 Simonson Avenue, east side, 859.88 feet north of Forest Avenue, Block 1184, Lot 41, Mariners Harbor, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Albert Melniker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal denied.

THE VOTE TO GRANT—

Affirmative: 0
Negative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 14, 1978, on N.B. Applic. #1440/78, reads:

"1. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500' of the property is contrary to Sec. P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be denied.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, Fire Commissioner, dated December 14, 1978, acting on N.B. Applic. #1440/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *affirmed* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *denied*.

132-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law 7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—57 Stafford Avenue, northwest corner of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 1, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #21/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

MINUTES

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #21/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 4 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

133-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law 7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—55 Stafford Avenue, west side, 27.02 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5712, Lot 89, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #22/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c)(2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #22/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified

by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 4 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

134-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—51 Stafford Avenue, west side, 53.09 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 87, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #23/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c)(2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #23/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then

MINUTES

will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 4 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

135-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—49 Stafford Avenue, west side, 79.17 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 85, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition. dated January 25, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #23/79, reads:

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #24/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #24/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements

of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 4 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

136-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—47 Stafford Avenue, west side, 105.24 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 83, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #25/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #25/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 4 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

MINUTES

137-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—45 Stafford Avenue, west side, 131.32 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 81, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #26/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #26/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 4 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulation shall be complied with.

138-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—41 Stafford Avenue, west side, 157.39 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 79, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #27/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2 (c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #27/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

139-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—39 Stafford Avenue, west side, 183.47 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 77, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #28/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2 (c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #28/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

140-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—37 Stafford Avenue, west side, 209.54 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 75, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #29/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #29/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

141-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—35 Stafford Avenue, west side, 235.62 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 73, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

MINUTES

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #30/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #30/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

142-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—31 Stafford Avenue, west side, 261.69 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 71, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #31/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of

the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #31/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 per cent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 4 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

143-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—29 Stafford Avenue, west side, 287.77 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 69, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #32/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

MINUTES

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #32/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 4 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

144-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—27 Stafford Avenue, west side, 313.84 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 67, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #33/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #33/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the

appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 4 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

145-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for Weiman Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—25 Stafford Avenue, west side, 339.92 feet north of Carlton Boulevard, Block 5715, Lot 65, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ed Lauria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #34/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #34/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent

MINUTES

of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 4 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

147-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—47 Shift Place, southeast corner of Powell Street, Block 6085, Lot 190, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1461/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2 (c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1461/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements

of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

148-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—37 Shift Place, southwest corner of Dahlia Street, Block 6085, Lot 180, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1462/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2 (c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1462/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

MINUTES

149-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—17 Shift Place, southeast corner of Dahlia Street, Block 6085, Lot 92, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, on N.B. Applic. #1463/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1463/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

150-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use

of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—7 Shift Place, southwest corner of Huguenot Avenue, Block 6085, Lot 85, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, on N.B. Applic. #1464/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1464 78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

151-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—400 Huguenot Avenue, west side, 69.24 feet south of Shift Place, Block 6085, Lot 80, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1465/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1465/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

152-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—81 Dahlia Street, east side, 60.06 feet south of Shift Place, Block 6085, Lot 97, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1466/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1466/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

153-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—80 Dahlia Street, west side, 69.01 feet south of Shift Place, Block 6085, Lot 175, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

MINUTES

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1467/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1467/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 13, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

178-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Michael Licursi and Luigi Bonetti, owners, d/b/a Palu Fashions.

SUBJECT—Application February 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- egress.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2370 Hoffman Street, east side, 269.28 feet south of East 187th Street, Block 3065, Lot 27, Borough of the Bronx.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, January 25, 1979, on Alt. #322/78, reads:

"2. Provide two properly enclosed exits leading to the street as per Section 270 of the Labor Law."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A. which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 25, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #322/78, Objection No. 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the combustible cardboard at the top of the ramp be removed or separated by a 2-hour rated enclosure; that hand railings be provided on each side of the ramp; that directional exit signs to the ramp be provided; and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 27, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

183-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Steve Nagel, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 27, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—99 Kingdom Avenue, east side, 80 feet north of Deisius Street, Block 6568, Lot 5, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1093/76, reads:

"1. Street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York; therefore:

A. No C. of O. can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and,

B. Permit may not be issued since proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or fronting space and therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated January 31, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1093/76, Objection No. 1A and B and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required

MINUTES

retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1A and B is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received February 27, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

219-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Brent Lally, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—84 Mason Boulevard, southwest corner of Rossville Avenue, Block 7028, Lot 83, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1701/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1701/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of

volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 2, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

220-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Brent Lally, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—94 Mason Boulevard, south side, 92.16 feet west of Rossville Avenue, Block 7028, Lot 78, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated, February 8, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1702/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1702/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to

MINUTES

occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 2, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

221-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Brent Lally, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—104 Mason Boulevard, south side, 192.16 feet west of Rossville Avenue, Block 7028, Lot 73, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1703/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1703/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts

(refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 2, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

222-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Brent Lally, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—114 Mason Boulevard, south side, 292.16 feet west of Rossville Avenue, Block 7028, Lot 68, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1704/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1704/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 2, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

MINUTES

223-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Brent Lally, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—124 Mason Boulevard, south side, 392.16 feet west of Rossville Avenue, Block 7028, Lot 63, Rossville Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1705/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta, which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1705/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow; permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 2, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

224-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Brent Lally, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of

drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—134 Mason Boulevard, south side, 492.16 feet west of Rossville Avenue, Block 7028, Lot 58, Rossville Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1706/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta, which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1706/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 2, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

225-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Brent Lally, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—144 Mason Boulevard, south side, 592.16 feet west of Rossville Avenue, Block 7028, Lot 53, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1707/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1707/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 2, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

226-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Brent Lally, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—150 Mason Boulevard, southeast corner of Maguire Avenue, Block 7028, Lots 45 and 50, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1708/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 8, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1708/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 2, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

227-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—165 McBaine Avenue northwest corner of Maguire Avenue, Block 7045, Lot 145, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

MINUTES

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1661/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent dated February 7, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1661/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 2, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

228-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—166 Mason Boulevard, southwest corner of Maguire Avenue, Block 7045, Lot 265, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1662/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a

block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 7, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1662/78 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it here is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings marked "Received March 2, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

229-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—180 Mason Boulevard, south side, 137.96 feet west of Maguire Avenue, Block 7045, Lot 260, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1663/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site

MINUTES

per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(e) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 7, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1663/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 2, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

230-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dabe Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—190 Mason Boulevard, south side, 237.96 feet west of Maguire Avenue, Block 7045, Lot 255, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1664/78, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John Walsh, P.E.

and Commissioner John Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 7, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1664/78, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received March 2, 1979", 2 sheets, and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

359-79-A

APPLICANT—Vincent S. La Ganga for Daniel Carvalho, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 9, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- Proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water, Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—39 Callan Avenue, north side, 100 feet west of Woodhaven Avenue, Block 881, Lot 145, Dongan Hills, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Vincent S. La Ganga.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 6, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1956/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

a) No C. of O. can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and,

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the

MINUTES

subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 6, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1956/78, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received April 9, 1979", 4 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

506-79-A

APPLICANT—Tufo, Johnston, Zuccotti and Allegaert for Olympia and York Plaza Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 25, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Partitions, exit elevator, stairs and escalators, contrary to Building Code.

PREMISES AFFECTED—464-466 Lexington Avenue, southwest corner of 46th Street, Block 1300, Lot 14, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: N. Stein.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 10, 1979, on Alt. Applic. #309/79, reads:

"1. Stairs and escalators in exit passageway from ground floor to 1st floor not enclosed in 2 hr. rated partitions used as means of egress contrary to Section C26-604.8 (i) of B.C.

2. Floor openings between ground floor and 19th floor (atrium) is a shaft and should be enclosed with 2 hr. rated enclosure as per Section C26-504.6(a) and table 3-4 of B.C.

3. The unlimited glass is not permitted in exit passageway where uses other than C, E, F-3 and F-4

are proposed, as per Section C26-604.3(h) 3(d) 3(b) of B.C.

4. Elevators and elevator machine room not enclosed with 2 hr. rated partitions contrary to Section C26-1800.6(e), C26-504.6(a) and table 3-4 of B.C. and rule 100.1d An 50A17.1 and rule 1.1.1a An 51A17.1 of safety code for elevators."

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A. which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the application was reviewed by the Fire Department and proposals were made to the applicant who concurs with the proposals as approved by the Fire Commissioner in a report marked "Received by the Board July 24, 1979".

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 10, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #309/79, Objection Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that stairs and escalators occupy only one of the three passages from the lobby to the street; that the entire building be sprinklered; that the lobbies be provided with a fresh air intake, a positive smoke exhaust system and smoke detectors which when activated permit circulation of fresh air only; that smoke detectors be located in the atrium and in the return air duct of adjacent spaces; that smoke vents be provided at both ends of the skylights over the atrium; that an automatically controlled fan be provided per Reference Standard 5-17 to remove the products of combustion which may enter the atrium; that an alarm device be installed, with connection to the Fire Command Station and the mechanical equipment room to indicate the in service mode of the skylight detection device and release mechanism; that monthly tests be conducted of detection and release mechanisms; that the elevator side of the atrium be separated from the occupancy by a laminated glass partition wall equipped with smoke stop doors; that the elevator corridor be separated from the occupancy by laminated glass partitions equipped with smoke stop doors; that the loading bays be separated from the atrium by fire divisions rated in accordance with Building Code Table 5-2 and vestibules constructed per C26-709.4; that retail spaces be separated from atrium by laminated glass partitions equipped with self-closing doors; that any restaurant kitchens be enclosed in masonry partitions; that an approved extinguishing system, other than water, acceptable to the Fire Commissioner be installed in the elevator machinery space enclosed with laminated glass; on further condition that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 19, 1979", 15 sheets, except where conditions, as enumerated above, are to be met; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

582-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Richmond Venture Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—336 Eltingville Boulevard, west side, 41.00 feet north of Genesee Avenue, Block 5523, Lot 210, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

MINUTES

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 17, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #738/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 17, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #738/79, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow, permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

583-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Richmond Venture Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—346 Eltingville Boulevard, west side, 141.00 feet north of Genesee Avenue, Block 5523, Lot 205, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 17, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #739/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 17, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #739/79, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow, permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

584-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Eileen Lee, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water. (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—531 Todt Hill Road, east side, 843.81 feet north of Willow Pond Road, Block 881, Lot 30, Todt Hill, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Walsh 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Walsh 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 11, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #743/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which dis-

MINUTES

charge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P-110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John T. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 11, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #743/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

585-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Eileen Lee, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water. (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—521 Todt Hill Road, east side 943.84 feet north of Willow Pond Road, Block 881, Lot 35, Todt Hill, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 11, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #742/77, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E.

and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 11, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #742/79, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law 7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

586-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for R. Randy Lee, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- Proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water. (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—5 Whitewell Place, north side 300.50 feet east of Todt Hill Road, Block 881, Lot 133, Todt Hill, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 30, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #264/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map therefore:

a) No certificate of occupancy can be issued, as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Section C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 feet of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

MINUTES

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 30, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #264/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

587-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Richmond Venture Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water. (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—12 Lynch Street, south side, 82.93 feet west of Poillon Avenue, Block 6455, Lot 3, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 26, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #491/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 26, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #491/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal

be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

588-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Richmond Venture Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—4 Lynch Street, southwest corner of Poillon Avenue, Block 6455, Lot 6, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 26, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #492/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 26, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #492/79, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume re-

MINUTES

quired, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

589-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Richmond Venture Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—368 Poillon Avenue, west side, 60.72 feet south of Lynch Street, Block 6455, Lot 9, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 26, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #493/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 26, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #493/79, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and

natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

590-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Richmond Venture Corporation, Owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water. (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—374 Poillon Avenue, west side 123.76 feet south of Lynch Street, Block 6455, Lot 12, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 26, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #494/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 26, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #494/79, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

MINUTES

591-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Richmond Venture Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water. (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—380 Poillon Avenue, west side, 185.66 feet south of Lynch Street, Block 6455, Lot 15, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 26, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #495/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 26, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #495/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

592-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Richmond Venture Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of

drywells for the disposal of storm water. (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—410 Philip Avenue, southwest corner of Lynch Street, Block 6456, Lot 8, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #498/79, reads:

"The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 23, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #498/79, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

593-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Doris Weintraub, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—34 Lynch Street, south side, 80.29 feet west of Philip Avenue, Block 6456, Lot 4, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #500/79, reads:

"The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #500/79, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

594-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Gerald Weintraub, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—30 Lynch Street, south side, 40.15 feet west of Philip Avenue, Block 6456, Lot 6, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 26, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #487/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 26, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #487/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

595-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for R. L. Land Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- Proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—224 Kingdom Avenue, south side, 126.76 feet west of Cappellan Street, Block 6572, Lot 58, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

MINUTES

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #469/79, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map therefore:

a. No Certificate of Occupancy can be issued, as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Section C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 feet of the property is contrary to Section P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #469/79, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

596-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Smart Building Corporation, Owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- Proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—1099 Huguenot Avenue, north side, 140.00 feet east of Eylandt Street, Block 6573, Lot 23, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,

Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 24, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #2090/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map therefore:

a) No certificate of occupancy can be issued, as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 feet of the property is contrary to Sec. P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 24, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #2090/78, Objection No. 1 a and b and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

597-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Smart Building Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—220 Kingdom Avenue, west side, 166.76 feet north of Capellan Street, Block 6572, Lot 56, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

MINUTES

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 26, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #2094/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map therefore:

a) No certificate of occupancy can be issued, as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 feet of the property is contrary to Sec. P110.2 (c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 26, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #2094/78, Objection No. 1 a and b and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

598-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Smart Building Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—228 Kingdom Avenue, west side, 63.38 feet north of Capellan Street, Block 6572, Lot 61, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #2093/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not duly placed on the official map therefore:

a) No certificate of occupancy can be issued, as per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500' of the property is contrary to Sec. P110.2(c) RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #2093/78, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

599-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Smart Building Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—234 Kingdom Avenue, northwest corner of Capellan Street, Block 6572, Lot 65, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,

MINUTES

Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #2092/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building
is not duly placed on the official map therefore:

a) No certificate of occupancy can be issued, as
per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least
8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting
directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage
space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of
storm water when no public storm sewers into which
discharge is feasible are located within 500' of the
property is contrary to Sec. P110.2(c) RS-16 of the
Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the
Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and
Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the
appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Super-
tendent, dated April 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #2092/78,
Objection Nos. 1 A and B and 2, be and it hereby is *modified*
and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition*
that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to
contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be
verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of
voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the
sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required
retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25
percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of
volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer
is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure
then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be
maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells
are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as
close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least dis-
ruption of trees and natural features; and that the require-
ments of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm
water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer
to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9,
1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the
powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General
City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall sub-
stantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18,
1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall
be complied with.

600-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Smart Building
Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—filed pursuant to
Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed
building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed
use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local
Law # 7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—15 Cappellan Street, northeast
corner of Tuckahoe Avenue, Block 6572, Lot 1, Huguenot,
Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent,
dated April 23, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #2091/78, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building
is not duly placed on the official map therefore:

a) No certificate of occupancy can be issued, as
per Article 3, Sec. 36 of the General City Law.

b) Proposed construction does not have at least
8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting
directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage
space contrary to Sec. C26-401.1 (Building Code).

2. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of
storm water when no public storm sewers into which
discharge is feasible are located within 500' of the
property is contrary to Sec. P110.2(c) RS-16 of the
Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the
Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and
Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the
appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Super-
tendent, dated April 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #2091/78,
Objection Nos. 1 A and B and 2, be and it hereby is *modified*
and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition*
that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to
contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be
verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of
voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the
sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required
retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25
percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of
volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer
is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure
then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be
maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells
are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as
close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least dis-
ruption of trees and natural features; and that the require-
ments of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm
water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer
to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9,
1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the
powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General
City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall sub-
stantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 18,
1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall
be complied with.

621-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Salvatore Tirro,
owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 25, 1979—appeal from a
decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed
use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local
Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—90 Milden Avenue, north side,
820 feet east of Ocean Terrace, Block 836, Lot 307, Todt
Hill, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 15, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #471/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2 (c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 15, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #471/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved areas and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 25, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

624-79-A

APPLICANT—Stuart Furman for American Standard Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 28, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- proposed fuel storage is contrary to Chapter 19 of the Administrative Code.

PREMISES AFFECTED—39 West 39th Street, 40/52 West 40th Street, north side, 270 feet east of Avenue of Americas, Block 841, Lots 16, 75, 76, 78, 18 and 71, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Stuart Furman.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the letter of the Fire Department, dated May 11, 1979, reads:

"Your letter of April 17, 1979, requesting, in behalf of the American Standard Corp., permission to use an existing 10,000 gal. tank for the storage of diesel motor fuel to be used for fueling motor vehicles is acknowledged.

Please be advised that the records in this department indicate the tank was installed under rules regulating fuel oil tank installations which does not meet the requirements of the Fire Department and Chapt. 19 Administration Code covering diesel motor fuel storage. Furthermore, the capacity of the tank exceeds the maximum allowable capacity of 4,000 gals. permitted for diesel motor fuel, and therefore, your request is denied."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the letter of the Fire Commissioner, dated May 11, 1979, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the installation shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received July 19, 1979", 1 sheet; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

625-79-A

APPLICANT—Henry Wolinsky for Salem Baptist Missionary Church, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 29, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—140-05 Farmers Boulevard, southwest corner of 140th Avenue, Block 13047, Lots 11 and 14, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Henry Wolinsky.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 14, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #34/76, reads:

"1. The proposed 100% on-site drainage of storm water is contrary to Local Law #7 of 1974, P110.2(c) RS 16-37."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 14, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #34/76, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Depart-

MINUTES

ment of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received May 29, 1979", 1 sheet; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

632-79-A

APPLICANT—Frank A. Vaccaro for Richard Hirsch, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 29, 1979—Filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—34 Church Avenue, south side, 306.07 feet east of Victory Boulevard, Block 2625, Lot 34, Travis, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Betty Fricker.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 29, 1979, on Alt. Applic. #191/73, reads:

"1. The street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

a. A Certificate of Occupancy may not be issued per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law.

b. Proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and is therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 29, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #191/73, Objection No. 1 a and b, be and it hereby is *modified* under the powers vested in the Board by Section 36 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the sidewalk, curb, curb cut and pavement to the middle of the street be in accordance with the standards of the Department of Highways; and that the building shall substantially comply with drawings, marked "Received May 29, 1979", 1 sheet; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

643-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—180 Washington Avenue, northeast corner of Walcott Avenue, Block 1970, Lot 35, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 29, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #917/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 29, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #917/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

644-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—178 Washington Avenue, southeast corner of Roanoke Street, Block 1970, Lot 37, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 29, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #918/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 29, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #918/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

645-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—309 Brielle Avenue, north side, 104.02 feet west of Roanoke Street, Block 1970, Lot 87, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1169/74, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2 (c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 29, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1169/74 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

646-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—311 Brielle Avenue, north side, 137.83 feet west of Roanoke Street, Block 1970, Lot 88, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1170/74, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than

MINUTES

75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2 (c) (2) of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 7, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1170/74 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

647-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—315 Brielle Avenue, north side 163.48 feet west of Roanoke Street, Block 1970, Lot 89, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1171/74, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 7, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1171/74 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

648-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re-proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—317 Brielle Avenue, north side 189.13 feet east of Roanoke Street, Block 1970, Lot 90, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1172/74, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16 Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 7, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #1172/74 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume

MINUTES

as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

649-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—319 Brielle Avenue, north side, 214.78 feet west of Roanoke Street, Block 1970, Lot 92, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1173/74, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 7, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1173/74, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least dis-

ruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

650-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—321 Brielle Avenue, north side, 240.43 feet west of Roanoke Street, Block 1970, Lot 93, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 7, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #1174/74, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 7, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #1174/74, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

MINUTES

651-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—3 Walcott Avenue, northwest corner of Brielle Avenue, Block 1970, Lot 1, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #904/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2 (c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #904/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

652-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—5 Walcott Avenue, north side,

26.44 feet west of Brielle Avenue, Block 1970, Lot 3, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #905/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2 (c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #905/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

653-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—9 Walcott Avenue, north side, 50.44 feet west of Brielle Avenue, Block 1970, Lot 5, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,

MINUTES

Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #906/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #906/79, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

654-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—11 Walcott Avenue, north side, 90.44 feet west of Brielle Avenue, Block 1970, Lot 7, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #907/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #907/79, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

655-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of dry wells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—15 Walcott Avenue, north side 130.44 feet west of Brielle Avenue, Block 1970, Lot 9, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #908/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the

MINUTES

property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #908/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

656-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of dry wells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—19 Walcott Avenue, north side 170.44 feet west of Brielle Avenue, Block 1970, Lot 11, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #909/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #909/79 Objec-

tion No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

657-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—23 Walcott Avenue, north side, 210.44 feet west of Brielle Avenue, Block 1970, Lot 13, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #910/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #910/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume

MINUTES

required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

658-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—27 Walcott Avenue, north side 250.44 feet west of Brielle Avenue, Block 1970, Lot 15, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #911/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #911/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation

Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

659-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—29 Walcott Avenue, north side, 274.44 feet west of Brielle Avenue, Block 1970, Lot 17, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #912/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #912/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

660-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—33 Walcott Avenue, north side, 298.44 feet west of Brielle Avenue, Block 1970, Lot 18, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #913/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #913/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

661-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—35 Walcott Avenue, north side 322.44 feet west of Brielle Avenue, Block 1970, Lot 19, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #914/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #914/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

662-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—39 Walcott Avenue, north side 346.44 feet west of Brielle Avenue, Block 1970, Lot 21, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

MINUTES

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #915/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #915/79 Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

663-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Hadar Estates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—43 Walcott Avenue, north side, 396.44 feet west of Brielle Avenue, Block 1970, Lot 23, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michael Kroczyński.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #916/79, reads:

"1. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the

subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979 acting on N.B. Applic. #916/79 Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 5, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

683-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Dominic Pugliese, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 8, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- Proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—Hunt Lane south side 118.10 feet east of Buttonwood Road, Todt Hill, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 8, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #442/79, reads:

"1. Street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

a) No C. of O. can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and,

b) Permit may not be issued since proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of

MINUTES

the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 8, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #442/79, Objection No. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 8, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

687-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Giant Supply Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 12, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—2200 Arthur Kill Road, south west corner of Veteran Road West, Block 6110, Lot 530 (600) Rossville, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 6, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #472/79, reads:

"1. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water when no public storm sewer into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property is contrary to Sec. P110.2 RS-16 of the Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent,

dated June 6, 1979, acting on #472/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and one inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 12, 1979", 1 sheet; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

705-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Briarwood Plaza, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—36-35/37 Bell Boulevard, east side, 101.16 feet north of 38th Avenue, Block 6176, Lots 55 and 58, Bayside, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 14, 1979, on Alt. Applic. #368/78, reads:

"1. Re: S.D. #77/79—When no public sewer into which storm water discharge is feasible, is within 500 feet of property no more than 50% of storm water run-off may be disposed of on-site; the remainder shall be conveyed by sewers for disposal off-site, as per P110.2(c-5) (L.L. 7/74)."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 14, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #368/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry

MINUTES

wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 18, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

717-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for John DiLorenzo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 18, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—50 Weaver Street, southwest corner of Harold Avenue, Block 6367, Lot 29, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 21, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #475/79, reads:

"1. Street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

A. No. C. of O. can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and,

B. Permit may not be issued since proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P.110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 21, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #475/79, Objection No. 1 A and B and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used

to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 A and B is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 18, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

718-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for John DiLorenzo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 18, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—58 Weaver Street, south side, 92.29 feet west of Harold Avenue, Block 6367, Lot 24, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 21, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #476/79, reads:

"1. Street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

A. No. C. of O. can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and,

B. Permit may not be issued since proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P.110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 21, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic.

MINUTES

#476/79, Objection No. 1 A and B and 2 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 A and B is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 18, 1979", 3 sheets, and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

719-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for John DiLorenzo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 18, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—64 Weaver Street, south side, 167.29 feet west of Harold Avenue, Block 6367, Lot 21, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 21, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #477/79, reads:

"1. Street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

A. No. C. of O. can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and,

B. Permit may not be issued since proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site

per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 21, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #477/79, Objection No. 1 A and B and 2 be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 A and B is granted under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 18, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

725-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Benedetto Casale, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 18, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—Hunt Lane, south side, 224.23 feet east of Buttonwood Road, Block 881, Lot 291, Todt-hill, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 17, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #758/79, reads:

"1. Street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the City of New York, therefore:

A. No C. of O. can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and,

B. Permit may not be issued since proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and therefore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

MINUTES

2. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 17, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #758/79, Objection No. 1 A and B and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 A and B is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 18, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

727-79-A

APPLICANT—Maxfield and Heywood Blaufeux for Wing Chim Lau and Carmen Lau, owners.

SUBJECT—Application June 19, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- provide means of egress accessible to individuals in wheelchairs.

PREMISES AFFECTED—548 Prospect Avenue and 1684/90 10th Avenue, southwest corner, Block 871, Lot 37, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Heywood Blaufeux.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 8, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #199/77, reads:

"1. Bldgs classified in Occupancy Group J2 must be provided with one primary entrance accessible to and usable by individuals in wheelchairs as per C26-601.1 Para. D of the Administrative Bldg. Code."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 8, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #199/77, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 19, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

728-79-A

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Village Carting Company Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 20, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—549 Midland Avenue, northwest corner of Freeborn Street, Block 3799, Lot 1, Midland Beach, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: 0
Not Voting: Chairman Fossella 1

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: 0
Not Voting: Chairman Fossella 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 9, 1979, on N.B. Applic. No. #436/79, reads:

"1. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 ft. of the property, is contrary to Section P110.2(c), RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 9, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #436/79, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 20, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

MINUTES

729-79-A

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Village Carting Company Incorporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 20, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—551 Midland Avenue, northeast corner of Freeborn Street, Block 3801, Lot 9, Midland Beach Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: 0
Not Voting: Chairman Fossella 1

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: 0
Not Voting: Chairman Fossella 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 9, 1979, on Alt. Applic. #13/79, reads:

"1. The proposed use of drywells for 100% disposal of storm water where no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible are located within 500 feet of the property, is contrary to Section P110.2(c), RS-16 of the Administrative Code."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 9, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #13/79, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the entire lot area, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 20, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

734-79-A

APPLICANT—William H. Ince for St. John Baptist Church, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 22, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—111-09 New York Boulevard, east side of Mathias Avenue, Block 10202, Lot 61, Jamaica, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: William Ince.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE:

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent dated May 30, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #379/78, reads:

"1. When no storm or combined storm sewers into which discharge of storm water is feasible are located within 500 ft. of property, 50% of runoff may be disposed of on-site; the remainder must be conveyed for disposal off-site, as per P110.2(c-5) (L.L. 7/74)."

and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 30, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #379/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); and *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 27, 1979", 2 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

739-79-A

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for Greh Corporation, owner, Stenack Construction Corporation, contract vendee.

SUBJECT—Application June 22, 1979—appeal from an administrative decision of the New York City Building Department for clarification and interpretation of zoning matter.

PREMISES AFFECTED—176-178 Broadway and 3-7 Maiden Lane, northeast corner Block 65, Lots 15 and 17, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sheldon Lobel and Alfred Menziuso, R.A.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

MINUTES

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 8, 1979, on Alt. Applic. #1200/78, reads:

"18) Proposed occupancy of 3rd and 4th story residential use creates an FAR exceeding 10, in a bldg. that was enlarged after 12/15/61, is contrary to Sec. 35-313 Z.R.

19) Provides 30 foot rear yard for residential bldg. more than 100 feet from corner as per Sec. 23-47 Z.R.

20) Provide 30 feet between required windows and lot lines as per Sec. 23-861 Z.R.

21) Provide proper yard to light and ventilate dwelling units as per Sec. 277(7) M.D.L."

and

WHEREAS, the application is to convert a conforming non-commercial building to a conforming noncomplying complying/mixed building with residential uses; and

WHEREAS, both uses do not have complying rear yards; and

WHEREAS, the converted residential portion of this building will be subject to the requirements of the State Multiple Dwelling Law, including yards and courts, therefore be it

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby interpret the Zoning Resolution so as to permit conversions of existing conforming noncomplying commercial buildings to conforming noncomplying mixed buildings with residential uses, when the residential portions of such buildings are subject to and comply with yard and court provisions of the State Multiple Dwelling Law,

Further be it resolved, that the amount of floor area used for commercial purposes shall be equal to or greater than the amount of floor area added to this building after December 15, 1961.

756-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Ray Longobardi, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 26, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re-proposed buildings not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—27 Rae Avenue, east side, 220.77 feet south of Amboy Road, Block 6424, Lot 78, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 5, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #606/76, reads:

"1. Street giving access to the proposed building is not placed on the official map of the city of New York, therefore:

a. No C. of O. can be issued as per Article 3, Section 36 of the General City Law and,

b. Permit may not be issued since proposed construction does not have at least 8% of the total perimeter of the building fronting directly upon a legally mapped street or frontage space and there-

fore contrary to Section C26-401.1 of the Administrative Code.

2. The proposed building represents more than one commencement within the last three years on a block which is developed with buildings on less than 75% of the lots and no public storm sewers into which discharge is feasible is located within 500 feet of the property. Therefore, at least 50% of storm water falling on the subject property must be conveyed for disposal off-site per Reference Standard RS-16, Section P110.2(c) (2) Administrative Building Code."

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 5, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #606/76, Objection Nos. 1 a and b and 2, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the volume of the dry wells be of sufficient capacity to contain 2 inches of water over the roof and paved area and 1 inch of water over the remainder of the lot, same to be verified by the Department of Buildings; that the volume of voids of selected gravel carefully placed under and to the sides of the dry wells may be used to satisfy the required retained volume as long as it represents not more than 25 percent of the volume required, a minimum of 75 percent of volume to be within the dry wells; that when a storm sewer is constructed in the street fronting this site, the structure then will connect to such sewer; that the dry wells will be maintained in a properly operating manner; that the dry wells are to be located so as to allow on-site runoff to occur as close as possible to the natural flow permitting the least disruption of trees and natural features; and that the requirements of Local Law #7/1974 are also waived as to storm water falling on the street on which this building fronts (refer to Corporation Counsel opinion 108,539, dated September 9, 1977); that Objection Number 1 a and b is *granted* under the powers vested in the Board under Section 36 of the General City Law; *on further condition* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received June 26, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

269-79-A

APPLICANT—Frances L. Weiss for 15 West 81st Street Tenants Corporation, owner Frances L. Weiss, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application March 12, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- refusal to revoke BN 1955-77, BN 3811-76 and BN 4791-77.

PREMISES AFFECTED—15 West 81st Street, north side, 250 feet west of Central Park West, Block 1195, Lot 18, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Lee and Samuel Weiss.

For Opposition: I. Nienken B.D. and Candice K. Beenecke.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING —

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 18, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

513-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for The Turn Verein In The City of New York, owner. Private Cabarets Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Interpretative from

MINUTES

the wrongful decision of the Department of Buildings dated April 6, 1979.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1251/59 Lexington Avenue, 146/52 East 85th Street, southeast corner of Lexington Avenue and East 85th Street, Block 1513, Lot 50, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: I. Minkin, Dept. of Buildings, Trudy Emanuel and Morton H. Delson, C.B. #8M.

For Administration: Jane B. Trichter, Council Member.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Hearing reopened and laid over to September 18, 1979, at 2 P.M., for deferred decision; hearing closed.

Adjourned: 6:05 P.M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

FILING FEES

FILING FEES Effective August 1, 1979 Int. No. 409

A LOCAL LAW to amend the administrative code of the city of New York in relation to the payment of fees in connection with the filing of applications with the Board of Standards and Appeals.

Be it enacted by the Council as follows:

Section 1. Section 666-1.0 of the administrative code of the city of New York is hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 666-1.0. Fees.—The fees hereinbelow set forth shall be charged for the following applications, appeals and reviews:

1. a. Application for approval of materials, appliances and methods or variances of construction	\$200.00
b. Application for amendment of prior approval of materials, appliances and methods of variances of construction	150.00
2. Application for any variance and special permit under the zoning resolution with respect to:	
a. One, two and three family dwellings ...	100.00
b. Other buildings and structures:	
(1) 10,000 square feet or less of floor area	200.00
(2) In excess of 10,000 but not more than 20,000 square feet of floor area	300.00
(3) In excess of 20,000 but not more than 50,000 square feet of floor area	400.00
(4) In excess of 50,000 square feet of floor area	500.00
(c) Junk yards, parking lots, automotive service stations and other similar uses:	
(1) 10,000 square feet or less of lot area	150.00
(2) In excess of 10,000 but not more than 20,000 square feet of lot area	250.00
(3) In excess of 20,000 square feet of lot area	350.00
d. Application for amendment of variance of special permit previously granted under paragraph a[b and c] of subdivision [2] two of this section	100.00
e. Application for amendment of variance or special permit previously granted under paragraph b or c of subdivision two of this section ..	150.00
[f] f. Application for an extension of time in which to comply with the conditions imposed in the previous resolution of the board of standards and appeals	100.00
[f] g. Application for extension of time to complete construction pursuant to section 11-32 and 11-33 of the zoning resolution of the [City] city of New York	100.00

h. Application for extension of the term of variance or special permit previously issued pursuant to subdivision two of this section:

(1) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to paragraph a of such subdivision	100.00
(2) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph one of paragraph b of such subdivision	200.00
(3) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph two of paragraph b of such subdivision	300.00
(4) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph three of paragraph b of such subdivision	400.00
(5) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph four of paragraph b of such subdivision	500.00
(6) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph one of paragraph c of such subdivision	150.00
(7) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph two of paragraph c of such subdivision	250.00
(8) For such variance or special permit issued pursuant to subparagraph three of paragraph c of such division	350.00

3. Appeals from or application for review of any order, requirement or determination of the commissioner of buildings or of any borough superintendent of buildings of the department of buildings or of the fire commissioner or any rule or regulation or amendment or repeal thereof made by the fire commissioner or the commissioner of marine and aviation

4. Application filed for variation of the provisions of the multiple dwelling law, or labor law, and application filed pursuant to the general city law

5. Exemptions—**a.** The provisions of this section shall not apply if the owner of the premises affected by the application, appeal or review, be a corporation, or association organized and operated exclusively for religious, charitable or educational purposes, or for one or more such purposes, no part of the net earnings of which enures to the benefit of any private individual and provided that the premises affected are to be used exclusively by such corporation or association for one or more such purposes.

b. The provisions of this section shall not apply if a municipal department or agency of the city is the applicant or appellant before the Board of Standards and Appeals.

§ 2. The local law shall take effect immediately.

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS
80 LAFAYETTE STREET
NEW YORK, N. Y. 10013

University of Illinois Library
Att: Serial Dept.
Urbana, Ill. 61801

BULK RATE
U. S. Postage
PAID
New York, N. Y.
Permit No. 4875

BULLETIN

OF THE

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

Issued under authority of Chapter 27, Section 665 of the Charter of the City of New York

Published weekly by the Board of Standards and Appeals at its office, 80 Lafayette Street, 9th Floor, Manhattan, New York, N. Y. 10013

Vol. LXIV Subscription \$25.00 a year September 20, 1979 By Mail, 65 cents (Cash only) Single Copies, 50 cents Nos. 31 thru 37

DIRECTORY

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

VITO J. FOSSELLA, P.E., M.C.E., Chairman

PHILIP P. AGUSTA, R.A., M.U.P., Vice Chairman

HARRY M. CARROLL, P.E.

JOHN J. WALSH, P.E.

JOHN B. CINCOTTA

STANLEY M. WOLF, R.A.

Commissioners.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, Executive Director

RICHARD B. ATWELL, Deputy Director

FRANCES M. WALDRON, Secretary

DARRELL L. GAVRIN, J.D., Counsel

AVRIL H. LATHAM, Chief Clerk

OFFICE—80 Lafayette Street, 9th Floor, Manhattan, New York, N. Y. 10013.

TELEPHONE—566-5174.

CONTENTS

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND PETITION SERVED ON THE BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

On August 14, 1979 an Order to Show Cause and Petition was served on the Board by Leonard F. Rothkrug attorney for the petitioner S. & D. Developing Corporation, seeking a review of the Board's denial of the application on July 24, 1979, based on a decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-2 district, the conversion of a portion of an existing one story building from retail stores and meeting room into a roller skating rink and meeting room. Calendar Number 903-78-BZ, premises affected 82-12 151st Avenue, southwest corner of 84th Street, Block 11429, Lot 1 (Formerly 1 and 33), Howard Beach, Borough of Queens.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND PETITION SERVED ON THE BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

On August 16, 1979 an Order to Show Cause and Petition was served on the Board by Goidel, Goidel & Helfenstein, P.C. Attorneys for the petitioner Martin S. Bernstein, seeking a review of the Board's denial of the application on July 17, 1979, based on a decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R2 district, the conversion of the second story of an existing two story residential building into medical offices. Calendar Number 337-79-BZ, premises affected, 2107 Avenue N, north side, 40 feet east of 21st Street, Block 7657, Lot 8, Borough of Brooklyn.

NOTICE OF PETITION AND PETITION SERVED ON THE BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

On August 16, 1979, a Notice of Petition and Petition was served on the Board by Arnold H. Fassler, attorney for the petitioner Dwojra Kemp, seeking a review of the denial of the application on July 24, 1979, based on a decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R1-2 district, the erection of a four story hotel that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, has less than the required open space ratio, penetrates the sky exposure plane and encroaches on the required front and side yards. Calendar Number 1083-78-BZ, premises affected 44-41 231st Street, northeast corner of 46th Avenue, Block 8164, Lot 1, Bayside, Borough of Queens.

Docket.

Notice of Hearing of Calendar.

Minutes of Regular Meeting, Tuesday Morning, September 11, 1979, Affecting Calendar Numbers—

191-46-BZ—	128-53-BZ	50-79-BZ
Vol. II	1290-66-BZ—	96-79-BZ
479-52-BZ	Vol. II	131-79-BZ
531-58-BZ	304-75-BZ	255-79-BZ
85-59-BZ	385-76-BZ	283-79-BZ
402-66-BZ	386-76-A	311-79-BZ
625-68-BZ	225-77-BZ	312-79-BZ
562-63-A	638-78-BZ	313-79-BZ
871-67-A	1136-78-BZ	314-79-BZ
183-72-A	1157-78-A	367-79-BZ
557-77-A	25-79-BZ	368-79-A

Minutes of Regular Meeting, Tuesday Afternoon, September 11, 1979, Affecting Calendar Numbers—

5-78-A	350-79-A	516-79-A
543-78-A	351-79-A	517-79-A
110-79-A	363-79-A	518-79-A
161-79-A	515-79-A	623-79-A

Correction Affecting Calendar Numbers—499-78-A, 853-78-A, 884-78-A, 1081-78-A

THE LIBRARY OF THE
OCT 20 1979
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

CALENDAR

DOCKET

New cases filed up to September 11, 1979

Cal. No. Dept. Premises

828-79-A—B.S.I.—217 Hett Avenue, west side, 100 feet south of Ebbits Street, Block 4074, Lot 148, New Dorp, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1649/78 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

829-79-A—B.S.I.—223 Hett Avenue, west side, 140 feet south of Ebbits Street, Block 4070, Lot 150, New Dorp, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1648/78 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

830-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 110, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1346/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street, General City Law.

831-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 112, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1347/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street, General City Law.

832-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 113, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1348/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street, General City Law.

833-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 115, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1349/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street, General City Law.

834-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 116, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1350/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street, General City Law.

835-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 118, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1351/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street, General City Law.

836-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 119, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1352/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street, General City Law.

837-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 121, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1353/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street, General City Law.

838-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 122, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1354/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street, General City Law.

839-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 124, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1355/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street, General City Law.

840-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 124, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1356/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street, General City Law.

841-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 127, Borough

of Staten Island. N.B. #1357/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

842-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 128, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1358/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

843-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 130, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1359/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

844-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 131, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1360/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

845-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 131, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1361/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

846-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 134, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1362/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

847-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 136, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1363/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

848-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 137, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1364/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

849-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 138, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1365/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

850-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 140, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1366/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

851-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 141, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1367/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

852-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 143, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1368/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

853-79-A—B.S.I.—Clove Loop, south side, 1545.13 feet west of Victory Boulevard, Block 327, Lot 144, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1369/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

854-79-SA—Automatic remote gauging of hazardous liquids in bulk storage tanks. Enraf-Nonius, owner.

855-79-A—B.S.I.—4333 Arthur Kill Road, west side of Winant Place, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #393/77 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street General City Law.

856-79-A—B.S.I.—132-20 South Conduit Avenue, Block 11886, Lot 10, Borough of Queens, advertising sign contrary to 42-53 Z.R.

CALENDAR

857-79-A—F.D.—packaging of combustible mixture known as “Country Peddler Lamp Oil”—Kaadan Ltd., owner.

858-79-A—F.D.—packaging of combustible mixture known as “Country Peddler Lamp Oil”—Kaadan Ltd., owner.

859-79-A—F.D.—packaging of combustible mixture known as “Victorian Lamp Oil”—Kaadan Ltd., owner.

860-79-A—F.D.—packaging of combustible mixture known as “Victorian Lamp Oil”—Kaadan Ltd., owner.

861-79-A—F.D.—packaging of combustible mixture known as “Orient Trader Lamp Oil”—Kaadan Ltd., owner.

862-79-A—F.D.—packaging of combustible mixture known as “Orient Trader Lamp Oil”. Kaddan Ltd., owner.

863-79-A—F.D.—packaging of combustible mixture known as “Antique Charm Lamp Oil”. Kaadan Ltd., owner.

864-79-A—F.D.—packaging of combustible mixture known as “Olde Village Lamp Oil”. Kaadan Ltd., owner.

865-79-A—F.D.—packaging of combustible mixture known as “Olde Village Lamp Oil”. Kaadan Ltd., owner.

866-79-A—B.Q.—265-17 Union Turnpike, north side, between 265th and 266th Streets, Block 8541, Lot 62, Borough of Queens. Alt. #338/79 re-proposed U. G. 9 in a C1-2 zone is contrary to 32-18 Z. R.

867-79-BZ—B.Q.—430 Kent Avenue, west side, 814.88 feet north of Division Street, Block 2134, Lot 48, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #1BK. Alt. #1274/78 re-proposed change of use from office and two family dwelling and school contrary to 42-10 Z. R.

868-79-A—B.M.—561 Broadway, southwest corner of Prince Street, Block 498, Lot 7, Borough of Manhattan. Alt. #32/79 re-proposed studio contrary to MDL.

869-79-A—B.S.I.—4288 Arthur Kill Road, east side, 281.41 feet south of Sharrotts Road, Block 7328, Lot 24, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1721/78 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

870-79-A—B.S.I.—4256 Arthur Kill Road, northwest corner of Sharrotts Road, Block 7314, Lot 1, Borough of Staten Island. Alt. #236/73 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

871-79-BZ—B.Q.—212-25 Jamaica Avenue, north side, 84.36 feet east of 212th Street, Borough of Queens. Community Board #13Q. Alt. #407/79 re-proposed conversion on 2nd floor from dwelling to dental laboratory.

872-79-BZ—B.B.—1315 Avenue O, north side, 60 feet west of East 14th Street, Block 6751, Lot 52, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #14BK. N.B. #71/77 re-proposed building, floor area, open space and rear yard. 23-142 and 23-47 Z. R.

873-79-A—B.B.—1315 Avenue O, north side, 60 feet west of East 14th Street, Block 6751, Lot 52, Borough of Brooklyn. N.B. #71/77 re-rear yard and wheel chair access to building C26-601.1(d) and Multiple Dwelling Law.

874-79-BZ—B.B.—1319 Avenue O, north side, 40 feet west of East 14th Street, Block 6751, Lot 51, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #14BK. N.B. #72/77 re-proposed building, floor area, open space and rear yard 23-142 and 23-47 Z.R.

875-79-A—B.B.—1319 Avenue O, north side, 40 feet west of East 14th Street, Block 6751, Lot 51, Borough of Brooklyn. N.B. #72/77 re-rear yard and wheel chair access to building C26-601.1(d) and Multiple Dwelling Law.

876-79-A—B.S.I.—31 Herrick Avenue, north side, 180 feet east of Gaynor Street, Block 7347, Lot 48, Princes Bay, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #441/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street and proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

877-79-A—B.S.I.—307 Shirley Avenue, north side, 227.01 feet east of Holdridge Avenue, Block 6367, Lot 48, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #736/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

878-79-A—B.S.I.—311 Shirley Avenue, north side, 187.01 feet east of Holdridge Avenue, Block 6367, Lot 50, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #735/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

879-79-A—B.S.I.—442 Vernon Avenue, south side, 445.09 feet west of Amboy Road, Block 6855, Lot 54, Princes Bay, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #812/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

880-79-BZ—B.S.I.—21 O’Gorman Avenue, north side, 100 feet east of Buffalo Street, Block 4970, Lot 34, Bay Terrace, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #3S.I. Alt. #186/79 re-floor ratio, open space and rear yard 23-141 and 23-47 Z.R.

881-79-A—B.S.I.—622 Sycamore Street, south side, 554.38 feet east of Barclay Avenue, Block 6382, Lot 34, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1079/79 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street and proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

882-79-BZ—B.B.—1564 57th Street, south side, 156 feet west of 16th Avenue, Block 5496, Lot 133, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK. N.B. #105 and 107/79 re-proposed two family dwelling, rear and front yard, 23-45 and 23-47 Z.R.

883-79-BZ—B.B.—1556 57th Street, south side, 208 feet west of 16th Avenue, Block 5496, Lot 30, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK. N.B. #105 and 107/79 re-proposed two family dwelling, rear and front yard, 23-45 and 23-47 Z.R.

884-79-BZ—B.B.—1560 57th Street, south side, 188 feet west of 16th Avenue, Block 5496, Lot 31, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK. N.B. #106/79 re-proposed two family dwelling, floor area, open space, front yard, rear yard, parking spaces, 23-141, 23-45, 23-47, 23-44 and 25-22 Z.R.

885-79-BZ—B.Bx.—3950/70 Laconia Avenue, southeast corner of East 225th Street, Block 4903, Lot 42, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #12BX. Alt. #336/77 re-skating rink, 32-15 Z.R.

886-79-BZ—B.S.I.—6300 Amboy Road, east side, 337 feet north of RR Right of Way, Block 7702, Lot 56, Borough

CALENDAR

of Staten Island. Community Board #3S.I. Alt. #246/79 re- proposed monument sales establishment and not located within a completely enclosed building, 32-16 and 32-411 Z.R.

887-79-A—B.S.I.—6300 Amboy Road, east side, 337 feet north of RR Right of Way, Block 7702, Lot 56, Borough of Staten Island. Alt. #246/78 re- proposed conversion of a frame II E residential structure to a commercial occupancy contrary to C26-403.1 Adm. Code.

888-79-BZ—B.S.I.—458 Ocean Terrace, south side, 954.36 feet west of Coverly Avenue, Block 839, Lot 143, Emerson Hill, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #2S.I. N.B. #2050/78 re- proposed building on lot less than 100 feet in width and side yards 23-32 and 23-461 Z.R.

889-79-BZ—B.M.—138-40 West 17th Street, south side, 257 feet 5 inches east of Seventh Avenue, Block 792, Lot 58, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M. Alt. #470/79 re- proposed change from lofts to general residence contrary to 42-00 Z.R.

890-79-A—B.M.—138-140 West 17th Street, south side, 257 feet 7 inches south of Seventh Avenue, Block 792, Lot 58, Borough of Manhattan. Alt. #470/79 re- proposed stary and shaft enclosure, windows in stairway, exit door and a second means of egress. Article 7-B, 6.4.1.2 C26-283.0 Adm. Code.

891-79-A—B.S.I. 328 Finley Avenue, east side, 100 feet south of Tysens Lane, Block 4130, Lot 670, New Dorp Beach, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1550/79 re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

892-79-A—B.S.I.—330 Finley Avenue, east side, 124 feet south of Tysens Lane, Block 4130, Lot 669, New Dorp Beach, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1551/79 re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

893-79-A—B.S.I.—334 Finley Avenue, east side, 148 feet south of Tysens Lane, Block 4130, Lot 668, New Dorp Beach, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1552/79 re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

894-79-A—B.S.I.—336 Finley Avenue, east side, 172 feet south of Tysens Lane, Block 4130, Lot 666, New Dorp Beach, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1553/79 re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

895-79-A—B.S.I.—291 Roma Avenue, southwest corner of Navesink Place, Block 4074, Lot 20, New Dorp, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1780/78 re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

896-79-BZ—B.S.I.—2357 Coney Island Avenue, east side, 351 feet 2¼ inches south of Avenue T, Block 7315, Lot 65, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #15BK. Alt. #398/79 re- proposed change in occupancy from store and 2 family to a wholesale establishment contrary to 52-34 and 22-00 Z.R.

897-79-A—B.Q.—145-15 to 145-69 156th Street and 145-30 157th Street, northeast corner, Block 10511, Lots 6, 40, 45, 50, 52, 53, and 54, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens. Alt. #1101/76 re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

898-79-BZ—B.S.I.—4200 Hylan Boulevard, southwest corner of Armstrong Avenue, Block 5315, Lot 7, Great Kills, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board

#3S.I. Alt. #11/79 re- front yard, portion of first floor from residential to commercial and front yard. 54-31 and 22-10 Z.R.

899-79-A—B.S.I.—4200 Hylan Boulevard, southwest corner of Armstrong Avenue, Block 5315, Lot 7, Great Kills, Borough of Staten Island. Alt. #11/79 re- proposed increase in area and exits contrary to C26-403.3 and C26-603.2 and C26-601.1(a) Adm. Code.

900-79-BZ—B.M.—430-432 East 80th Street, south side, 125 feet west of York Avenue, Block 1559, Lot 31, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M. Alt. #98/79 re- floor area, open space ratio, lot area and windows. Contrary to 54-31 Z.R.

901-79-A—B.M.—430-432 East 80th Street, south side, 125 feet west of York Avenue, Block 1559, Lot 31, Borough of Manhattan. Alt. #98/79 re- yards and ventilate. Multiple Dwelling Law.

902-79-BZ—B.M.—114-120 West 29th Street, south side, 190 feet west of Avenue of the Americas, Block 804, Lots 49, 50 and 52, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M. Alt. #325/326/327 re- bulk or parking regulations, and proposed change of use from manufacturing to residential use—contrary to 42-10 and 42-00 Z.R.

903-79-SA—Firesystem 1600. Protectowire Company, owner.

904-79-A—Brooklyn Union Gas Company—Administrative Appeal of Rules and Regulations Relating to the Installation of Vent Damper Devices in Existing Boilers and Furnaces promulgated by the Building Department Commissioner on July 2, 1979.

905-79-BZ—B.M.—107 West 25th Street, 100 feet of Avenue of the Americas, Block 801, Lot 30, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M. Alt. #1162/77 re- proposed residence building (U.G. 2) no bulk or parking regulations—contrary to 42-00 Z.R.

906-79-A—B.S.I.—145 Connecticut Street, east side, 200 feet north of Hylan Boulevard, Block 7928, Lot 67, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1468/79 re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

907-79-A—B.S.I.—139 Connecticut Street, east side, 260 feet north Hylan Boulevard, Block 7928, Lot 79, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1469/79 re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

908-79-A—B.S.I.—133 Connecticut Street, east side, 320 feet north of Hylan Boulevard, Block 7928, Lot 73, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1470/79 re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law.

909-79-BZ—B.Bx.—307 West 259th Street, north side, 120 feet east of Fieldston Road, Block 5867, (3423) Lot 424, Borough of The Bronx. Alt. #93/79 re- proposed side yard extension in rear of a basement plus three dwelling is Cont. to Secs. 23-461 Z.R. 23-141 Z.R., and 23-222 Z.R.

910-79-A—B.Q.—221-21 Corbett Road, north side, 556 feet north of 37th Avenue, Block 6185, Lot 1, Bayside, Borough of Queens. N.B. #60/78 re- proposed School Building, Permit #1519/79 was issued July 3, 1979 to Goradia Construction Corporation 7-28 Point Crescent, Malba, N. Y. 11357.

CALENDAR

911-79-BZ—B.M.—9 Jones Street, north side, 94.3 feet west of West 4th Street, Block 590, Lot 77, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M. Alt. #679/78 re-proposed enlargement rear yard windows lot lines contrary to Secs. 54-31 Z.R., 23-47 Z.R. and 23-861 Z.R.

912-79-A—B.Q.—149-18 New York Boulevard, north-west corner of 149th Street, Block 13383, Lot 72, Borough of Queens. N.B. #128/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

913-79-A—B.S.I.—521 Rathbun Avenue, north side, 100 feet east of Nippon Avenue, Block 6314, Lot 63, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #766/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

914-79-A—B.S.I.—15 Morris Street, north side, 100 feet east of Rossville Avenue, Block 6166, Lot 174, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1017/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

915-79-A—B.S.I.—21 Morris Street, north side, 178.52 feet east of Rossville Avenue, Block 6166, Lot 170, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1018/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

916-79-A—B.S.I.—29 Morris Street, north side, 254 feet east of Rossville Avenue, Block 6166, Lot 166, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1019/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

917-79 —B.S.I.—37 Morris Street, north side, 353.84 feet east of Rossville Avenue, Block 6166, Lot 162, Rossville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1020/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

918-79-SA—DMS 2400-3 Building Automation, Fire Alarm, Manufactured by Robershaw Controls Company, Division of Controls Systems Division.

919-79-SA—Recom Series, FMS-500 Fire Alarm, Manufactured by Robertshaw Controls Company, Division of Controls Systems Division.

920-79-BZ—B.Q.—47-10 Laurel Hill Boulevard, south-east corner of 47th Street, Block 2305, Lot 22, Borough of Queens. Community Board #2Q. N.B. #649/79 re-proposed Advertising sign. Contrary to Sec. 22-30 Z.R.

921-79-A—B.M.—558 West 34th Street, south side, 15 feet east of 11th Avenue, Block 705, Lot 68, Borough of Manhattan re-proposed Modification of Certificate of Occupancy #24874.

922-79-BZ—B.B.—4802/4820 New Utrecht Avenue, south-west corner of 48th Street, Block 5633, Lots 23, 28, 30, 31, 32 and 33, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK. Alt. #196/79 re-proposed alteration to convert and combine the first and second floor of the building, into establishment, in this case a variety store, on the first floor, increases degree of non-compliance of the building. Cont. Secs. 32-15 Z.R. and 54-31 Z.R.

923-79-BZ—B.B.—8404 Flatlands Avenue, south side, 20 feet east of 84th Street, Block 8021, Lot 37, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #18BK. Alt. #625/79 re-proposed plumbing contractor's establishment use group 7B, including loading and unloading, overnight parking

of two small van trucks, Contrary to Sec. 22-00 Z.R., Curb cut Cont. to Sec. C26-712.2(c) B.C.

924-79-A—B.B.—8404 Flatlands Avenue, south side, 20 feet east of 84th Street, Block 8021, Lot 37, Borough of Brooklyn. Alt. #625/79 re-proposed curb cut on E. 84th Street is Contrary to C26-712.2 (C) Building Code.

925-79-SA—FXW-1A—Smoke Detectors, manufactured by Firex Corporation.

926-79-A—B.M.—100 Gold Street, southeast corner of Frankfort Street, Borough of Manhattan. Alt. #837/74 re-proposed building height and occupied as offices. Contrary to Secs. C26-1704.5(F), C26-1704.8, C26-504.15, C-26-504.1 (C) and C26-1800.8.

927-79-SA—B900 and B1000 Fireplaces, Models B938, B943, B1038 and B1043, manufactured by Superior Fireplace Company, Division of Mobex Corporation.

928-79-BZ—B.Q.—71-05 Myrtle Avenue, northwest corner of 71st Place, Block 3692, Lot 1, Glendale, Borough of Queens. Community Board #5Q. Alt. #756/79 re-proposed one story enlargement to a Non-Conforming Automotive service station, approval granted by Board under Cal. 442-62-BZ, Contrary to Secs. 32-25 Z.R. and 52-22 Z.R.

929-79-A—B.S.I.—1510 Arden Avenue, southwest corner of Koch Boulevard, Block 5400, Lot 15, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #69/78 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

930-79-A—B.S.I.—1520 Arden Avenue, northwest corner of Jansen Street, Block 5400, Lot 20, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. Alt. #70/78 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

931-79-A—B.S.I.—236 Koch Boulevard, south side, 102.09 feet west of Arden Avenue, Block 5400, Lot 10, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #71/78 re-proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street. General City Law, proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

932-79-A—B.S.I.—246 Koch Boulevard, south side, 95 feet east of Harold Avenue, Block 5400, Lot 6, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #72/78 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

933-79-A—B.S.I.—256 Koch Boulevard, southeast corner of Harold Avenue, Block 5400, Lot 1, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #73/78 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3, of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

934-79-A—B.S.I.—15 Jansen Street, north side, 100 feet west of Arden Avenue, Block 5400, Lot 25, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #74/78 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

935-79-A—B.S.I.—27 Jansen Street, north side, 94.40 feet east of Harold Avenue, Block 5400, Lot 30, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #75/78 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

CALENDAR

936-79-A—B.S.I.—Whitwell Place, southwest corner of Woodhaven Avenue, Block 881, Lot 200, Todt Hill, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1048/79 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

937-79-A—B.S.I.—Whitwell Avenue, south side, 235 feet east of Todt Hill Road, Block 881, Lot 166, Todt Hill, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1049/79 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

938-79-BZ—B.Q.—66-60 80th Street, west side, 205.7½ feet north of Metropolitan Avenue, Block 3070, Lots 68 and 72, Middle Village, Borough of Queens. Community Board #5Q. N.B. #179/79 re-proposed utilize the Special optional regulations, heights, floor area ratio, open space ratio, open parking spaces and driveway, front wall facing penetrates the sky-exposure plane. Contrary to Secs. 23-691 Z.R., 23-141 Z.R., 36-54 Z.R., and 33-441 Z.R.

939-79-BZ—B.B.—20 Schermerhorn Street, south side 175 feet east Clinton Street, Block 270, Lot 36, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #2BK. Alt. #755/79 re-proposed enlargement in floor area ratio, open space ratio, and rear yard contrary to Secs. 23-142 Z.R., 23-223 Z.R. and 23-47 Z.R.

940-79-BZ—B.B.—20 Schermerhorn Street, south side 175 feet east of Clinton Street, Block 270, Lot 36, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #2BK. Alt. #755/79 re-proposed enlargement exceeds the maximum permitted increases in area of 25% for each floor and is contrary to Sec. 171 of the Multiple Dwelling Law (Lwb.2Floor).

941-79-A—B.S.I.—566 Pendale Street, south side, 191 feet east of Malone Avenue, Block 4726, Lot 21, Oakwood, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1290/79 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law.

942-79-A—B.S.I.—569 Pendale Street, north side, 147 feet east of Malone Avenue, Block 4721, Lot 58, Oakwood, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1291/79 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law.

943-79-A—B.S.I.—570 Pendale Street, south side, 150 feet east of Malone Avenue, Block 4726, Lot 18, Oakwood, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1292/79 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law.

944-79-A—B.S.I.—575 Pendale Street, 100 feet east Malone Avenue, Block 4721, Lot 60, Oakwood, Borough of Staten Island, N.B. #1293/79 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law.

945-79-A—B.S.I.—Hunt Lane, northwest corner of Woodhaven Avenue, Block 881, Lot 208, Todt Hill, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1047/79 re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

946-79-BZ—B.B.—583/93 6th Avenue, east side, 93.8 feet south of 16th Street, Block 1054, Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 115, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #7BK. Alt. #665/79 re-proposed public parking of more than (5) motor vehicles and storage. Contrary to Sec. 22-00 Z.R.

947-79-BZ—B.S.I.—464 Drumgoole Road West, west side, 90 feet north of Dorval Avenue, Block 5651, Lot 71, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #3S.I. N.B. #882/78 re-proposed revised to be submitted with an amendment, site plan and space than three story building with less 15% sideyard. Contrary to Sec. 107-462 Z.R.

948-79-BZ—B.S.I.—466 Drumgoole Road West, west side, 90 feet north of Dorval Avenue, Block 5651, Lot 72, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #3S.I. N.B. #883/78 re-proposed revised to be submitted with an amendment, site plans and space than three story building with less 15% sideyard. Contrary to Sec. 107-462 Z.R.

949-79-BZ—B.S.I.—470 Drumgoole Road West, west side, 90 feet north of Dorval Avenue, Block 5651, Lot 74, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #3S.I. N.B. #884/78 re-proposed revised to be submitted with an amendment, site plans and space than three story building with less 15% sideyard. Contrary to Sec. 107-462 Z.R.

950-79-BZ—B.S.I.—472 Drumgoole Road West, west side, 90 feet north of Dorval Avenue, Block 5651, Lot 75, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #3S.I. N.B. #885/78 re-proposed revised to be submitted with an amendment, site plans and space than three story building with less 15% sideyard. Contrary to Sec. 107-462 Z.R.

951-79-BZ—B.B.—5911/23 8th Avenue, northeast corner of 60th Street, Block 5707, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK. Alt. #1679/73 re-proposed use consisting of gymnasium (raquetball), use group 9, in a C1-3 in R6 district is Contrary to Sec. 32-18 Z.R.

952-79-BZ—B.B.—94-96 Avenue U, south side, 60 feet east of West 10th Street, Block 7117, Lot 4, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #15BK. Alt. #925/79 re-proposed change of use from a banquet hall and restaurant, to eating and drinking establishment without restriction on entertainment or dancing, with accessory office and storage, Contrary to Sec. 32-21 Z.R.

953-79-BZ—B.M.—625 Ninth Avenue, west side, 20.1 feet north of West 44th Street, Block 1054, Lot 30, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M. Alt. #834/79 re-proposed beauty parlor on the second story of the building. Contrary to Sec. 32-421 Z.R.

954-79-A—B.S.I.—84 Reading Avenue, south side, 220 feet west of Wainwright Avenue, Block 5643, Lot 111, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1039/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

955-79-A—B.S.I.—671 Leverett Avenue, north side, 160 feet west of Richmond Avenue, Block 5609, Lot 15, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1041/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

956-79-A—B.S.I.—677 Leverett Avenue, north side, 240 feet east of Wainwright Avenue, Block 5609, Lot 20, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1042/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

957-79-A—B.S.I.—683 Leverett Avenue, north side, 180 feet east of Wainwright Avenue, Block 5609, Lot 22, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1043/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

CALENDAR

958-79-A—B.S.I.—695 Leverett Avenue, north side, 50 feet east of Wainwright Avenue, Block 5609, Lot 28, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1044/79 re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

959-79-A—B.S.I.—701 Leverett Avenue, northeast corner of Wainwright Avenue, Block 5609, Lot 31, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1045/79 re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

960-79-BZ—B.Q.—156-01/29 Cross Bay Boulevard, southeast corner of 156th Avenue, Blocks 14148 and 14149, Lot 1, Howard Beach, Borough of Queens. Community Board #10Q. N.B. #167/79 re- proposed use of parking requirements contrary to Secs. 22-00 Z.R. and 36-21 Z.R.

961-79-A—B.Q.—156-01/29 Cross Bay Boulevard, southeast corner of 156th Avenue, Blocks 14148, 14149, Lot 1, Howard Beach, Borough of Queens. N.B. #167/79 re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law.

962-79-SM—Corrugated Plate Separator Model #600.25, 600.5, 601, 602, manufactured by Dart Industries, Incorporated, Division of ERC/Lancy.

963-79-A—B.S.I.—203 Kinghorn Street, northwest corner of Harold Street, Block 6361, Lot 30, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1613/79 re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

964-79-A—B.S.I.—211 Kinghorn Street, north side, 70.06 feet west of Harold Street, Block 6361, Lot 25, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1614/79 re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

965-79-A—B.S.I.—219 Kinghorn Street, north side, 140.06 feet west of Harold Street, Block 6361, Lot 20, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1615/79 re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36 Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

966-79-A—B.S.I.—227 Kinghorn Street, north side, 215.06 feet west of Harold Street, Block 6361, Lot 14, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1616/79 re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

967-79-A—B.S.I.—235 Kinghorn Street, north side, 290.06 feet west of Harold Street, Block 6361, Lot 11, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1617/79 re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

968-79-A—B.S.I.—241 Kinghorn Street, north side, 360.06 feet west of Harold Street, Block 6361, Lot 6, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1618/79 re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

969-79-A—B.S.I.—358 Harold Street, west side, 100 feet north of Kinghorn Street, Block 6361, Lot 34, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1619/79 re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36,

Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

970-79-A—B.S.I.—19 Luna Circle, northeast corner of Luna Circle, Block 6364, Lot 87, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1620/79 re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

971-79-A—B.S.I.—315 Kinghorn Street, north side, 210.50 feet west of Luna Circle, Block 6364, Lot 145, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1621/79 re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

972-79-A—B.S.I.—20 Tyndale Street, south side, 115.72 feet west of Luna Circle and Kinghorn Street, Block 6364, Lot 157, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1622/79 re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

973-79-A—B.S.I.—14 Luna Circle, southwest corner of Kinghorn Street, Block 6364, Lot 136, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1623/79 re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

974-79-A—B.S.I.—52 Revenna Street, southwest corner of Holdridge Avenue, Block 6364, Lot 110, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1624/79 re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

975-79-A—B.S.I.—44 Revenna Street, south side, 100 feet west of Holdridge Street, Block 6364, Lot 130, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1625/79 re- proposed building not fronting on legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

976-79-A—B.S.I.—275 Kinghorn Avenue, north side, 100 feet west of Holdridge Avenue, Block 6364, Lot 125, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1626/79 re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

977-79-A—B.S.I.—265 Kinghorn Street, northwest corner of Holdridge Avenue, Block 6364, Lot 120, Annadale, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1627/79 re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

978-79-SM—Foamular Polystyrene Insulation Sheathing, manufactured by UC Industries United States Gypsum Company.

979-79-BZ—B.S.I.—1310 Richmond Avenue, northwest corner of Goethals Road North, Block 1644, Lot 87, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #1S.I. N.B. #1283/79 re- proposed construction of a retail store, bulk, parking or loading regulations for a commercial building located in an R3-2 zoning dist. Contrary to Sec. 22-10 Z.R.

980-79-BZ—B.S.I.—401 Seaview Avenue, northwest corner of Mason Avenue, Block 3379, Lot 1, Dougan Hills, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #2S.I. N.B. #1776/79 re- proposed construction of a

CALENDAR

community facility building with no front and side yards. Contrary to Sec. 24-34 Z.R.

981-79-BZ—B.B.—203 Hewes Street, north side, 167.4 feet east of Lee Avenue, Block 2206, Lot 56, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #1BK. Alt. #610/79 re-proposed extension of a three family Multiple Dwelling without 30 foot yard, creates a non-compliance as to F.A.R. and O.S.R. Contrary to Secs. 23-47 Z.R., 23-142 Z.R.

982-79-A—B.B.—203 Hewes Street, north side, 167.4 feet east of Lee Avenue, Block 2206, Lot 26, Borough of Brooklyn. Alt. #610/79 re-proposed extension exceeds by more than 25% the area which dwelling has on any floor at the time conversion is Cont. to Sec. 171-2 of the Multiple Dwelling Law.

983-79-BZ—B.M.—114-116 27th Street, south side, 200 feet west of Avenue of the Americas, Block 802, Lot 52, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M. Alt. #566/79 re-proposed joint work-living quarters for artists, bulk regulations, front apartments all floors does not have access to 2nd means of egress. Contrary to Secs. 42-14 Z.R., 277(9)(b) of the Multiple Dwelling Law.

984-79-A—F.D.—404-412 Park Avenue, northwest corner of East 28th Street, Block 858, Lot 36, Borough of Manhattan. Fire Department letter dated August 22, 1979 for Quick Response Elevator Service denied.

985-79-BZ—B.Q.—166-17 73rd Avenue, northwest corner of 167th Street, Block 6954, Lot 55, Borough of Queens. Community Board #8Q. Alt. #532/79 re-proposed extension with less than a 15-0 front yard from the 167th Street line, Contrary to Sec. 23-45 Z.R.

986-79-BZ—B.M.—40 East 21st Street, south side, 250 feet west of Park Avenue, Block 849, Lot 51, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M. Alt. #163/79 re-proposed change of use from manufacturing, U.G. 17 to residential, U.G. 2 in M1-5 zone Cont. Sec. 42-10 Z.R.

987-79-BZ—B.M.—213 West 76th Street, north side, 83 feet east of Broadway, Block 1168, Lot 22, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #7M. Alt. #368/79 re-proposed conversion of floors 15th thru 23rd and penthouse to class "A" apartments (UG2) is contrary to Sec. 54-31 Z.R. since it creates a non-compliance in LA/RM.

988-79-BZ—B.B.—394-6 Gates Avenue, south side, 25 feet east of Nostrand Avenue, Block 1813, Lots 8, 9 and 10, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #3BK. Alt. #272/79 re-proposed extension of a non-conforming funeral establishment in use group 7 in a C1-3 Dist. mapped within an R6 Dist. contrary to Sec. 52-43 Z.R.

989-79-A—Secure Plex Model #1000-S, 1000-C, 1000-M, 1000-P, manufactured by All Fire Protection, Incorporated.

990-79-A—B.Q.—144-30 157th Street, west side, 95 feet north of 145th Avenue, Block 15009, Lot 6, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens. N.B. #161/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

991-79-A—F.D.—Packaging of combustible mixture known as "Armstrong S-139 Tile-on Adhesive", manufactured by Armstrong Cork Company.

992-79-A—F.D.—Packaging of combustible mixture known as "Armstrong S-139 Tile-on Adhesive", manufactured by Armstrong Cork Company.

993-79-A—F.D.—Packaging of combustible mixture known as "Armstrong S-750 Tile Adhesive", manufactured by Armstrong Cork Company.

994-79-A—F.D.—Packaging of combustible mixture known as "Armstrong S-750 Tile Adhesive", manufactured by Armstrong Cork Company.

995-79-A—F.D.—Packaging of combustible mixture known as "Armstrong S-235 Multipurpose Adhesive", manufactured by Armstrong Cork Company.

996-79-A—F.D.—Packaging of combustible mixture known as "Armstrong S-235 Multipurpose Adhesive" manufactured by Armstrong Cork Company.

997-79-A—F.D.—Packaging of combustible mixture known as "Dab Typing Correction Fluid" manufactured by Eberhard Faber.

998-79-BZ—B.M.—82-88 Fulton Street, northeast corner of Gold Street, Block 77, Lots 24, 25, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #1M. Alt. #343/78 re-proposed inclusion of physical culture establishment, Contrary to Sec. 32-31 Z.R.

999-79-BZ—B.M.—684 Broadway, northeast corner of Great Jones Street, Block 531, Lot 1, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M. Alt. #919/78 re-proposed construction above the existing roof increases, building exceeding lot coverage with frontage along Broadway is Contrary to Secs. 54-31 Z.R. and 42-14 Z.R.

1000-79-A—B.S.I.—724 Collfield Avenue, east side, 90 feet south of Westwood Avenue, Block 794, Lot 71, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #651/78 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1001-79-A—B.S.I.—300 Main Street, west side, 380 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7915, Lot 29, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1451/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1002-79-A—B.S.I.—316 Main Street, west side, 220 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7915, Lot 36, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1452/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1003-79-A—B.S.I.—322 Main Street, west side, 160 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7915, Lot 39, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1453/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1004-79-A—B.S.I.—328 Main Street, west side, 100 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7915, Lot 42, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1454/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1005-79-A—B.S.I.—336 Main Street, northwest corner of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7915, Lot 46, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1455/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1006-79-A—B.S.I.—274 Main Street, west side, 108.88 feet south of Amboy Road, Block 7915, Lot 13, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1456/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

CALENDAR

1007-79-A—B.S.I.—57 Swinnerton Street, east side, 253.34 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7915, Lot 65, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1457/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1008-79-A—B.S.I.—61 Swinnerton Street, east side, 206.67 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7915, Lot 63, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1458/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1009-79-A—B.S.I.—67 Swinnerton Street, east side, 160 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7915, Lot 60, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1459/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1010-79-A—B.S.I.—44 Swinnerton Street, west side, 350 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7916, Lot 26, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1460/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1011-79-A—B.S.I.—52 Swinnerton Street, west side, 280 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7916, Lot 34, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1461/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1012-79-A—B.S.I.—60 Swinnerton Street, west side, 210 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7916, Lot 36, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1462/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1013-79-A—B.S.I.—68 Swinnerton Street, west side, 140 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7916, Lot 40, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1463/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1014-79-A—B.S.I.—76 Swinnerton Street, west side, 70 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7916, Lot 42, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1464/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1015-79-A—B.S.I.—84 Swinnerton Street, northwest corner of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7916, Lot 45, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1465/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1016-79-A—B.S.I.—36 Swinnerton Street, west side, 317.03 feet south of Amboy Road, Block 7916, Lot 23, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1466/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1017-79-A—B.S.I.—58 St. George Road, southwest corner of Lawn Avenue, Block 2242, Lot 30, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1218/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1018-79-A—B.S.I.—66 St. George Road, south side, 113.03 feet west of Lawn Avenue, Block 2242, Lot 25, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1219/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1019-79-A—B.S.I.—74 St. George Road, south side, 136.56 feet east of McCully Avenue, Block 2242, Lot 17, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1220/79

re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1020-79-A—B.S.I.—81 Lawn Avenue, northeast corner of McCully Avenue, Block 2242, Lot 1, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1221/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1021-79-A—B.S.I.—71 McCully Avenue, east side, 64 feet north of Lawn Avenue, Block 2242, Lot 5, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1222/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1022-79-A—B.S.I.—84 St. George Road, southeast corner of McCully Avenue, Block 2242, Lot 8, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1223/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1023-79-BZ—B.B.—4802 12th Avenue, southwest corner of 48th Street, Block 5633, Lot 68, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK. Alt. #1057/78 re-proposed balconies are not permitted obstructions in open space, rear yard, in courts. Contrary to Secs. 23-13 Z.R., 23-12 Z.R., 23-44 Z.R. and 23-87 Z.R.

1024-79-A—B.B.—4802 12th Avenue, southwest corner of 48th Street, Block 5633, Lot 68, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK. Alt. #1057/78 re-proposed balconies projecting more than 22 feet beyond the street line Contrary to C26-408.1, and A-3 of Building Code.

1025-79-A—B.Q.—14-30 131st Street, west side, 92.71 feet north of 15th Avenue, Block 4098, Lot 45, Whitestone, Borough of Queens. Alt. #95/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1026-79-A—B.Q.—14-32 131st Street, west side, 71.25 feet north of 15th Avenue, Block 4098, Lot 46, Whitestone, Borough of Queens. Alt. #96/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1027-79-A—B.Q.—14-34 131st Street, west side, 53.25 feet north of 15th Avenue, Block 4098, Lot 47, Whitestone, Borough of Queens. Alt. #97/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1028-79-A—B.Q.—14-36 131st Street, west side, 31.79 feet north of 15th Avenue, Block 4098, Lot 48, Whitestone, Borough of Queens. Alt. #98/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1029-79-A—B.Q.—14-38 131st Street, northwest corner of 15th Avenue, Block 4098, Lot 49, Whitestone, Borough of Queens. Alt. #99/79 re-proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1030-79-BZ—B.B.—162 Columbia Heights, west side, 920 feet north of Remsen Street, Block 208, Lot 337, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #2BK. Alt. #1579/74 re-proposed balconies at rear of 3rd and 4th floor of the building, obstruction in open space, Contrary to Sec. 23-13 Z.R.

DESIGNATIONS: D—Department of Buildings; B.B.—Department of Buildings, Brooklyn; B.M.—Department of Buildings, Manhattan; B.Q.—Department of Buildings, Queens; B.S.I.—Department of Buildings, Staten Island; B.Bx.—Department of Buildings, Bronx; H.D.—Health Department; F.D.—Fire Department.

CALENDAR

The following one case has been inadvertently omitted from the September 18, 1979, 10:00 A.M. Calendar.

SEPTEMBER 18, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, *Tuesday morning, September 18, 1979*, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

1112-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Philip Ressa, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for applicant to properly notify all property owners within the affected area—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R3-2 district, the erection of a two story and cellar office building with accessory parking in the open area.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Victory Boulevard, south side, 100 feet east of Canterbury Avenue, Block 2085, Lot 14-T, Borough of Staten Island.

The following one case has been inadvertently omitted from the October 2, 1979, 2 P.M. Calendar.

OCTOBER 2, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, *Tuesday afternoon, October 2, 1979*, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

642-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Richmond Cat Association, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—4234 Arthur Kill Road, southeast corner of Storer Avenue, Block 7314, Lot 10, Woodrow, Borough of Staten Island.

OCTOBER 16, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, *Tuesday morning, October 16, 1979*, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

927-64-BZ

APPLICANT—Frederick A. Ketcher for Marichal-Agosto Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires December 10, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 11-412 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a R7-2 district, the enlargement in area of an existing tropical products establishment previously before the Board to include the adjoining lot for accessory parking.

PREMISES AFFECTED—80-84 East 111th Street, south side, 114 feet west of Park Avenue, Block 1616, Lot 42, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #11M.

582-58-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Richard Corbisiero, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired June 25, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the premises to be occupied as a parking lot solely for the employees and patrons of the restaurant located on Block 876, Lot 12 (Riccardio's).

PREMISES AFFECTED—21-12 to 21-20 (21-16 official) 24th Avenue, south side, 87.95 feet west of 23rd Street and 24-09 21st Street and 21-07 24th Road, Block 874, Lot 70, Astoria, Borough of Queens. Community Board #1Q.

178-41-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—Alfred Laufer for Exxon Company, U.S.A., owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and extension of term of variance which expires November 9, 1980—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7f and 7i of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a retail use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline service station, lubritorium, car washing and office.

PREMISES AFFECTED—8-16 Reid Avenue and 1097-1101 DeKa'b Avenue, northwest corner, Block 1599, Lot 40, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #3BK.

780-53-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Pauline Kurfist, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and extension of term of variance which expired July 14, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the parking and storage of new and used motor vehicles waiting to be serviced in adjoining building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—34-58 to 34-66 (34-60 official) 60th Street, west side, 100 feet north of 37th Avenue, Block 1198, Lot 54, Long Island City, Borough of Queens. Community Board #2Q.

67-59-BZ

APPLICANT—Frederick A. Ketcher for Mrs. Nancy Turner, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term and variance which expired December 15, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the maintenance of a parking lot for the parking and storage of more than (5) motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—134-140 Hillside Avenue, north side, 145 feet west of Sickles Street, Block 2173, Lot 41, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #12M.

197-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Stephen B. Jacobs for Estate of Robert Steinberg, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired July 11, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution,

CALENDAR

permitting in a C8-4 district, the conversion of a warehouse into a multiple dwelling with a store on the cellar level.

PREMISES AFFECTED—131-133 Perry Street, north side, 117 feet west of Greenwich Street, Block 633, Lot 28, Borough of Manhattan.

304-75-BZ

APPLICANT—Burton Flax for Estate of William H. Flax, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, reopening for extension of time to complete which expired November 22, 1978 and for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story and mezzanine building for use as a warehouse with accessory offices.

PREMISES AFFECTED—146-86 New York Boulevard, southwest corner of 146th Drive, Block 13307, Lots 91, 100, 104 and 113, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens.

797-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella for Hurley and Farinella for Lab Tem, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to withdraw the application of July 12, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution to permit in a C6-7T and C5 district, the conversion of an existing 15 story, the conversion of all floors above the fourth floor from offices into a multiple dwelling that creates non-compliance within the rear yard, rear setback and minimum distance between windows and lot lines.

Street, southeast corner, Block 994, Lot 54, Borough of
PREMISES AFFECTED—1466 Broadway, 152 West 42nd Manhattan.

ZONING CASES

78-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for John Carneglia d/b/a Fountain Auto Sales, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 30, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the enlargement in area of an existing automobile wrecking and dismantling establishment previously before the Board into the adjoining plot.

PREMISES AFFECTED—671 Fountain Avenue, northeast corner of Wortman Avenue, Block 4527, Lots 94 and 110, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #5BK.

169-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for 113 Jane Street, Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 21, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, on a plot with a five story and two story structure the conversion of the second through fifth floors from factory into apartments.

PREMISES AFFECTED—341-345 West 37th Street, west side, 225 feet east of Ninth Avenue, Block 761, Lot 10, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

348-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Claude's Auto Repair, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 5, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 11-411, 11-413, and 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R5 district, at an existing automotive service station with accessory uses previously before the Board, the enlargement in area extension in term of the approval and the change in use into an automobile repair shop.

PREMISES AFFECTED—129-10 94th Avenue, south side, 52.51 feet east of 129th Street, Block 9445, Lot 23, Richmond Hill, Borough of Queens. Community Board #9Q.

581-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for William Bastardi and d/b/a B. and B. Auto Parts Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R5 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing automobile supply store and machine shop establishment, previously before the Board.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1255 East 180th Street, north side, 116.98 feet west of Van Nest Avenue, Block 4015, Lot 38, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #11BX.

634-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Parish House Partners, Contract Vendee. Lessee.

SUBJECT—Application June 8, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-8 (R9) and R8 district, the construction of additional stories on an existing school and the conversion into nine story multiple dwelling that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio, lot area per room penetration of the sky exposure plane and encroachment into the yards, courts and distance between window and lot lines.

PREMISES AFFECTED—248 East 31st Street, south side 77 feet west of 2nd Avenue, Block 911, Lot 42, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #6M.

685-79-A

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Parish House Partners, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application June 11, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Proposed enlargement of Building of the Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—248 East 31st Street, south side, 77 feet west of 2nd Avenue, Block 911, Lot 42, Borough of Manhattan.

367-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Mok and Sonber for Edward Meehan, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story office building with accessory parking in the open area.

PREMISES AFFECTED—220-22 147th Avenue, southwest corner of Springfield Lane, Block 13434, Lot 91, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens. Community Board #12Q.

Laid over from September 11, 1979, for continued hearing.

CALENDAR

368-79-A

APPLICANT—Mok and Sonber for Edward Meegan, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 17, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—220-22 147th Avenue, southwest corner of Springfield Lane, Block 13434, Lot 191, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens.

Laid over from September 11, 1979, for continued hearing.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 16, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday afternoon, October 16, 1979, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N.Y. 10013, on the following matters:

1147-78-SA

APPLICANT—Eng's Engineering Service—Class E fire alarm equipment.

962-79-SM

APPLICANT—ERC/Lancy, Division of Dart Environment and Service Company—oil/water separators.

978-79-SM

APPLICANT—United States Gypsum Company—thermal insulation sheathing.

154-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Two Grand Avenue Associate, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979, appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—46 Rye Avenue, southeast corner of Van Brunt Street, Block 5628, Lot 29, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

155-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Two Grand Avenue Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—9 Van Brunt Street, east side, 40 feet south of Rye Avenue, Block 5628, Lot 30, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island.

208-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 28, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—70 Summit Road, south side,

40.70 feet east of Bryan Street, Block 7942, Lot 3, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

209-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—15 Bryan Street, east side, 88.18 feet south of Summit Road, Block 7942, Lot 39, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

210-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—19 Bryan Street, east side, 144.18 feet south of Summit Road, Block 7942, Lot 37, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

211-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—25 Bryan Street, east side, 112 feet north of Pittsville, Block 7942, Lot 35, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

212-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—31 Bryan Street, east side, 56 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7942, Lot 32, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

213-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—35 Bryan Street, northeast corner of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7942, Lot 27, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

214-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

CALENDAR

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—32 Connecticut Street, west side, 106.18 feet south of Summit Road, Block 7942, Lot 13, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

215-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—38 Connecticut Street, west side, 166.18 feet south of Summit Road, Block 7942, Lot 15, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

216-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—44 Connecticut Street, west side, 120 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7942, Lot 17, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

217-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—50 Connecticut Street, west side, 60 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7942, Lot 21, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

218-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Irving Tenenbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 2, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—56 Connecticut Street, northwest corner of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7942, Lot 23, Tottenville, Borough of Staten Island.

557-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Rene Spinetta, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street; proposed use of drywell for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—459 Sharrotts Road, north side, 319.97 feet west of Carlin Street, Block 7310, Lot 66, Princess Bay, Borough of Staten Island.

601-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Mel Kling, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—77 Lincoln Avenue, northeast corner of Lisbon Place, Block 3581, Lot 1, Grant City, Borough of Staten Island.

798-79-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella for Hurley and Farinella Lab Tem Incorporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application July 12, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1466 Broadway, 152 West 42nd Street, southeast, Block 994, Lot 54, Borough of Manhattan.

814-79-A

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Amoco Oil Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application July 19, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed conversion to Self Service Gas Station is contrary to Section 19-73-0-B-Z of the Fire Prevention Code, City of New York.

PREMISES AFFECTED—164/178 Fourth Avenue, southwest corner of Douglass Street, Block 420, Lots 37, 40, Borough of Brooklyn.

990-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for V-Way Realty Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 31, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—144-30 157th Street, 144-23 156th Street, west side, 95 feet north of 145th Avenue, Block 15009, Lot 6, Springfield Gardens, Queens.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 23, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday morning, October 23, 1979, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013 on the following:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

240-78-A

APPLICANT—Robert A. Fuller for the Kingsford Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Match Light Briquets", consisting of "K-28" (Charcoal impregnated with solvent) with a flash point of 180° F. and "Conoco LPA Solvent" with a flash point of 162° F. in four (4) pound baler bags with no type of air-tight replaceable cup or top, packaging not in compliance with the regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

CALENDAR

316-78-A

APPLICANT—Robert A. Fuller for the Kingsford Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Match Light Briquets", consisting of "K-28" (Charcoal impregnated with solvent) with a flash point of 180° F. and "Conoco LPA Solvent" with a flash point of 162° F. in eight (8) pound baler bags with no type of air-tight replaceable cap or top, packaging not in compliance with the regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York; previously granted on condition.

406-64-BZ

APPLICANT—Max Siegel Associates for M and R Management Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 14, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, previously granted on condition under Section 60(3) of the Multiple Dwelling Law, permitting in an R7-2 district, in an existing multiple dwelling, the use of the unused and surplus tenants spaces in the required accessory garage for transient parking.

PREMISES AFFECTED—220 East 63rd Street, south side, 205 feet east of Third Avenue, Block 1417, Lot 35, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

684-64-BZ

APPLICANT—Max Siegel Associates for 360 East 72nd Street Owners Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired October 20, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 60(3) of the Multiple Dwelling Law, permitting in an R8, C1-5 and R10 district, in an existing multiple dwelling, the addition of transient parking for the unused and surplus tenants spaces with the required accessory garage.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1325 First Avenue, west side, from East 71st Street to East 72nd Street, 355 East 71st Street, 360 East 72nd Street, Block 1446, Lot 23, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

176-69-A

APPLICANT—M. Milton Glass for Joint Board Dress Building, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- Elevator-in-Readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—218 to 232 West 40th Street, south side, 214 feet 3 inches west of 7th Avenue, Block 789, Lot 52, Borough of Manhattan.

26-79-A

APPLICANT—Storch, Pesa and Associates for 744 Clinton Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—appeal from Administrative decision re- sprinkler system; previously granted on condition.

853-53-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Martin Siegel, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and extension of term of variance—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7e, 7f and 7i of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a business use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline service station, lubricatorium, car washing, motor vehicle repairs, storage and sale of accessories and office; and to permit the parking and storage of motor vehicles on unbuilt upon portion of plot with show windows and curb cut nearer the residence use district than is permitted.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2402-2416 Knapp Street and 3106-3116 Avenue X, southwest corner, Block 7429, Lot 10, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #15BK.

708-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Bill Wolf Petroleum Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 73-03(g) of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C2-5 district, the rehabilitation of an existing automotive service station with accessory uses by increasing the number of tanks and removing repair services.

PREMISES AFFECTED—340-346 East 96th Street and 1847-1855 First Avenue, southwest corner, Block 1558, Lot 26, Borough of Manhattan.

ZONING CASES

335-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for Fifth Avenue Loft Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 29, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C6-4 and M1-8 district in an existing 13 story commercial and manufacturing structure, the conversion of all floors above the first floor into a multiple dwelling extending into M1 district.

PREMISES AFFECTED—140 Fifth Avenue, southwest corner of West 19th Street, Block 820, Lot 47, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

336-79-A

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for Fifth Avenue Loft Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 28, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Multiple Dwelling law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—140 Fifth Avenue and 2/6 West 19th Street, southwest corner, Block 820, Lot 47, Borough of Manhattan.

575-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Harry Soled for Michael Gervis, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R5 district, the erection of a three story, two family dwelling that encroaches on the required front and rear yards and with balconies within the required side yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1711 55th Street, north side, 77 feet East of 17th Avenue, Block 5486, Lot 72, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

579-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Storch, Pesa and Associates for Peter D. Ciaccio, owner.

CALENDAR

SUBJECT—Application May 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the erection of a two family dwelling that encroaches on the required side yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—66-36 53rd Avenue, south side, 148.5 feet west of 68th Street, Block 2406, Lot 19, Maspeth, Borough of Queens. Community Board #5Q.

580-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Storch, Pesa and Associates for Peter D. Ciaccio, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the erection of a two family dwelling that encroaches on the required side yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—66-40 53rd Avenue, south side, 100 feet west of 68th Street, Block 2406, Lot 22, Maspeth, Borough of Queens. Community Board #5Q.

622-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for McNulty Funeral Home, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 25, 1979 decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-27 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-2 district, the extension of an existing funeral establishment previously before the Board into an adjoining store.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3006/3010 Middletown Road, south side, 36.45 feet west of Hollywood Avenue, Block 5400, Lots 51 and 52, Borough of Bronx. Community Board #10BX.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 23, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, *Tuesday afternoon, October 23, 1979*, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

633-79-A

APPLICANT—Martyn and Don Weston for John P. Cannon, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 31, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- egress.

PREMISES AFFECTED—17-19 Richards Street, northeast corner of Seabring Street, Block 505, Lot 60, Borough of Brooklyn.

634-79-A

APPLICANT—T.J. Walocha for Bell and Howell Microfilm Products Division, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 31, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Type "N" Toner" in lgt. H.D. Polyethylene container not in compliance with the regulation of the Administrative Code.

706-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Raymond F. Esposito, owner, Save Way Flushing, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application June 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- proposed conversion to Self Service Gas Station is contrary to Section.

PREMISES AFFECTED—56-01 Main Street, southeast corner of 56th Avenue, Block 5165, Lot 28, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

782-79-A

APPLICANT—Ricoh Electronics Incorporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application July 11, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner, re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Copier Dispersant" Cylindrical Plastic contents with Polystyrene Cap with Safe Guard Liner From (3M) container not in compliance with regulations of the Administrative Code of New York City.

910-79-A

APPLICANT—East Bayside Homeowners Association, Incorporation, for Madhu N. Goradia, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 7, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed School Building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—221-21 Corbett Road, north side, 566 feet north of 37th Avenue, Block 6185, Lot 1, Bayside, Borough of Queens.

543-78-A

APPLICANT—Galbreath-Ruffin Corporation.

SUBJECT—Application July 14, 1978—review and appeal of Modification to Reference Standard 13-1 (Air Filters) granted under Calendar Number 281-77-BCR.

PREMISES AFFECTED—150 E. 42nd St., block bounded by Lexington Ave., 3rd Ave., E. 42nd St. and E. 41st St., Block 1296, Lot 46, Borough of Manhattan.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY MORNING, SEPTEMBER, 11, 1979, 10 A.M.

Present: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf.

Absent: Commissioner Carroll.

The minutes of the regular meetings of the Board held on Tuesday morning and afternoon, July 17, 1979, were approved in the Bulletin of July 26, 1979, Volume 64, Number 29.

191-46-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—William J. Calise for Rose Polomba, Frank Vivolo, Vincent Vivolo and Vencenza Vivolo, widow and Administratrix of Estate of Angelo Vivolo, owners.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 7, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7a and 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the extension of the restaurant, banquet hall, meeting room and occupancy by two families.

PREMISES AFFECTED—8821-8829 26th Avenue, south side, 210 feet east of Harway Avenue, Block 6897, Lot 18, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #13BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: William J. Calise.

ACTION OF THE BOARD—Application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: 0
Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #13BK recommended approval received on July 2, 1979; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on March 19, 1957, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on September 11, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on March 19, 1957, as amended through June 18, 1974, only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"*granted* for a term of five years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . .; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 2465-56)

479-52-BZ

APPLICANT—Alfonso Duarte for Interim Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and extension of term of variance which expired June 5, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the change in occupancy from one family dwellings to business use (offices) and caretaker's apartment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—134 East 38th Street (formerly 130-136 East 38th Street) and 312 Lexington Avenue, southwest corner, Block 893, Lot 72, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #6M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Alfonso Duarte.

ACTION OF BOARD—Rules of Procedure waived, application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: 0
Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #6M was notified by the applicant on June 1, 1979 and no response has been received; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on October 27, 1953, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on September 11, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby waive the Rules of Procedure and *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on October 27, 1953, as amended through June 5, 1973, only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"*granted* for a term of five years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . .; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 284-73)

531-58-BZ

APPLICANT—Camilla Loria, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, on a plot with an existing two-story dwelling, the use of the unbuilt upon portion of the premises for a parking lot for the parking and storage of more than five (5) motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—170-180 McKinley Avenue and 408-414 Autumn Avenue, southwest corner, Block 4170, Lot 21, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #5BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Charles J. Loria.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: 0
Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #5BK recommended approval received on July 9, 1979; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on January 27, 1959, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on September 11, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on January 27, 1959, as amended through July 9, 1974, only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"*granted* for a term of five years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . .; on condition that there will be no barbed wire used on the fences; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited

MINUTES

shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 2426-58)

85-59-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Frank Homelsky and Estate of Bernard Tancer, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired June 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7f, 7i and 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a local retail and residence use district, to erect and maintain a gasoline service station, car wash, minor auto repairs, lubritorium, storage, office and salesroom, parking and storage of motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—242-30 Northern Boulevard, south side, from Douglaston Parkway to 243rd Street, 45-01, 45-13 Douglaston Parkway and 45-02, 45-14 243rd Street, Block 8179, Lot 1 (formerly Lots 1 and 44), Bayside, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: James E. Vassalotti.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #11Q conditional approval received on July 20, 1979; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on June 16, 1959, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on September 11, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on June 16, 1959, as amended through July 6, 1960, only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"*granted* for a term of ten years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . . ; on condition that the fence on the west side of the station adjacent to Douglaston Parkway shall be repaired, that the sidewalk shall be repaired where required along the Northern Boulevard frontage, and that the parking and storage of motor vehicles on the premises shall be for motor vehicles only awaiting to be serviced; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (N.B. 4201-58)

402-66-BZ

APPLICANT—Jack Garfinkel for University Heights Development Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening extension of time to complete and for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 72-21 and 73-641 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R5 district, the erection of a ten story dormitory building that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio and penetrates the sky exposure plane and side yard setback.

PREMISES AFFECTED—125 West 183rd Street, north side, 83.5 feet east of Sedgwick Avenue, 2254-2258

Sedgwick Avenue, Block 3225, Lots 48, 50, 58 and 60, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #7BX.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jack Garfinkel.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work, and resolution amended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #7BX was notified by the applicant on July 19, 1979 and no response has been received; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on October 4, 1966, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an amendment of the resolution.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on October 4, 1966, as amended through July 11, 1967, by adding thereto:

"To permit a change in use from dormitory building, to a non-profit housing for the elderly, substantially as shown on revised drawings of proposed conditions marked 'Received July 19, 1979'—eight sheets; and that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution; *on condition* that any change of location and size of the off-site parking area must be resubmitted to the Board for its approval, and that a permit shall be issued and work commence within six months from the date of this amended resolution; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects". (Alt. 423-66)

625-68-BZ

APPLICANT—Alfonso Duarte for 349 East 52nd Street Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 73-14 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R8 and C1-5 district, in an existing five story building, the change in occupancy of the first floor from an apartment to a telephone exchange.

PREMISES AFFECTED—349 East 52nd Street, north side, 90 feet 6 inches west of First Avenue, Block 1345, Lot 122, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #6M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Alfonso Duarte.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #6M was notified by the applicant on June 1, 1979 and no response has been received; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on December 3, 1968, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on September 11, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does

MINUTES

hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on December 3, 1968, as amended through July 9, 1974, only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"*granted* for a term of five years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . .; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 896-68)

562-63-A

APPLICANT—Harry J. Zweibel for Penn Plaza South Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of May 22, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- elevator; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—363 Seventh Avenue, northeast corner of West 30th Street, Block 806, Lot 1, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Opposition: Harry J. Zweibel, Rod Stewart, Sgt. S. Grant and David L. Waldman.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for continued hearing.

871-67-A

APPLICANT—Harry J. Zweibel for West 25130 Realty Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of May 22, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- elevator to be kept in readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—251 West 30th Street, north side, 200 feet east of 8th Avenue, Block 780, Lot 10, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Opposition: Rod Stewart, David L. Waldman and Sgt. S. Grant.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for continued hearing.

183-72-A

APPLICANT—Harry J. Zweibel for Grokash Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of July 18, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- elevator in readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—236-238 West 30th Street, south side, 300 feet east of 8th Avenue, Block 779, Lot 63, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Opposition: Harry J. Zweibel, Rod Stewart, Sgt. S. Grant and David L. Waldman.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for continued hearing.

557-77-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Pan Am Motor Inn, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner—for Modification of Certificate of Occupancy #Q160047 re- sprinkler system; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—79-10 Queens Boulevard, south side, 80 feet east of Hillyer Street, Block 2453, Lot 40, Elmhurst, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet Jacobs.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for hearing.

128-53-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for La-Vil Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a local retail and manufacturing use district, an entrance from local retail district into the manufacturing use district.

PREMISES AFFECTED—121-60 Farmers Boulevard, west side, 441.53 feet south of 121st Avenue, Block 12458, Lot 25, St. Albans, Borough of Queens. Community Board #12Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision at the request of the applicant; hearing closed.

1290-66-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—Larry Meltzer for Abe Rothstein and Cyrus Gebber, owners.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of November 30, 1976—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R4 district, the erection of a one story building for use as a retail store.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1836-1846 Rockaway Parkway and 9625 Avenue M, northwest corner, Block 8261, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for hearing.

304-75-BZ

APPLICANT—Burton Flax for Estate of William H. Flax, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, reopening for extension of time to complete which expired November 22, 1978 and for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story and mezzanine building for use as a warehouse with accessory offices.

MINUTES

PREMISES AFFECTED—146-86 New York Boulevard, southwest corner of 146th Drive, Block 13307, Lots 91, 100, 104 and 113, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Burton Flax.

For Opposition: Susan M. Noreika and Stanley Whins.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 16, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for continued hearing at the request of the applicant.

385-76-BZ

APPLICANT—Wechsler-Grasso-Menziuso for 80 Warren Street Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, extension of time to complete which expired October 11, 1978 and for amendment—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an M1-5 district, the conversion of a six story and cellar building from warehouse, manufacturing and offices into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—76-84 Warren Street, north side, 100.9 feet west of West Broadway, Block 137, Lot 5, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #1M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Vincent Grasso and Leonard Boxer.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 18, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision; drawings to be submitted; hearing closed.

386-76-A

APPLICANT—Wechsler-Grasso-Menziuso for 80 Warren Street Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- front yard and egress; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—76-84 Warren Street, north side, 100.9 feet west of West Broadway, Block 137, Lot 5, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Vincent Grasso and Leonard Boxer.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 18, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision; drawings to be submitted; hearing closed.

225-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Thomas R. Kalsky for Hess Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 73-211 and 73-212 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C2-5 district, the reconstruction and rearrangement of an automobile service station with accessory uses.

PREMISES AFFECTED—615-635 Tenth Avenue, a/k/a 501-505 44th Street, west side, blockfront between West 44th and West 45th Street, Block 1073, Lot 28, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #3M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joel Cohen.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision; hearing closed.

638-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Howard I. Brenner for 58 Realopp Corporation, owner, H. I. S. Enterprises, Incorporated, Lessee.

SUBJECT—Application August 7, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C6-4CL district, in an existing four story and penthouse structure, the expansion into the fourth floor of the existing physical culture establishment located on the second and third floors.

PREMISES AFFECTED—300 West 58th Street, a/k/a 989 Eighth Avenue, southwest corner, Block 1048, Lot 36, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Howard I. Brenner.

For Opposition: None.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision, hearing closed.

1136-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Richard R. Metzner for Arnold Trading Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application December 15, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C4-3 district, the enlargement in area of the third and fourth floors of an existing four story non-conforming food processing establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—284-290 South 5th Street, south side, 60 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2460, Lot 18, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #1BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Richard R. Metzner.

For Opposition: None.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

1157-78-A

APPLICANT—Richard R. Metzner for Arnold Trading Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application December 28, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed enlargement of Class 111 building contrary to the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

PREMISES AFFECTED—280-290 South 5th Street, south side, 60 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2460, Lot 18, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Richard R. Metzner.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

25-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Shea, Gould, Climenko and Casey for 692-694 Broadway Associates, owners.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application January 5, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-01 and 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a M1-5B district, the conversion of an existing twelve story building that exceeds the permitted area from lofts into joint living/working quarters with stores on the first floor.

PREMISES AFFECTED—692-694 Broadway, southeast corner of East 4th Street, Block 531, Lot 6, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Michele Muccini.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to November 7, 1979, at 10 A.M., for hearing.

50-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Alfonso Duarte for 270-23 Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, in an existing ten story loft building, the conversion of the seventh through tenth floors into apartments.

PREMISES AFFECTED—521-523 West 23rd Street, north side, 200 feet west of 10th Avenue, Block 695, Lot 24, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Alfonso Duarte.

For Opposition: Frank R. Wheeler, Jr. and Leo Edbrel.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing, additional information to be submitted.

96-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Starrett City Incorporated, owner, Eddie Arcaro's Restaurant, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application February 5, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C4-3 district, within an existing shopping center, the addition to the uses of an existing restaurant to include an amusement arcade.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1344-50 Pennsylvania Avenue, southwest corner of Twin Pines Drive, Block 4452, Lot 15, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #5BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harriet Jacobs.

For Opposition: None.

For Administration: Mark Jay Harris, CPC.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing, additional information to be submitted.

131-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Tucciarone and DiMilia for Rego Crescent Corporation, owner, 86-43 105th St. Realty Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R2 district the erection of a two story medical office building that exceeds the permitted area, encroaches on the required side and rear yards and with less than the required accessory parking.

PREMISES AFFECTED—162-01 92nd Street, southeast corner of 162nd Avenue, Block 10466, Lot 1, Borough of Queens. Community Board #10Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Alfonso Duarte.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 18, 1979, at 10 A.M., for hearing.

255-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Kenneth H. Koons and Alfred A. Fantino for A. Selinger Enterprises, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 8, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing warehouse and commercial vehicle storage establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3070 Waterbury Avenue, south side, 47.18 feet east of Hollywood Avenue, Block 5340, Lot 35, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #10BX.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Kenneth H. Koons.

For Opposition: David Hochhager and Vincent R. Bonvissuto, P.E.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

283-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Tracy Saks, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 15, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-14 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R2 district, in conjunction with the erection of a one story and cellar medical office building, the use of a portion of the cellar as a telephone answering service establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3055 Richmond Road, northeast corner of Beacon Avenue, Block 948, Lot 9, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #2S.I.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Nicholas J. Salvadeo.

For Opposition: Mr. C. Frizziola and Mr. R. P. Whaler.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

311-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Israel Solomon, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in an R6 district, the erection of a two story retail store and office building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—5002-5008 13th Avenue, southwest corner of 50th Street, Block 5648, Lot 41, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

MINUTES

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Louis Lieberman, Israel Solomon, Paul Gerstner and Joseph Schon.

For Opposition: Andrea S. Kremen, Dep. Counsel for City Planning.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to December 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., for hearing.

312-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Israel Solomon, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in an R6 district, the erection of a two story retail store and office building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—5010-5014 13th Avenue, west side, 55 feet south of 50th Street, Block 5648, Lot 43, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to December 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., for hearing.

313-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Israel Solomon, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in an R6 district, the erection of a two story retail store and office building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—5016-5020 13th Avenue, west side, 48.4 feet north of 51st Street, Block 5648, Lot 45, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to December 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., for hearing.

314-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Israel Solomon, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit, in an R6 district the erection of a two story retail store and office building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—5022-5036 13th Avenue, northwest corner of 51st Street, Block 5648, Lot 47, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to December 11, 1979, at 10 A.M., for hearing.

367-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Mok and Sonber for Edward Meegan, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story office building with accessory parking in the open area.

PREMISES AFFECTED—220-22 147th Avenue, southwest corner of Springfield Lane, Block 13434, Lot 91, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens. Community Board #12Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Paul Mok.

For Opposition: Susan M. Noreika, Stanley Whing, Alvero Terry, Naomi Young, Annie McCargo and Shirley Griffith.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 16, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing.

368-79-A

APPLICANT—Mok and Sonber for Edward Meegan, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 17, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—220-22 147th Avenue, southwest corner of Springfield Lane, Block 13434, Lot 191, Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Paul Mok.

For Opposition: Susan M. Noreika, Stanley Whing, Alvero Terry, Naomi Young, Annie McCargo and Shirley Griffith.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 16, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing.

Adjourned: 1:10 P.M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY AFTERNOON, SEPTEMBER 11, 1979,
2 P.M.

Present: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf.

Absent: Commissioner Carroll.

5-78-A

APPLICANT—Apsco Fabrics, Incorporated for Louis and Bessie Adler Foundation, Incorporated, owners.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to dismiss the application of January 4, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- sprinkler protection under the obstructions (Bins).

PREMISES AFFECTED—469-475 10th Avenue and 501 West 36th Street, northwest corner, Block 708, Lot 31, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Paul Weinberger and Frederick H. Coleman.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

543-78-A

APPLICANT—Galbreth-Ruffin Corporation.

SUBJECT—Application July 14, 1978—review and appeal of Modification to Reference Standard 13-1 (Air Filters) granted under Calendar Number 281-77-BCR.

PREMISES AFFECTED—150 E. 42nd St., block bounded by Lexington Ave., 3rd Ave., E. 42nd St. and E. 41st St., Block 1296, Lot 46, Borough of Manhattan.

MINUTES

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Win Froehlich and Paul Javis.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 23, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing, additional information to be submitted.

110-79-A

APPLICANT—Spirit Fluid Manufacturing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Spirit Light Charcoal Starter", in Sixty-four (64) ounces round plastic bottle with child proof cap container not in compliance with the Regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing, applicant to be notified.

161-79-A

APPLICANT—Borough Superintendent Department of Buildings, Max Donner, owner, R. Ferrara, Lessee.

SUBJECT—Application February 8, 1979—Revocation of Certificates of Occupancy #21877-57 and #23566-58.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1777 East Gun Hill Road, northeast corner of Bushnell Avenue, Block 4806, Lot 38-42, Borough of The Bronx.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Owner: Sid Davidoff.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to November 7, 1979, at 2 P.M., for hearing.

350-79-A

APPLICANT—Roberts Consolidated Industries, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 4, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of flammable mixture known as "Weldwood Panel Adhesive", in eleven (11) ounce Fiber cartridge with N/A Kalamazoo, container not in compliance with the Regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 2 P.M., for hearing.

351-79-A

APPLICANT—Roberts Consolidated Industries, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 4, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of flammable mixture known as "Weldwood Panel Weld", in fiber cartridge 11 ounce with N/A Kalamazoo, container not in compliance with the Regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 2 P.M., for hearing.

363-79-A

APPLICANT—Clara G. Stewart for Union Carbide Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 12, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of inflammable mixture known as Simoniz Shines Like The Sun Liquid Car Wax in plastic squeeze bottle not in compliance with regulation of the Administrative Code of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to November 7, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing, applicant to be notified.

515-79-A

APPLICANT—A. H. Salowitz and Carl Heimberger for Sigmund Sommer, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Department of Buildings re- renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from its original issuance.

PREMISES AFFECTED—269-10 Grand Central Parkway, southeast corner of 267th Street, Block 8489, Lot 1, Glen Oaks, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Carl Heimberger.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

516-79-A

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger for Sigmund Sommer, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Department of Buildings, re- renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from its original issuance.

PREMISES AFFECTED—270-10 Grand Central Parkway, southeast corner of 267th Street, Block 8489, Lot 1, Glen Oaks, Borough of Queens.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

517-79-A

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger for Sigmund Sommer, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Department of Buildings re- renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from its original issuance.

PREMISES AFFECTED—271-10 Grand Central Parkway, southeast corner of 267th Street, Block 8489, Lot 1, Glen Oaks, Borough of Queens.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

518-79-A

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger for Sigmund Sommer, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Department of Buildings re- renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from its original issuance.

PREMISES AFFECTED—270-40 Grand Central Parkway, southeast corner of 267th Street, Block 8489, Lot 1, Glen Oaks, Borough of Queens.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

623-79-A

APPLICANT—Thomas R. Kalsky for Hess Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 28, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- proposed conversion to self service gasoline station is contrary to Sec. 19-73-0-b2 of the Administrative Code.

PREMISES AFFECTED—615/635 10th Avenue, northwest corner of West 44th Street, Block 1073, Lot 28, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joel Cohen.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

Adjourned: 3:00 P.M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

CORRECTIONS

CORRECTIONS*

The Board of Standards and Appeals hereby corrects the resolution adopted November 21, 1978 under Calendar Number 499-78-A, and published in Bulletin No. 48, Volume LXIII, to read as follows:

499-78-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Murray Pomeranz, owner, Amoco Oil Company, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application June 29, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed change of use from automotive service station to self service automotive station. [Section C19-73.0-b-2 of the Fire Prevention Code].

PREMISES AFFECTED—9/13 Georgia Avenue, southeast corner of Jamaica Avenue, Block 3658, Lot 6, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 28, 1978, on Alt. Applic. #299/78, reads:

"1. The proposed change of use from Automotive Service Station to Automotive Service Station (self-service) is contrary to C19-73.0-b-2 of the Fire Prevention Code of the City of New York.

and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 28, 1978, reads: The proposed change of use from Automotive Service Station to Automotive Service Station (self-service) is contrary to C19-73.0-b-2 of the Fire Prevention Code of the City of New York; and

WHEREAS, the premises was inspected by a committee of the Board which recommended that the Appeal be *granted* under certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, Section C19-73.0-b-2 of the Fire Prevention Code permits the use and installation of self-service devices in gasoline service stations provided that such devices are operated by persons holding a Certificate of Fitness for the dispensing of gasoline or diesel fuel for motor fuel; and

WHEREAS, it is required that at all times, when fuel is dispensed, the person holding a Certificate of Fitness have control over fuel dispensing devices; and

WHEREAS, the record and filed plans in the instant application indicate that safety devices, fuel dispensing devices and consoles are under the direct supervision and control of the person holding a Certificate of Fitness as above specified; and

WHEREAS, the filed plans indicate the installation of a B.S.&A. approved automatic dry chemical suppression system utilizing heat activators as well as a manual activator control and a fail safe electric energy device which will automatically disconnect the complete electrical system to the self-service dispensing equipment when the dry chemical suppression system is activated; and

WHEREAS, the filed plans indicate the control booth is located so that the dispensing areas are, at all times, in full view of the person operating the console and safety controls, and this person must hold a Certificate of Fitness.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated June 28, 1978, be and it hereby modified and that the application be and it hereby is granted on condition (1) that a trained attendant who possesses a Certificate of Fitness, as above described, shall be on duty at all times when the station is open for business; (2) that it shall be the attendant's duty to require the engine of any vehicle be shut off

before the start of the fuel operation, and to prohibit smoking within the immediate area of the fuel operation; (3) that it shall be the attendant's duty to prevent the dispensing of fuel into portable container; (4) that signs reading "No Smoking" "Stop Your Engine" and "It Is Unlawful To Dispense Gasoline Into Portable Containers" shall be conspicuously posted in clear view of the customer at the dispensing island; (5) that portable fire extinguishers, acceptable to the Fire Commissioner, be located as indicated on filed plans; (6) that all dispensing devices and fire suppression systems be approved by the Board of Standards and Appeals; (7) that the installation and use of coin-operated dispensing devices for fuel be prohibited; (8) that there shall be constant contact between the attendant in the control booth and the dispensing island by means of a voice intercommunication system which shall be maintained in proper operating condition at all times; (9) that all controls, devices, fire suppression system and fire fighting equipment be maintained in good operating order at all times; (10) that a maintenance log shall be kept on the premises as per direction of the Fire Commissioner; (11) that all dispensing nozzles are the automatic closing type without hold open latches; (12) that a list of emergency procedures and instructions be conspicuously posted in the immediate vicinity of the attendant's principal control location, said instruction shall be at the direction of the Fire Commissioner; (13) that the dispensing areas shall, at all times, be well lit for complete visual control; (14) that the permit to operate this station shall be for a term of five (5) years from the date of this approval; *on further condition* that the building, equipment, devices and control shall substantially conform to drawings, marked "Received November 16, 1978", 2 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

* The resolution is corrected to read southeast rather than southwest corner, Block 3658, Lot 6, rather than Block 4604, Lot 1.

CORRECTION*

The resolution adopted May 29, 1979 under Calendar Number 853-78-A and printed in Bulletin No. 22, Volume LXIV, is hereby corrected to read as follows:

853-78-A

APPLICANT—Gulf Oil Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application September 20, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- Packaging of flammable mixture known as Gulf Drywall Adhesive in a 30 ounces caulking container with .0035 Foil to Kraft Liner, not in compliance with regulation of the Administrative Code of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Fire Commissioner, dated August 24, 1973, on Folder #122-48 I.M., reads:

We regret to inform you that your application to register your product 'Gulf Drywall Adhesive', a Flammable Mixture with a flash point below 80° F. (T.O.C.) in containers as follows: 30 oz. caulking cartridge with .0035 Foil to Kraft Liner is *Disapproved*. Such containers are not in compliance with our regulations to wit: Section C19-59.0.c of the N.Y.C. Administrative

CORRECTIONS

Code requires that containers of this size for flammable mixtures be of metal with replacable tops or caps." and

WHEREAS, the drawing and sample of the container were reviewed by the Board and it was determined that the Appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Fire Commissioner, dated August 24, 1978, acting on Folder #122-48 I.M., be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the container be used for professional use only; that the container comply with drawing filed "September 20, 1978"; that the modification shall apply to "Gulf Drywall Adhesive" in 30 ounce container only; and *on further condition* that the label on the container be in such style and wording as is satisfactory to the Fire Commissioner; that any descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, and bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and where possible, the item itself, shall include the wording "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 853-73-A; and that in all other respects, all laws, rules and regulations applicable shall be complied with.

* In the resolved section, the words "Gulf Foam and Panel Adhesive" have been corrected to read "Gulf Drywall Adhesive".

CORRECTION*

The resolution adopted March 27, 1979 under Calendar Number 884-78-A and printed in Bulletin No. 14, Vol LXIV is hereby corrected to read as follows:

884-78-A

APPLICANT—Lloyd Neal Merrill for 682 Tenant Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application September 29, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of joint living, working quarter for artists is contrary to Section 277 of the Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—682 Broadway, southeast corner of Great Jones Street, Block 530, Lot 7, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Lloyd Neal Merrill and Doris Diether, C.B. #2M.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated August 31, 1978, on Alt. Applic. #955/76, reads:

"8. Joint living work quarters for artists containing less than 1200 sq. ft. per unit are contrary to Section 277 of the M.D.L.

and

WHEREAS, the premises had a site evaluation by a committee of the Board which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated August 31, 1978, acting on Alt. Applic. #955/76, Objection No. 8 be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that all work conform to the resolution adopted this date under Calendar

Number 883-78-BZ; and that all other laws, rules and regulations be complied with.

* The resolution is corrected to delete Objection #9. "Proposed use of open fire escape as a second means of egress is contrary to Section 277(9) of the M.D.L."

CORRECTION*

The resolution adopted April 10, 1979 under Calendar Number 1081-78-A and printed in Volume LXIV, Bulletin No. 16, is hereby corrected to read as follows:

1081-78-A

APPLICANT—Celeste Industries Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application November 13, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of flammable mixture known as "Celeste (R) Isopropyl Alcohol", in 11 cc, 3" x 4" Foil Laminate 27.5 lb. SC pouch paper ½ mil. polyethylene .0003 foil, 7 mil. surlyn—towelette 100° rayon with Disposable Packet.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Fire Commissioner, dated October 12, 1978, on Folder #122-Pending, reads:

"We regret to inform you that your application to register your product 'Celeste (R) Isopropyl Alcohol' a Flammable Mixture with a flash point below 75° F (T.O.C.) in containers as follows. 11 cc, 3" x 4" Foil Laminate 27.5 lb SC pouch paper ½ mil. polyethylene .0003 foil, 7 mil. surlyn—towelette 100° rayon with Disposable Packet is *Disapproved*. Such containers are not in compliance with our regulations to wit: Section C19-59.0.c of the New York City Administrative Code requires that containers of this size for flammable mixtures be of metal with replaceable tops or caps."

and

WHEREAS, the drawing and sample of the foil laminate envelope container were reviewed by the Board, and it was determined that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Fire Commissioner dated October 12, 1978 acting on Folder Number 122-Pending, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that the container comply with drawing filed "November 13, 1978", 1 sheet, that the modification shall apply to "Celeste ® Isopropyl Alcohol" Packaged in 3" x 4" Foil Laminate Envelope Container only; and *on further condition* that the label on the container be in such style and wording as is satisfactory to the Fire Commissioner; that any descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, and bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and where possible, the item itself, shall include the wording "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Cal. No. 1081-78-A", that the maximum storage shall be 200 pounds in an unsprinklered retail store; and that in all other respects all laws, rules and regulations applicable shall be complied with.

* The resolution is corrected to correct the spelling of the word "Isopropyl", from Isopropal to Isopropyl.

REPORT

Date		Time		Location		Remarks	

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS
80 LAFAYETTE STREET
NEW YORK, N. Y. 10013

University of Illinois Library
Att: Serial Dept.
Urbana, Ill. 61801

BULK RATE
U. S. Postage
PAID
New York, N. Y.
Permit No. 4875

352.03
NEW



BULLETIN

OF THE

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

Issued under authority of Chapter 27, Section 665 of the Charter of the City of New York
Published weekly by the Board of Standards and Appeals at its office, 80 Lafayette Street, 9th Floor, Manhattan,
New York, N. Y. 10013

Vol. LXIV Subscription \$25.00 a year September 27, 1979 By Mail, 65 cents (Cash only) Single Copies, 50 cents No. 38

DIRECTORY

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

VITO J. FOSSELLA, P.E., M.C.E., *Chairman*

PHILIP P. AGUSTA, R.A., M.U.P., *Vice Chairman*

HARRY M. CARROLL, P.E.

JOHN J. WALSH, P.E.

JOHN B. CINCOTTA

STANLEY M. WOLF, R.A.

Commissioners.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

RICHARD B. ATWELL, *Deputy Director*

FRANCES M. WALDRON, *Secretary*

DARRELL L. GAVRIN, J.D., *Counsel*

AVRIL H. LATHAM, *Chief Clerk*

OFFICE—80 Lafayette Street, 9th Floor, Manhattan, New York, N. Y. 10013.

TELEPHONE—566-5174.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND PETITION SERVED ON THE BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

On September 14, 1979 an Order to Show Cause and Petition was served on the Board by Ronald U. Noll, Esq., attorney for the petitioners Dural Estates, Inc. seeking a review of an Appeal brought to the Board of Estimate by the Chairman of the City Planning Commission, which had reversed the Board of Standards and Appeals grant of the application on June 5, 1979, based on a decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 (BR) district, the erection of a two story and basement, three family dwelling that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, has less than the required open space ratio and encroaches on the required front, side and rear yards. Calendar Numbers 105, 106, 107, 108-79-BZ, premises affected 8802, 8804, 8808, and 8812, Fort Hamilton Parkway, Block 6068, Lots 30, 31, 32 and 132, Borough of Brooklyn.

NOTICE OF PETITION AND PETITION SERVED ON THE BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

On September 14, 1979, a Notice of Petition and Petition was served on the Board by Carb, Luria, Glassner, Cook and Kufeld, attorneys for the Petitioners, 50 Broadway Joint Venture, seeking a review of the Board's approval of the application on June 26, 1979, based on a decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-21 and 73-68 of the Zoning Resolution and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a C5-5 district, the erection of five additional stories on a twelve-story commercial structure that increases the degree of non-compliance within the sky exposure plane and creates non-compliance in floor area ratio and the loading requirements. Calendar Number 1138-78-BZ, premises affected 52/56 Broadway, southeast corner of Exchange Place and 31/39 New Street, Block 22, Lot 28, Borough of Manhattan.

CONTENTS

Order to Show Cause and Petition Served on the Board of Standards and Appeals.

Notice of Petition and Petition Served on the Board of Standards and Appeals.

Docket.

Notice of Hearing of Calendar.

Minutes of Regular Meeting, Tuesday Morning, September 18, 1979, Affecting Calendar Numbers—

157-69-A	1112-78-BZ	279-79-BZ
183-48-BZ	38-76-A	280-79-A
819-52-BZ	655-74-A	364-79-BZ
761-58-BZ	385-76-BZ	366-79-BZ
357-59-BZ	386-76-A	371-79-BZ
21-74-BZ	524-76-BZ	500-79-BZ
561-75-BZ	131-79-BZ	507-79-BZ
891-76-BZ	168-79-BZ	

Minutes of Regular Meeting, Tuesday Afternoon, September 18, 1979, Affecting Calendar Numbers—

436-58-SA	799-79-BCR	1108-78-A
841-67-SA	800-79-BCR	1109-78-A
736-69-SA	1-78-SA	513-79-A
608-73-SA	611-79-SM	550-79-A
190-74-SM	269-79-A	635-79-A
442-78-SA	1104-78-A	636-79-A
164-79-GR	1105-78-A	637-79-A
170-79-SA	1106-78-A	
544-79-SM	1107-78-A	

Correction Affecting Calendar Numbers—370-79-BZ, 334-78-BZ

CALENDAR

DOCKET

New Cases filed up to September 18, 1979

Cal. No. Dept. Premises Affected

1031-79-A—B.S.I.—6 Fingal Street, southwest corner of Arden Avenue, Block 5394, Lot 13, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1648/79. re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1032-79-A—B.S.I.—16 Fingal Street, south side, 100.00 feet west of Arden Avenue, Block 5394, Lot 8, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1649/79. re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

1033-79-A—B.S.I.—26 Fingal Street, south side, 200.00 feet west of Arden Avenue, Block 5394, Lot 4, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1650/79. re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

1034-79-A—B.S.I.—536 Maguire Avenue, southwest corner of Anthony Street, Block 7019, Lot 70, Woodrow, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1675/79. re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1035-79-A—B.S.I.—524 Maguire Avenue, west side, 128.20 feet south of Anthony Street, Block 7019, Lot 75, Woodrow, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1676/79. re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1036-79-A—B.S.I.—514 Maguire Avenue, west side, 234.00 feet south of Anthony Street, Block 7019, Lot 80, Woodrow, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1677/79. re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1037-79-A—B.S.I.—504 Maguire Avenue, west side, 336.78 feet south of Anthony Street, Block 7019, Lot 85, Woodrow, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1678/79. re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1038-79-A—B.S.I.—11 Convent Avenue, southeast corner of Anthony Street, Block 7019, Lot 65, Woodrow, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1679/79. re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1039-79-A—B.S.I.—23 Convent Avenue, east side, 104.69 feet south of Anthony Street, Block 7019, Lot 60, Woodrow, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1680/79. re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1040-79-A—B.S.I.—37 Convent Avenue, east side, 209.69 feet south of Anthony Street, Block 7019, Lot 55, Woodrow, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1681/79. re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1041-79-A—B.S.I.—49 Convent Avenue, east side, 311.69 feet south of Anthony Street, Block 7019, Lot 50, Woodrow, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1682/79. re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1042-79-A—B.S.I.—3469 Richmond Road, northwest corner of Aultman Avenue, Block 2279, Lot 49, Richmond-town, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #919/79. re- pro-

posed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1043-79-A—F.D.—re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Gulf Lite Charcoal Starter" manufactured by Gulf Oil Corporation.

1044-79-SA—Fire Alarm Signaling, Communication and Control Equipment manufactured by Autocall Division, Federal Signal Corporation.

1045-79-A—B.S.I.—835 Forest Hill Road, southeast corner of Willowbrook Road, Block 1987, Lot 59, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island. Alt. #24/78. re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1046-79-A—F.D.—re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Cruisemaster Fuel System Antifreeze" manufactured by Gulf Oil Corporation.

1047-79-BZ—B.M.—424 West 33rd Street, south side, 268.9 feet west of 9th Avenue, Block 730, Lot 63, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M. Alt. #803/79. re- proposed residence building, M1-5 dist. there are no bulk or parking regulations. Contrary to Secs. 42-00 Z.R., 52-22 Z.R.

1048-79-A—Dept. of Ports and Terminals, re- proposed installation of oversize gasoline storage tanks.

1049-79-BZ—B.M.—144 West 27th Street, south side, 232.4 feet east of Seventh Avenue, Block 802, Lot 67, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M. Alt. #497/79. re- proposed conversion of a commercial building to a class "A" in an M1-6 dist. Cont. to Sec. 42-10 Z.R., no bulk for residence in a manufacturing dist.

1050-79-A—B.M.—144 West 27th Street, south side, 232.4 feet east of Seventh Avenue, Block 802, Lot 67, Borough of Manhattan. Alt. #497/79. re- proposed egress, exterior stair, absence of fireproof passageway from yard to street, stairs from entrance extending to roof is Contrary to Sec. 268 of the Labor Law, Sec. 53 of Multiple Dwelling Law, and Article 7B of Multiple Dwelling Law.

1051-79-BZ—B.M.—28 East 22nd Street, south side, 336 feet west of Park Avenue South, Block 850, Lot 58, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M. Alt. #702/79. re- proposed change of use from manufacturing to residential is Contrary to Sec. 42-10 Z.R.

1052-79-A—B.S.I.—37 Valdemar Avenue, north side, 162.05 feet east of Queensdale Street, Block 6857, Lot 31, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1537/79. re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1053-79-A—B.S.I.—51 Valdemar Avenue, northeast corner of Queensdale Street, Block 6857, Lot 1, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1538/79. re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

1054-79-SA—Centrascan 73 model #7373-E Proprietary Systems, manufactured by ADT Company Incorporated.

1055-79-BZ—B.M.—4 East 79th Street, south side, 100 feet east of Fifth Avenue, Block 1393, Lots 67 and 68, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M. Alt. #1166/78. re- proposed use from private residence to offices, duplex class A apartment in cellar require 30 feet rear yard, Contrary to Secs. 22-00 Z.R., 23-47 Z.R.

CALENDAR

1056-79-A—B.M.—4 East 79th Street, south side, 100 feet east of Fifth Avenue, Block 1393, Lots 67 and 68, Borough of Manhattan. Alt. #1166/78. re- proposed two means of egress from upper floors, from private residence to offices in a building of class 11C construction more than four story and more than 50 feet in height, open access stair connecting more than two story constitute a shaft, Contrary to Secs. C26-603.2, C26-405.1, C26-504.6 and table 3-4.

1057-79-SA—Omega VII Life Safety Fire Alarm Communication System, manufactured by California Fire Tech, Incorporated.

1058-79-A—F.D.—re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "CarFare" manufactured by ERC Technology Corporation.

1059-79-BZ—B.B.—1619 48th Street, north side, 140 feet east of 16th Street, Block 5443, Lot 85, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK. N.B. #167/79. re- proposed three story, three family building in an R5 zone with the minimum front yard, and side yards Contrary to Secs. 23-45 Z.R., 23-46 Z.R.

1060-79-A—B.S.I.—39 Colon Street, east side, 160.00 feet north of Billiou Street, Block 6561, Lot 88, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1024/79. re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

DESIGNATIONS: D—Department of Buildings; B.B.—Department of Buildings, Brooklyn; B.M.—Department of Buildings, Manhattan; B.Q.—Department of Buildings, Queens; B.S.I.—Department of Buildings, Staten Island; B.Bx.—Department of Buildings, Bronx; H.D.—Health Department; F.D.—Fire Department.

OCTOBER 23, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday morning, October 23, 1979, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013 on the following:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

240-78-A

APPLICANT—Robert A. Fuller for the Kingsford Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Match Light Briquets", consisting of "K-28" (Charcoal impregnated with solvent) with a flash point of 180° F. and "Conoco LPA Solvent" with a flash point of 162° F. in four (4) pound baler bags with no type of air-tight replaceable cup or top, packaging not in compliance with the regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

316-78-A

APPLICANT—Robert A. Fuller for the Kingsford Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Match Light Briquets", consisting of "K-28" (Charcoal impregnated with solvent) with a flash point of 180° F. and "Conoco LPA Solvent" with a flash point of 162° F. in eight (8) pound baler bags with no

type of air-tight replaceable cap or top, packaging not in compliance with the regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York; previously granted on condition.

406-64-BZ

APPLICANT—Max Siegel Associates for M and R Management Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 14, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, previously granted on condition under Section 60(3) of the Multiple Dwelling Law, permitting in an R7-2 district, in an existing multiple dwelling, the use of the unused and surplus tenants spaces in the required accessory garage for transient parking.

PREMISES AFFECTED—220 East 63rd Street, south side, 205 feet east of Third Avenue, Block 1417, Lot 35, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

684-64-BZ

APPLICANT—Max Siegel Associates for 360 East 72nd Street Owners Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired October 20, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 60(3) of the Multiple Dwelling Law, permitting in an R8, C1-5 and R10 district, in an existing multiple dwelling, the addition of transient parking for the unused and surplus tenants spaces with the required accessory garage.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1325 First Avenue, west side, from East 71st Street to East 72nd Street, 355 East 71st Street, 360 East 72nd Street, Block 1446, Lot 23, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

176-69-A

APPLICANT—M. Milton Glass for Joint Board Dress Building, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- Elevator-in-Readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—218 to 232 West 40th Street, south side, 214 feet 3 inches west of 7th Avenue, Block 789, Lot 52, Borough of Manhattan.

26-79-A

APPLICANT—Storch, Pesa and Associates for 744 Clinton Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—appeal from Administrative decision re- sprinkler system; previously granted on condition.

853-53-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Martin Siegel, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and extension of term of variance—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7e, 7f and 7i of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a business use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline service station, lubricatorium, car washing, motor vehicle repairs, storage and sale of accessories and office; and to permit the parking and storage of motor vehicles on unbuilt upon portion of plot with show windows and curb cut nearer the residence use district than is permitted.

CALENDAR

PREMISES AFFECTED—2402-2416 Knapp Street and 3106-3116 Avenue X, southwest corner, Block 7429, Lot 10, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #15BK.

708-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Bill Wolf Petroleum Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 73-03(g) of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C2-5 district, the rehabilitation of an existing automotive service station with accessory uses by increasing the number of tanks and removing repair services.

PREMISES AFFECTED—340-346 East 96th Street and 1847-1855 First Avenue, southwest corner, Block 1558, Lot 26, Borough of Manhattan.

ZONING CASES

335-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for Fifth Avenue Loft Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 29, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C6-4 and M1-8 district in an existing 13 story commercial and manufacturing structure, the conversion of all floors above the first floor into a multiple dwelling extending into M1 district.

PREMISES AFFECTED—140 Fifth Avenue, southwest corner of West 19th Street, Block 820, Lot 47, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

336-79-A

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for Fifth Avenue Loft Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 28, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Multiple Dwelling law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—140 Fifth Avenue and 2/6 West 19th Street, southwest corner, Block 820, Lot 47, Borough of Manhattan.

575-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Harry Soled for Michael Gervis, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R5 district, the erection of a three story, two family dwelling that encroaches on the required front and rear yards and with balconies within the required side yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1711 55th Street, north side, 77 feet East of 17th Avenue, Block 5486, Lot 72, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

579-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Storch, Pesa and Associates for Peter D. Ciaccio, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the erection of a two family dwelling that encroaches on the required side yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—66-36 53rd Avenue, south side, 148.5 feet west of 68th Street, Block 2406, Lot 19, Maspeth, Borough of Queens. Community Board #5Q.

580-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Storch, Pesa and Associates for Peter D. Ciaccio, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the erection of a two family dwelling that encroaches on the required side yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—66-40 53rd Avenue, south side, 100 feet west of 68th Street, Block 2406, Lot 22, Maspeth, Borough of Queens. Community Board #5Q.

622-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for McNulty Funeral Home, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 25, 1979 decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-27 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-2 district, the extension of an existing funeral establishment previously before the Board into an adjoining store.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3006/3010 Middletown Road, south side, 36.45 feet west of Hollywood Avenue, Block 5400, Lots 51 and 52, Borough of Bronx. Community Board #10BX.

1112-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Philip Ressa, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for applicant to properly notify all property owners within the affected area—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R3-2 district, the erection of a two story and cellar office building with accessory parking in the open area.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Victory Boulevard, south side, 100 feet east of Canterbury Avenue, Block 2085, Lot 14-T, Borough of Staten Island.

168-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Ernest D. Davis for Gary Gabriel, owner, Barbara Taylor, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application February 21, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-4 district, in an existing two story commercial building, the maintenance of the present eating and drinking establishment without restrictions on entertainment or dancing.

PREMISES AFFECTED—101-115 West 116th Street, northwest corner of Lenox Avenue, Block 1901, Lot 29, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #10M.

Laid over from September 18, 1979 for continued hearing.

695-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Roy-Al Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 18, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C8-4 district the enlargement in floor area and the change in use of an existing manufacturing building into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—341 West 11th Street/721 Washington Street, northeast corner, Block 634, Lot 47, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

CALENDAR

Laid over from September 25, 1979 for continued hearing.

696-78-A

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Roy-Al Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 18, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—341 West 11th Street, northeast corner of Washington Street, Block 634, Lot 47, Borough of Manhattan.

Laid over from September 25, 1979 for continued hearing.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 23, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, *Tuesday afternoon, October 23, 1979*, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

633-79-A

APPLICANT—Martyn and Don Weston for John P. Cannon, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 31, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- egress.

PREMISES AFFECTED—17-19 Richards Street, northeast corner of Seabring Street, Block 505, Lot 60, Borough of Brooklyn.

634-79-A

APPLICANT—T.J. Walocha for Bell and Howell Microfilm Products Division, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 31, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Type "N" Toner" in lgt. H.D. Polyethylene container not in compliance with the regulation of the Administrative Code.

706-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Raymond F. Esposito, owner, Save Way Flushing, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application June 18, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- proposed conversion to Self Service Gas Station is contrary to Section.

PREMISES AFFECTED—56-01 Main Street, southeast corner of 56th Avenue, Block 5165, Lot 28, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

782-79-A

APPLICANT—Ricoh Electronics Incorporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application July 11, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner, re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Copier Dispersant" Cylindrical Plastic contents with Polystyrene Cap with Safe Guard Liner From (3M) container not in compliance with regulations of the Administrative Code of New York City.

910-79-A

APPLICANT—East Bayside Homeowners Association, Incorporated, for Madhu N. Goradia, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 7, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed School Building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—221-21 Corbett Road, north side, 566 feet north of 37th Avenue, Block 6185, Lot 1, Bayside, Borough of Queens.

543-78-A

APPLICANT—Galbreath-Ruffin Corporation.

SUBJECT—Application July 14, 1978—review and appeal of Modification to Reference Standard 13-1 (Air Filters) granted under Calendar Number 281-77-BCR.

PREMISES AFFECTED—150 E. 42nd St., block bounded by Lexington Ave., 3rd Ave., E. 42nd St. and E. 41st St., Block 1296, Lot 46, Borough of Manhattan.

5-78-A

APPLICANT—Apsco Fabrics, Incorporated for Louis and Bessie Adler Foundation, Incorporated, owners.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to dismiss the application of January 4, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- sprinkler protection under the obstructions (Bins).

PREMISES AFFECTED—469-475 10th Avenue and 501 West 36th Street, northwest corner, Block 708, Lot 31, Borough of Manhattan.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 30, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, *Tuesday morning, October 30, 1979*, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013 on the following:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

700-41-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Morris Leibson, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires December 7, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, permitting in the business portion of a plot located in a residence and business use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline service station, lubritorium, car washing, motor vehicle repairs, storage and sale of accessories and the parking and storage of motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—9319-9333 Third Avenue and 301-311 94th Street, northeast corner, Block 6107, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #10BK.

330-74-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired September 10, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C5-3 (F) district, in an existing 33 story commercial building, the addition to the use of the restaurant located in the cellar and first floor to include cabaret.

PREMISES AFFECTED—745 Fifth Avenue and 6 East 58th Street, southeast corner, Block 1293, Lot 69, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

CALENDAR

166-48-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—Max Siegel Associates for Ruth Graham, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence and business use district, the parking and storage of motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1191 East 213th Street, north side, 46.8 feet west of Boston Road and 1196 East 214th Street, Block 4708, Lots 4 and 73, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #12BX.

154-54-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for John Muzuki and Louis Moyette, owners.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 27, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7f of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a business use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline service station, lubricatorium, auto laundry, storage and sale of accessories and office.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3220-3224 Westchester Avenue and 3101-3109 Wilkinson Avenue, southeast corner, Block 4239, Lot 1, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #10BX.

191-69-A

APPLICANT—M. Milton Glass for 49 West 37th Street Realty Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re-elevator in readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—49-57 West 37th Street, north side, 122 feet east of Avenue of the Americas, Block 839, Lot 9, Borough of Manhattan.

530-64-BZ

APPLICANT—Neil Robert Berzak for Irving Teplitsky, executor for Estate of Max and Rebecca Teplitsky, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired October 6, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 11-412 and 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R1-2 district, the enlargement in area and extension of the uses of an existing golf driving range, office, restaurant and miniature golf course to include a baseball batting cage and additional golf tees.

PREMISES AFFECTED—231-05 to 234-05 Northern Boulevard, northeast corner of 231st Street, Block 8084, Lot 71, Block 8086, Lot 1, Block 8087, Lot 1, Block 8088, Lot 1, Block 8092, Lot 127, Block 8099, Lot 147, Douglaston, Borough of Queens. Community Board #11Q.

67-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for International Properties Partnership, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough

Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an M1-6 district, the conversion of an existing ten story commercial structure into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—80-92 Varick Street, 4 Grand Street, northeast corner, Block 477, Lot 1, Borough of Manhattan.

921-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Albert Davino, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired September 26, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R3-2 district, on a plot with commercial uses, the reconstruction of retail stores with accessory parking in open space.

PREMISES AFFECTED—960 Richmond Avenue, west side, 74.98 feet south of Mansey Place, Block 1704, Lot 56, Port Richmond, Borough of Staten Island.

529-53-BZ

APPLICANT—St. Michael's Cemetery and Crematory for St. Michael's Episcopal Church, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and extension of term of variance which expired March 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the erection and maintenance of a structure to be used as Chapel and Crematory.

PREMISES AFFECTED—73-02 Astoria Boulevard, south side, from 49th Street to Brooklyn-Queens Connecting Highway, Block 1016, Lots 450 and 310, Jackson Heights, Borough of Queens. Community Board #1Q.

ZONING CASES

372-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for 43-45 East 19th Street Association, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, in an existing eight story building, the conversion of all floors above the first floor into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—43 East 19th Street, north side, 125 feet west of Park Avenue South, Block 848, Lot 30, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

520-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Tufo, Johnston, Zuccotti and Allegaert for Common Ground Development Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, the conversion of an existing nine story building from a warehouse into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—378-390 West 12th Street, south side, 44 feet west of Washington Street, Block 640, Lots 12 and 19, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

CALENDAR

521-79-A

APPLICANT—Tufo, Johnston, Zuccotti and Allegaert for Common Ground Development Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed living room in cellar (bedroom) is Contrary to Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—378-390 West 12th Street, south side, 44.0 feet west of Washington Street, Block 640, Lots 12 and 19, Borough of Manhattan.

576-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Helen Walenciak for John and Helen Walenciak, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the erection of a one story accessory garage for a dwelling that encroaches on the required front yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1330 Edison Avenue, east side, 101.91 feet south of LaSalle Avenue, Block 5355, Lot 15, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #10BX.

669-79-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Luigi Balice, owner. Luigi Fashions, Ltd., lessee.

SUBJECT—Application June 8, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-1 district, the conversion of an existing one story building from a supermarket into a clothing manufacturing establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2401 White Plains Road, northwest corner of Waring Avenue, Block 4426, Lots 1 and 46, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #11BX.

693-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Donald D. Fisher for Kavogmas Realty Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-21 and 73-62 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R7-2 district, the erection of a fifth floor on an existing four story dwelling that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio.

PREMISES AFFECTED—458 West 22nd Street, south side, 228.6 feet east of 10th Avenue, Block 719, Lot 74, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M.

70-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Guardian Federal Savings and Loan Association, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 22, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district in an existing four story building, the conversion of accessory garage space into apartments that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio, lot area per room and the parking requirements.

PREMISES AFFECTED—37-06 104th Street, 103-14 37th Avenue, southwest corner, Block 1769, Lot 7, Corona, Borough of Queens. Community Board #3Q.

Laid over from September 25, 1979 for continued hearing.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 30, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday afternoon, October 30, 1979, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

362-79-A

APPLICANT—Silverman and Cika for Mrs. Verylan Hamilton, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 11, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- floor area contrary to the Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—633 West 152nd Street, north side, 7.9 feet east of Riverside Drive, Block 2099, Lot 10, Borough of Manhattan.

641-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for J. A. Green Construction, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water.

PREMISES AFFECTED—147-04 183rd Street, southwest corner of 147th Avenue, Block 13408, Lot 9 (Tentative), Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens.

664-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Anthony Montella, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—38 Weiner Street, west side, 50.00 feet north of Richmond Place, Block 7565, Lot 12, Richmond Valley, Borough of Staten Island.

704-79-A

APPLICANT—Augustus A. Beekman, Fire Commissioner for 16 West 14th Street Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 15, 1979—for modification of certificate of occupancy re- sprinkler system.

PREMISES AFFECTED—14/16 West 14th Street, south side, 140 feet west of Fifth Avenue, Block 577, Lots 32 and 33, Borough of Manhattan.

822-79-A

APPLICANT—Augustus A. Beekman, Fire Commissioner for Evelyn Green et al. Executors, owner.

SUBJECT—Application July 23, 1979—for modification of certificate of occupancy #13059 re- sprinkler system.

PREMISES AFFECTED—118-01/21 Metropolitan Avenue, southeast corner of 83rd Avenue, Block 3329, Lot 1, Kew Gardens, Borough of Queens.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY MORNING, SEPTEMBER 18, 1979, 10 A.M.

Present: Chairman Fossella, Vice-Chairman Augusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf.

Absent: Commissioner Carroll.

The minutes of the regular meetings of the Board held on Tuesday morning and afternoon, July 24, 1979, were approved in the Bulletin of August 2, 1979, Volume 64, Number 30.

157-69-A

APPLICANT—Jay Winston for 56 West 39th Street Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired May 20, 1979—Appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- Elevators in Readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—54 West 39th Street, south side, 210 feet east of Avenue of the Americas, Block 840, Lot 78, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jay Winston.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of modification extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Augusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on May 20, 1968, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on September 18, 1979, after due notice in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on May 20, 1969 only as to the term of the modification, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"granted for a term of ten years from the date of this amended resolution, *on condition* that an approved agency, namely Quick Response Service be permitted to perform the service, and that the records and reports required by the Fire Department shall be maintained; that the service shall be maintained in accordance with the requirements of the general resolution adopted by the Board under Calendar Number 630-56-GR; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that the term of this modification shall automatically lapse if the service is discontinued." (F.D. Folder No. 129477.01)

183-48-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for 255 Turnpike Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to obtain a Certificate of Occupancy which expired May 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7c and 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in the retail use portion, the maintenance of a gasoline service station.

PREMISES AFFECTED—75-02 260th Street, south west corner of 75th Avenue, Block 8513, Lot 2, Glen Oaks, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to obtain a Certificate of Occupancy.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Augusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on June 29, 1948, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain a Certificate of Occupancy.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on June 29, 1948 as amended through May 23, 1978 only as to the time to obtain a Certificate of Occupancy, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that a Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within six months from the date of this amended resolution." (N.B. 563-49)

819-52-BZ

APPLICANT—Richard E. Beaman for Marinid Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the docket by the Board to extend the term of variance in accordance with the resolution adopted March 20, 1979, showing completion of work on the facade of building, side yard and sidewalk and curb on Watson Avenue—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7i of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the change in occupancy from five-car garage to motor vehicle repair shop.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1890 Watson Avenue and 1077 White Plains Road, southwest corner, Block 3732, Lot 49, Borough of The Bronx.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Richard E. Beaman.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and restored to Docket by the Board to extend the term of variance in accordance with the resolution adopted March 20, 1979 showing completion of work on the facade of building, side yard and sidewalk and curb on Watson Avenue.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Augusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on March 17, 1953, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on September 18, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on March 17, 1953 as amended through March 20, 1979 only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"granted for a term of five years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . . ; on condition that the sidewalk shall be repaired where required and to provide curbs and curb cuts along the Watson Avenue frontage in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Department of Highways; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 910-52)

MINUTES

761-58-BZ

APPLICANT—Kenneth H. Koons for 2954 Bruner Avenue Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired June 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7e and 21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use D-1 area district, the extension of a marble factory occupying more than the permitted area.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2954-2958 Bruner Avenue, east side, 100 feet south of Adeo Avenue, Block 4791, Lots 5 and 52, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #12BX.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Kenneth H. Koons.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #12BX was notified by the applicant on July 16, 1979 and no response has been received; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on June 23, 1959, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on September 18, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on June 23, 1959 as amended through February 17, 1971 only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"*granted* for a term of ten years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . . ; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 1075-58)

357-59-BZ

APPLICANT—Frederick A. Ketcher for Harvey Starr, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires December 15, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the maintenance of a parking lot for the parking and storage of more than five (5) cars of the passenger-type.

PREMISES AFFECTED—21-23 Hillside Avenue, south side, 252 feet east of Broadway, Block 2170, Lot 110, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #12M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Frederick A. Ketcher.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #12M was notified by the applicant on June 20, 1979 and no response has been received; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on October 14, 1959, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on September 18, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on October 14, 1959 as amended through October 22, 1974 only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"*granted* for a term of five years from December 15, 1979, to permit . . . ; *on condition* that a full width concrete sidewalk shall be installed in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Department of Highways, that the fence shall be repaired where required, and that bumpers shall be installed where required; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 1434-64)

21-74-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Ciampa Properties, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure for extension of time to complete which expired October 26, 1977; and for amendment—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 73-44 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C4-2 district, the reduction in the required accessory parking spaces for a proposed seven story office building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—136-26 37th Avenue, south side, 60.35 feet west of 138th Street, Block 4978, Lot 13, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application withdrawn at the request of the applicant.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

561-75-BZ

APPLICANT—Stroock and Stroock and Lavan for Lincoln Plaza Associates South, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired July 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C4-7L district, the erection of a thirty-two story plus penthouse mixed building that will have less than the required lot area per room.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1886-1896 Broadway, 23-25 West 62nd Street, northeast corner, 24-40 West 63rd Street, Block 1115, Lots 22, 43, 47 and 17, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Robert Samnick.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

MINUTES

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and
Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: 0
Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on June 8, 1976, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on June 8, 1976 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(N.B. 10-74)

891-76-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for the MacIntyre Building Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired July 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an M1-5 district, in an existing ten story and tower structure, the conversion of a portion of the third floor, and the conversion of a portion of the third floor and the fourth through eleventh floors into residential use.

PREMISES AFFECTED—874 Broadway, northeast corner of East 18th Street, Block 847, Lot 24, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph Lombardi.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and time extended to complete the work.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and
Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: 0
Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on May 10, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on May 10, 1977 as amended through July 18, 1978 only as to the time to complete the work, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution."
(Alt. 971-76).

1112-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Philip Ressa, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for applicant to properly notify all property owners within the affected area—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R3-2 district, the erection of a two story and cellar office building with accessory parking in the open area.

PREMISES AFFECTED—Victory Boulevard, south side, 100 feet east of Canterbury Avenue, Block 2085, Lot 14-T, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: L. Kleiman.

ACTION OF BOARD—To set aside the resolution adopted by the Board on June 19, 1979.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4
Negative: 0
Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1
Not Voting: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and restored to the Docket by the Board for applicant to properly notify all property owners within the affected area; to be heard on October 23, 1979 at 10:00 A.M., BZ Calendar.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4
Negative: 0
Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1
Not Voting: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

38-76-A

APPLICANT—Albert Melniker for Standard Boat Company, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, extension of time to complete which expired May 9, 1979 and for amendment—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- classification as a bulk storage plant.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1483 Richmond Terrace, north side, 95.77 feet east of Broadway, Block 184, Lot 275, West New Brighton, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Albert Melniker and Rudolph Rando.
For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for continued hearing, additional information to be submitted.

655-74-A

APPLICANT—H. W. Peter of BUG and G. Kelly, Kelro Consultants for Brooklyn Union Gas Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- use of naphtha as well as fuel oil for boiler burners at the SNG Plant; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—438 Varick Avenue and 287 to 473 Maspeth Avenue, northeast corner, Block 2838, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: H. W. Peter, G. D. Kelly and Peter Schorr.
For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision, hearing closed.

385-76-BZ

APPLICANT—Wechsler-Grasso-Menziuso for 80 Warren Street Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, extension of time to complete which expired October 11, 1978 and for amendment—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted

MINUTES

on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an M1-5 district, the conversion of a six story and cellar building from warehouse, manufacturing and offices into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—76-84 Warren Street, north side, 100.9 feet west of West Broadway, Block 137, Lot 5, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #1M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Vincent Grasso and Leonard Boxer.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar for decision, hearing closed.

386-76-A

APPLICANT—Wechsler-Grasso-Menziuso for 80 Warren Street Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- front yard and egress; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—76-84 Warren Street, north side, 100.9 feet west of West Broadway, Block 137, Lot 5, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Vincent Grasso and Leonard Boxer.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision, hearing closed.

524-76-BZ

APPLICANT—Edward Lauria for Christian Beetschen, owner; Victory Van Lines, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to dismiss for lack of prosecution the application of June 9, 1976—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, in conjunction with an existing furniture warehouse, the enlargement in lot area and the use of open area for commercial vehicle storage.

PREMISES AFFECTED—69-71 St. Mary's Avenue, north side, 25 feet east of Tilson Place, Block 2846, Lot 237, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar. Applicant to be notified.

131-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Tucciarone and DiMilia for Rego Crescent Corporation, owner, 86-43 105th St. Realty Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application February 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R-2 district the erection of a two story medical office building that exceeds the permitted area, encroaches on the required side and rear yards and with less than the required accessory parking.

PREMISES AFFECTED—162-01 92nd Street, southeast corner of 162nd Avenue, Block 10466, Lot 1, Borough of Queens. Community Board #10Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Mario A. Tucciarone.

For Opposition: Mary E. Mitchell.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing; additional information.

168-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Ernest D. Davis for Gary Gabriel, owner, Barbara Taylor, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application February 21, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-4 district, in an existing two story commercial building, the maintenance of the present eating and drinking establishment without restrictions on entertainment or dancing.

PREMISES AFFECTED—101-115 West 116th Street, northwest corner of Lenox Avenue, Block 1901, Lot 29, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #10M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ernest D. Davis, Oswald Thompson and Ernest Washington.

For Opposition: Ali Rashed, Muhsin Nabil, David H. Gikow and others.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 23, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing, additional information to be submitted.

279-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 660 of the New York City Charter, to permit in an R5 district the erection of a three story and cellar three family dwelling that encroaches on the required front, side and rear yards.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1456 58th Street, south side, 220 feet west of 15th Avenue, Block 5706, Lot 29, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Louis Lieberman.

For Opposition: None.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; corrected drawings; hearing closed.

280-79-A

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1456 58th Street, south side, 220 feet west of 15th Avenue, Block 5706, Lot 29, Borough of Brooklyn.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; corrected drawings, hearing closed.

364-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for 252 West 30th Street Association, owner.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application April 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, in an existing fifteen story structure, the conversion of the second through fifteenth floors from lofts into apartments.

PREMISES AFFECTED—252 West 30th Street, south side, 100.3 feet east of 8th Avenue, Block 779, Lot 71, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Samuel J. Kisseloff, Merle Gross and Joseph Pell Lombardi.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing, additional information.

366-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Shul-Reis Realty Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-01, 72-21 and 73-241 of the Zoning Resolution and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a C2-8 district, the construction of four additional stories on an existing one story and basement commercial building that creates non-compliance on the commercial lot area and floor area requirement.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1185 1st Avenue, northwest corner of East 64th Street, Block 1439, Lot 23, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Samuel J. Kisseloff.

For Opposition: Jonathan Green and Sidney S. Allen.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 10 A.M., for hearing.

371-79-BZ

APPLICANT—John W. Stacom for Feinrose Association, owner. Super A-musement Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-35 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a M2-1 district within an existing shopping center the change in use of one store into an amusement arcade.

PREMISES AFFECTED—133-42 Whitestone Expressway, southeast corner of Downing Street, Block 4367, Lot 1, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #7Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sid Davidoff and Anthony Gaudio.

For Opposition: State Senator Gary Ackerman, Councilman Edward Sadowsky, Beatrice Buhr, Beverly Corenthal, Maria Sullivan and Gerald O. Kaplan.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision, hearing closed.

500-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Wax Bryman Associates for TCA Hudson Street Incorporation, owner, El Diario, La Prensa, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 20, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a M1-5 district, in an existing eight story commercial building, the conversion of the third through eighth floors into apartments.

PREMISES AFFECTED—181-85 Hudson Street, northwest corner of Vestry Street, Block 222, Lot 19, part of 22, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #1M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 10 A.M., for hearing.

507-79-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino, for 164-02 Cross Bay Boulevard Corporation, owner. J.T.R. Entertainment Corporation, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 25, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-24 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-2 district, in an existing one story building occupied as a restaurant, the addition to the uses to include cabaret.

PREMISES AFFECTED—164-02 Cross Bay Boulevard, southwest corner of 164th Avenue, Block 1252, Lots 5 and 9, Howard Beach, Borough of Queens. Community Board #10Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Decision deferred to October 9, 1979, at 10 A.M., hearing closed.

Adjourned: 1:05 P.M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY AFTERNOON, SEPTEMBER 18, 1979, 2 P.M.

Present: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf.

Absent: Commissioner Carroll.

436-58-SA

APPLICANT—Tokheim Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—To include additional selfservice gasoline station equipment.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll . 2

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:

436-58-SA: Amendment to resolution to include additional self-service gasoline station equipment.

Tokheim Corporation, Fort Wayne, Indiana, requested that the resolution adopted November 18, 1958 and amended through September 19, 1978 under Calendar Number 436-58-SA be reopened and amended to include additional self-service gasoline station equipment.

PROPOSED USE: Self-service gasoline station equipment.

DESCRIPTION: The overall system, the Tokheim Mems II System, includes previously approved dispensers, Tokheim Model 162 series electronic or Tokheim Model 1250A series mechanical, and the additional equipment, the Model 179 Console and Models 94 and 98 Computer/Power Centers.

Model 179 is a microprocessor controlled console which

MINUTES

functions as a point of sale terminal and data control center for a 4 to 16 hose outlet system and for five grades of products.

Model 94 and Model 98 Computer/Power Centers supply operating power for a maximum of eight hose outlets. The previously approved computers, Model 87 series, have been combined with the previous approved junction boxes Model 87AD series, into modular units Model 94 Computer/Power Centers are these modular units which are used with mechanical dispensers. Model 98 Computer/Power Centers are these modular units which are used with solid state electronic dispensers. The printed circuit boards in the Models 94 and 98 remain functionally the same as in the previously approved Model 87, except that the integrated circuits have been replaced with microprocessors.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The additional equipment has been tested and approved by Underwriters' Laboratories (File MH6810).

RECOMMENDATION: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted November 18, 1958 and amended through September 19, 1978 under Calendar Number 436-58-SA be reopened and amended to include additional self-service gasoline station equipment, the Model 179 Console and Models 94 and 98 Computer/Power Centers, as components of the overall Tokheim Mems II System, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code and Chapter 19 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, the original resolution and previous amendments adopted under this Calendar Number, and the requirements of City Council Intro. No. 325 of 1978, or whatever final form in which the Intro. is adopted into law, to amend Chapter 19 of the Administrative Code concerning self-serve gasoline stations.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 436-58-SA".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test,
Executive Director,

Resolved that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

841-67-SA

APPLICANT—Dover Corporation, Elevator Division, owner.

SUBJECT—To show compliance with revised standards.

APPEARANCES—
For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll.. 2

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads: 841-67-SA: Amendment to resolution to show compliance with revised standards.

Dover Corporation/Elevator Division, Memphis, Tennessee, requested that the resolution adopted June 18, 1968 under Calendar Number 841-67-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards.

PROPOSED USE: Elevator hoistway door interlocks.

DESCRIPTION: The previously approved elevator hoistway door interlocks, Models 66401 and 66402, have not been changed since their approval.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The interlocks have been tested and approved by Underwriters' Laboratories (File SA3659) and have been certified by J. Frank Shawhan, P. E., Consulting Engineer, to comply with the revised applicable standards, which were adopted February 20, 1979 under Calendar Number 72-79-BCR, to revise Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code of the City of New York.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted June 18, 1968 under Calendar Number 841-67-SA be reopened and amended to show compliance with revised standards (Reference Standard RS 18 of the Building Code of the City of New York) of the Models 66401 and 66402 elevator hoistway door interlocks, as described above, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code and the original resolution adopted under this Calendar Number.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 841-67-SA".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited resolution in accordance with the above report.

736-69-SA

APPLICANT—Space Maker Industries, owner.

SUBJECT—To include additional use of car lift/parking device.

MINUTES

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4
Negative: 0
Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta and Commissioner
Carroll 2

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:
736-69-SA: Amendment to resolution to include additional use of car lift/parking device.

Space Maker Industries, Bergenfield, New Jersey, requested that the resolution adopted July 7, 1970 and amended September 28, 1976 under Calendar Number 736-69-SA be reopened and amended to include an additional use of a car lift/parking device.

DESCRIPTION: The previously approved device, known as Space-O-Matic, is a hydraulic lift which raises a car and allows a second car to be parked under the first. The approval specified outdoor parking lot uses.

The additional use of the device is in sprinklered indoor garages.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The additional use has been recommended for approval, with the conditions listed below, by the Division of Fire Prevention.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted July 7, 1970 and amended September 28, 1976 under Calendar Number 736-69-SA be reopened and amended to include the use of the Space-O-Matic car lift/parking device in sprinklered indoor garages, on the following conditions:

1. The parking lots and garages in which the lift is used shall be attended.

2. The requirements for parking lots of C19-72.1 of the Administrative Code shall be complied with.

3. Each proposed use of the lift shall be submitted to the Department of Buildings to determine whether it complies with the Zoning Resolution and whether soil conditions are adequate.

4. All regulations of the Department of Licenses shall be complied with.

5. Indoor installations of the lift shall be sprinklered garages which also have side wall sprinklers to protect the lower vehicle parked on the lift. The side wall sprinklers shall be protected from mechanical injury. The sprinkler pipe sizes shall be adequate to supply the additional side wall sprinklers.

6. The lift shall only be used for vehicles which do not exceed 6500 pounds in weight.

7. For indoor installations of the lift, plans shall be filed and approved by the Department of Buildings for the alteration of the existing sprinkler system and tie-in of the additional sprinklers. Hydrostatic tests of the sprinkler system components shall be witnessed and approved by the Fire Department and Department of Buildings.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 736-69-SA".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to

notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

608-73-SA

APPLICANT—SGB Universal Builders Supply Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—To show change of corporate name and change of component material.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Alan Shalders.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4
Negative: 0
Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll.. 2

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:
608-73-SA: Amendment to resolution to show change of corporate name and change of component material.

SGB Universal Builders Supply Incorporated, Mount Vernon, New York, requested that the resolution adopted December 3, 1974 under Calendar Number 608-73-SA be reopened and amended to show a change of corporate name and change of component material.

PROPOSED USE: Material hoist and tower.

DESCRIPTION: The change of corporate name is from SGB Steel Scaffolding and Shoring Company, Incorporated, to SGB Universal Builders Supply Incorporated.

The approved SGB SK Hoist and Tower was originally approved with a tower material of structural aluminum and a guide rail material of steel. The change of material is that the guide rail is also structural aluminum, Type 6070-T6. The guide rails, attached to the tower at 4' 4" increments, are horizontal guides to the vertically moving cars.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The hoist, with aluminum guide rails, was approved by the New York State Board of Standards and Appeals (Approved No. 6803). The approval was based upon data, drawings, and calculations submitted by a licensed Professional Engineer. (Results certified by Joseph M. Titunik, P.E. No. 26814).

RECOMMENDATION: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted December 3, 1974 under Calendar Number 608-73-SA be reopened and amended to show a change of corporate name, to SGB Universal Builders Supply Incorporated, and to include a change of component material, to 6070-T6 structural aluminum for the guide rails, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code of the City of New York and the original resolution adopted under this Calendar Number.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc. as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself

MINUTES

shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 608-73-SA".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited resolution in accordance with the above report.

190-74-SM

APPLICANT—MG Coupling Company, owner.

SUBJECT—To include additional size of coupling.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Bob Belsky.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4
Negative: 0
Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll 2

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:
190-74-SM: Amendment to resolution to include additional size of coupling.

MG Coupling Company, Commerce, California, requested that the resolution adopted July 2, 1974 under Calendar Number 190-74-SM be reopened and amended to include an additional size of coupling.

PROPOSED USE: Coupling for joining hubless cast iron pipe and fittings.

DESCRIPTION: The MG Couplings were previously approved for sizes ranging from 1½" to 8".

The additional coupling consists, as do the previously approved couplings, of two cast iron clamps, a neoprene gasket, and stainless steel bolts and nuts. The additional coupling is 10" in size.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The 10" coupling has been tested and approved by the International Association of Plumbing and Mechanical Officials (File No. 761) and by Smith-Emery Company, Chemists, Testing, Inspection, and Engineers, Los Angeles, California (File No. MI 14480-79).

RECOMMENDATION: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted July 2, 1974 under Calendar Number 190-74-SM be reopened and amended to include the additional 10" size of MG Coupling for joining hubless cast iron pipe and fittings, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code of the City of New York and the original resolution adopted under this Calendar Number.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 190-74-SM".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

442-78-SA

APPLICANT—Pem All Fire Extinguisher Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—To include additional use of extinguishing system.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application Reopened and resolution amended in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4
Negative: 0
Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll.. 2

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:
442-78-SA: Amendment to resolution to include additional use of extinguishing system.

Pem All Fire Extinguisher Corporation, Cranford, New Jersey, requested that the resolution adopted July 18, 1978 under Calendar Number 442-78-SA be reopened and amended to include an additional use of a fire extinguishing system.

PROPOSED USE: Fire extinguishing system for self-service gasoline stations.

DESCRIPTION: The system, Pem All PGS-70, uses two 35 pound cylinders of potassium bicarbonate dry chemical extinguishing agent for self-service gasoline stations. The original approval specified coverage of services islands with a maximum of five feet in width.

The additional use of the system is the coverage of service islands up to six feet in width.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The system was fire tested for protection of a six foot wide island and approved by Underwriters' Laboratories (File EX2644).

RECOMMENDATION: The Committee on Test recommends that the resolution adopted July 18, 1978 under Calendar Number 442-78-SA be reopened and amended to include the Pem All PGS-70 dry chemical fire extinguishing system for self-service gasoline stations for use in the cover-

MINUTES

age of service islands up to six feet in width, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code and Chapter 19 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, Fire Prevention Directives, and the original resolution adopted under this Calendar Number.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 442-78-SA".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,

STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,

THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *amend* the above cited *resolution* in accordance with the above report.

164-79-GR

APPLICANT—The City of New York Board of Standards and Appeals.

SUBJECT—Applicability of Reference Standard RS 18-1 (Safety Code for Elevators, Dumbwaiters, Escalators and Moving Walks) of the Building Code of the City of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Administration: Irving Polsky, P.E., Dept. of Buildings.

ACTION OF BOARD—General resolution approved in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll.. 2

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads: 164-79-GR—The resolution adopted March 20, 1979 under Calendar Number 164-79-GR is hereby reopened and amended to read as follows:

APPLICANT—The City of New York Board of Standards and Appeals.

SUBJECT—Applicability of Reference Standard RS 18-1 (Safety Code for Elevators, Dumbwaiters, Escalators, and Moving Walks) of the Building Code of the City of New York to approvals of [relevant equipment] *elevator hoistway door interlocks* adopted by the Board before February 20, 1979.

APPEARANCES—

For Administration: Irving Polsky, P.E., Department of Buildings.

ACTION OF BOARD—Approved in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh,

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll.. 2

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads: 164-79-GR—Applicability of Reference Standard RS 18-1 (Safety Code for Elevators, Dumbwaiters, Escalators, and Moving Walks) of the Building Code of the City of New York to approvals of [relevant equipment] *elevator hoistway door interlocks* adopted by the Board before February 20, 1979.

WHEREAS, the requirements of Calendar Number 72-79-BCR, adopted by the Board on February 20, 1979 to revise Reference Standard RS 18-1 of the Building Code, were not made applicable to [relevant equipment] *elevator hoistway door interlocks* approved by the Board before February 20, 1979 and all installation thereafter; and

WHEREAS, the Board deems it necessary and in the public interest to make these requirements applicable to approvals of all [relevant equipment] *elevator hoistway door interlocks*.

Resolved, that by virtue of the powers vested in the Board by Section 666.2 of the City Charter, the Board hereby makes the requirements of the revised Reference Standard RS 18-1 of the Building Code applicable to approvals of [relevant equipment] *elevator hoistway door interlocks* approved by the Board before February 20, 1979 and all installations thereafter.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,

STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,

THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *approve* this reference standard RS 18-1 in accordance with the above report.

170-79-SA

APPLICANT—Holmes Protection, Incorporation for Potter Electric Signal Company, owner, Raymond N. Keosayan, agent.

SUBJECT—Application February 21, 1979—System Seven, models 7000.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appliance approved in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fosella, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll . 2

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads: 170-79-SA: Holmes Protection, Incorporated, New York, for Potter Electric Signal Company, St. Louis, Missouri filed for approval of the System Seven central station fire alarm transmitter under the provisions of Article 17 and RS 17 of the Building Code of the City of New York.

PROPOSED USE: Central station fire alarm transmitter.

MINUTES

DESCRIPTION: The electrical/mechanical transmitters are used in central fire protection offices. They transmit coded alarm signals and supervisory signals. The transmitters are designed for positive non-interfering and successive coded transmissions. This allows signals with different codes to be transmitted and not clash with each other. All transmissions subsequent to the first one or held in abeyance and transmitted in total, one at a time.

The components of the system are as follows:

Model	Description
7000	Transmitter cabinet with mother board
7002	Class A fire board
7004	Supervisory board
7005	Class B fire board
7011	Bell relay for fire board

INSPECTION AND TEST: The transmitter has been tested and approved by Underwriters' Laboratories (File S 600) and has been recommended for approval by the Division of Fire Prevention.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Committee on Test recommends the approval of the System Seven central station fire alarm transmitter, with the components listed above, on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of Article 17 and RS 17 of the Building Code of the City of New York, Chapter 19 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, and Fire Department Directives.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 170-79-SA".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,

STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,

THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *approve* this *appliance* in accordance with the above report.

544-79-SM

APPLICANT—Dresser Manufacturing Division, Dresser Industries, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Sleeve to electrically isolate two sections of gas line.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Andrew J. Flaming.

ACTION OF BOARD—Material approved in accordance with the report and recommendation of Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4
Negative: 0
Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll.. 2

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the report of a Committee on Test reads:
544-79-SM: Dresser Manufacturing Division, Dresser Industries, Incorporated, Bradford, Pennsylvania, filed for approval of Dresser Style 850 Hot Line Insulating Tapping Sleeves under the provisions of Article 16 and RS 16 of the Building Code of the City of New York.

PROPOSED USE: Sleeve to electrically isolate two sections of gas line.

DESCRIPTION: The sleeve consists of two halves which encircle the pipe. The halves are bolted together and sealed with gaskets between side flanges, which are compressed against steel by tightening set screws to maintain a positive seal. One end of the sleeve is electrically insulated with plastic parts from the pipe line. A hole is cut through the tapping boss of the sleeve and through the pipe to completely separate two sections of pipe. The boss has an external thread to facilitate installation of a plug in the sleeve.

The separated pipe and plastic insulation provide protection against electrical currents that can be created in lines carrying gases under pressure. The rated working pressure of the sleeves is 125 psi. The sleeve is available in 2", 3", and 4" sizes.

INSPECTION AND TEST: The sleeves have been satisfactorily utilized by Consolidated Edison for a number of years. Consolidated Edison presently sees a need for their use inside of buildings. Qualifying tests performed by Dresser (No. C-3509) have been approved by Consolidated Edison.

RECOMMENDATION: The Committee on Test recommends the approval of Dresser Style 850 Hot Line Insulating Tapping Sleeves under the provisions of Article 16 and RS 16 of the Building Code of the City of New York, on condition that the sleeves shall only be used and installed by qualified utilities company personnel and on condition that all uses, locations, and installations shall comply with the applicable requirements of the Building Code and Fire Prevention Directives.

The descriptive product information about this item, including brochures, catalogues, bulletins, etc., as well as all product labels, packaging, and, where possible, the item itself shall be labeled with the wording: "Approved by the New York City Board of Standards and Appeals under Calendar Number 544-79-SM".

A sworn affidavit by a responsible officer of the manufacturer familiar with the materials, processes and controls of the product manufactured, shall be filed annually with this Board, certifying that materials, processes, controls and labeling of materials, literature, catalogues, bulletins, and packaging are being maintained as were in effect at the time of this approval under this Calendar Number. Failure to notify the Board of change of ownership, change of corporate name, change of address or to submit the annual affidavit will be cause for revocation of approval.

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,

STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,

THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *approve* this *material* in accordance with the above report.

799-79-BCR

APPLICANT—Irwin Fruchtmann, P.E., Commissioner, Department of Buildings, letter dated June 22, 1979 and received July 20, 1979.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Modification of Reference Standards RS 10 and RS 10-5 (Design, Fabrication, and Erection of Structural Steel for Buildings) of the Building Code of the City of New York.

AUTHORITY—Section C26-106.2(d) of the Building Code of the City of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Irving Folsky, P.E., Dept. of Buildings, Daniel M. McGee, P.E. and Frank W. Stockwell, P.E.

ACTION OF BOARD—Reference Standards RS 10 and RS 10-5 modified, in accordance with the report and recommendation of the Committee on Test.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4

Negative: 0

Absent: 2

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on September 18, 1979 after due notice; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Standards and Appeals has determined that Reference Standards RS 10 and RS 10-5 should be modified;

Resolved, the Board of Standards and Appeals hereby modifies the designated Reference Standards RS 10 and RS 10-5 as follows:

1. Revise the list of referenced national standards in part as indicated.

AISC Steel Specification	Specification for the Design, Fabrication, and Erection of Structural Steel for Buildings, AISC—[69] <i>November 1, 1978</i> as modified [1969] ..	1978
RSRBSJ/AISC	Specification for Structural Joints Using ASTM A325 or A490 Bolts [May 1974] <i>April 26, 1978</i>	1978
ASTM-A434	Specification for Quenched and Tempered Alloy Steel Bars, Hot-Rolled or Cold Finished [1964]	1976
ASTM-B6	Specification for Zinc Metal (Slab Zinc) [1970]	1977
ASTM-A116	Specifications for Zinc-Coated (Galvanized Iron or Steel) Farm-field and Railroad Right-of Way Wire Fencing [1971]	1973
ASTM-B227	Standard Specifications for Hand-Drawn Copper-Covered-Steel Wire [1965]	1970

Matter in *italics* is new material.

Matter in brackets [] is to be deleted.

2. Delete the present Reference Standard RS 10-5 in its entirety and substitute the following:

REFERENCE STANDARD RS 10-5

AISC 1978, Specification for the Design, Fabrication and Erection of Structural Steel for Buildings effective November 1, 1978.

MODIFICATIONS—The provisions of AISC 1978 Specification for Design, Fabrication and Erection of Structural Steel for Buildings shall be subject to the following modifications. The section and paragraph numbers are from that standard.

SECTION 1.3 LOADS AND FORCES—Delete this text and substitute the following:

"The provisions of the building code for loads shall apply."

SECTION 1.4 MATERIAL—Delete this text and substitute the following:

1.4.1 Structural Steel

1.4.1.1 Material conforming to one of the following standard specifications is approved for use under this

Specification:

Structural Steel, ASTM A36-77a.

Welded and seamless Steel Pipe, ASTM A53-77a, Grade B.

High-Strength Low-Alloy Structural Steel, ASTM A242-75.

High-Strength Low-Alloy Structural Manganese Vanadium Steel, ASTM A441-77.

Cold-Formed Welded and Seamless Carbon Steel Structural Tubing in Rounds and Shapes, ASTM A500-77.

Hot-Formed Welded and Seamless Carbon Steel Structural Tubing, ASTM A501-76.

High-Yield Strength Quenched and Tempered Alloy Steel Plate, Suitable for Welding, ASTM A514-77.

Structural Steel with 42,000 psi Minimum Yield Point, ASTM A529-75.

Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Sheets and Strip, Structural Quality, ASTM A570, Grades D and E.

High-Strength Low-Alloy Columbium-Vanadium Steels of Structural Quality, ASTM A572-77a.

High-Strength Low-Alloy Structural Steel with 50,000 psi Minimum Yield Point to 4 in. Thick, ASTM A588-77a.

Steel Sheet and Strip, Hot-Rolled and Cold-Rolled, High-Strength, Low-Alloy, with Improved Corrosion Resistance, ASTM A606-75.

Steel Sheet and Strip, Hot Rolled and Cold-Rolled, High-Strength, Low-Alloy, Columbian and/or Vanadium, ASTM A607-75.

Hot-Formed Welded and Seamless High-Strength Low-Alloy Structural Tubing, ASTM A618-74.

1.4.2 Other Metals

Cast steel shall conform to one of the following standard specifications:

Mild-to-Medium-Strength Carbon-Steel Castings for General Applications, ASTM A27-77, Grade 65-35.

High-Strength Steel Castings for Structural Purposes, ASTM A148-73, Grade 80-50.

Steel Forgings shall conform to the following standard specification:

Steel Forgings Carbon and Alloy for General Industrial Use, ASTM A668-77.

1.4.3 Rivets

Steel rivets shall conform to the following standard specification:

Steel Structural Rivets, ASTM A502-76.

1.4.4 Bolts

Steel bolts shall conform to one of the following standard specifications:

Low-Carbon Steel Externally and Internally Threaded Standard Fasteners, ASTM A307-76b.

High Strength Bolts for Structural Steel Joints, Including Suitable Nuts and Plain Hardened Washers, ASTM A325-76c.

Quenched and Tempered Steel Bolts and Studs, ASTM A449-77.

Quenched and Tempered Alloy Steel Bolts for Structural Steel Joints, ASTM A490-77.

In connection, A449 bolts may be used only in bearing-type connections requiring bolt diameters greater than 1½ inches. A449 bolt material is also acceptable for high-strength anchor bolts and threaded rods of any diameter.

1.4.5 Filler Metal and Flux for Welding

Welding electrodes and fluxes shall conform to one of the following specifications of the American Welding Society:*

Specification for Mild Steel Covered Arc-Welded Electrodes, AWS A5.1-69.

Specification for Low-Alloy Steel Covered Arc-Welding Electrodes, AWS A5.5-69-Add. 1-77.

Specification for Bare Mild Steel Electrodes and Fluxes for Submerged-Arc Welding, AWS A5.17-76.

Specification for Mild Steel Electrodes for Gas Metal-Arc Welding, AWS A5.18-79.

Specification for Mild Steel Electrodes for Flux-Cored Arc Welding, AWS A5.20-69.

MINUTES

Specification for Bare Low-Alloy Steel Electrodes and Fluxes for Submerged-Arc Welding, AWS A5.23-76-Add. 1-76.

* Approval of these welding electrode specifications is given without regard to weld metal notch toughness requirements, which are generally not necessary for building construction. See Commentary Sect. 1.4.

1.4.6 Stud Shear Connectors

Steel stud shear connectors shall conform to the requirements of Articles 4.26 and 4.27, Structural Welding Code, AWS D1.1-77, of the American Welding Society.

SECTION 1.5 ALLOWABLE STRESSES

1.5.6 Wind and Seismic Stresses—Delete this text and substitute the following:

"The applicable provisions of the Building Code for combination of loads shall apply."

SECTION 1.6 COMBINED STRESSES

1.6.1 Axial Compression and Bending—In the last sentence of the definition of F'_e , delete the reference to "Sect. 1.5.6" and substitute, "the provisions of the Building Code for wind and seismic loads." 1.6.3 Shear and Tension—Delete the last sentence of the second paragraph and substitute the following:

"For allowable stresses for a combination of loads for A325 and A490 bolts used in friction-type connections, the applicable provisions of the Building Code for wind and seismic loads shall apply."

SECTION 1.7 MEMBERS AND CONNECTIONS SUBJECT TO REPEATED VARIATION OF STRESS (FATIGUE)—The provisions of this section shall not apply to the design of overhead beams for elevators and hoisting apparatus nor to their immediate supporting framing.

SECTION 1.10 PLATE GIRDERS AND ROLLED BEAMS

1.10.9 Horizontal Forces—Delete the reference to "(See Sect. 1.3.4)" and substitute, "See applicable provisions of the Building Code for moving loads."

SECTION 1.11 COMPOSITE CONSTRUCTION

1.11.1 Definition—Add the following: "Concrete materials shall meet the applicable requirements of the Building Code. Composite construction used for members subjected to heavy vehicle loads, as defined in the Building Code for moving loads (except where the applied vehicle is limited to passenger cars), shall be proportioned in accordance with requirements of reference standard RS 9-3."

1.11.2 Design Assumptions—Add the following to Section 1.11.2.1:

"The minimum specified compressive strength of concrete (f'_c) for encased composite beams shall be 2.0 ksi."

1.11.4 Shear Connectors—Add the following at the end of third paragraph: "For aggregate not complying with the above, the Department of Buildings Rules for Design of Composite Construction with Metal Decks or Lightweight Concrete, dated September 8, 1975 shall be used. Working values for use with construction for which design values have not been established in accordance with the foregoing and for connector types other than those shown in Table 1.11.4 must be established by a prequalified load test as set forth in Section C26-1002.42."

"The minimum specified compressive strength of concrete (f'_c) shall be 3.0 ksi."

1.11.5 Composite Beams or Girders with Formed Steel Deck—Add the following paragraphs:

"Composite beam design shall not be permitted with decks having a nominal rib height exceeding three (3) inches."

"The minimum specified compressive strength of concrete (f'_c) shall be 3.0 ksi."

SECTION 1.13 DEFLECTIONS, VIBRATION, AND PONDING

1.13.3 Ponding—Add the following paragraph:

"In roof systems where secondary members are made up

of materials other than steel, the depth of beams and girders supporting flat roofs shall not be less than $fb/600$ times their span length whether designed as simple or continuous spans."

SECTION 1.15 CONNECTIONS

1.15.3 Placement of Rivets, Bolts and Welds—At the end of the last sentence add the following:

"The foregoing provisions notwithstanding, the eccentricity perpendicular to the plane of the connection shall be considered in proportioning both the member and the connection."

SECTION 1.16 RIVETS AND BOLTS

1.16.1 High-Strength Bolts—In the first paragraph after, "the Specification for Structural Joints Using ASTM A325 or A490 Bolts," delete "latest edition" and substitute "April 26, 1978."

SECTION 1.17 WELDS

1.17.1 General—Add the following paragraph before the first paragraph in Sec. 1.17.1:

"The provisions of the Building Code for welding operations, the Board of Standards and Appeals Rules for Arc and Gas Welding and Oxygen Cutting and Steel Covering the Specifications for Design, Fabrication and Inspection of Arc and Gas Welded Steel Structures and the Qualification of Welders and Supervisors, and the Board of Standards and Appeals Rules for Electroslag Welding Approvals shall apply."

1.17.1 General—Add the following paragraph after the first paragraph in Sec. 1.17.1:

"Welding equipment used to perform submerged—arc, gas metal—arc and flux cored arc welding of high strength steel; and electroslag or electro-gas welding of all steel shall be approved."

SECTION 1.20 EXPANSION—Delete this text and substitute the following:

"The provisions of the Building Code for loads due to thermal forces shall apply."

SECTION 1.23 FABRICATION

1.23.4 Riveted and Bolted Construction—Holes—Add the following paragraph to Section 1.23.4.2:

"The plans shall indicate the location of oversized, short—slotted or long—slotted holes."

SECTION 1.24 SHOF PAINTING—Delete this section and substitute the following:

"SECTION 1.24 PAINTING OF STRUCTURAL STEEL

(a) All structural steel, except as provided in subsection b. of this section, shall receive one coat of paint, zinc or bituminous coating, or equivalent metal protection before erection. The protection shall be applied thoroughly and evenly to dry surfaces which have been cleaned of loose mill scale, loose rust, weld slag flux deposit, dirt, and other foreign matter. Oil and grease deposits shall be removed. Surfaces inaccessible after assembly shall be treated as required above prior to assembly.

(b) Surfaces of structural steel shall not be required to receive metal protection when the structural steel is used under the conditions listed in 1 through 7 below. However, these surfaces shall be cleaned of oil and grease by solvent cleaners and be cleaned of dirt and other foreign material by thorough brushing with a fiber brush.

(1) Structural steel that is encased in concrete (other than cinder concrete) or surfaces that abut concrete (other than cinder concrete) at interior locations.

(2) Structural steel encased in non-corrosive fire resistive materials that are bonded or secured to the steel surfaces by approved means.

(3) Surfaces of structural steel that are to be riveted, bolted, or welded together.

MINUTES

(4) Surfaces of structural steel within 2 in. of field welds shall be free of protective coatings that would prevent proper welding or produce objectionable fumes while welding is being done.

(5) Surfaces of structural steel that have been machine finished.

(6) Surfaces of types of structural steel that have been specifically approved for use without metal protection.

(7) Structural steel members that are completely concealed by interior finish such as lath and plaster, masonry, etc., need not be painted except that where such members are adjacent to piping, are in shower or steam rooms, are exposed to chemical fumes or are exposed to other conditions of potentially aggressive corrosion, such members shall be painted.

(c) Parts of structural members left unpainted because of welding, bolting, or riveting operations not exempted from painting by the provisions of sub-section b. above shall receive a field application of metal protection as prescribed in sub-section a. above.

(d) Structural steel that will remain exposed to the weather or to a corrosive atmosphere shall receive an additional coat of metal protection of another color after erection, except for types of structural steels that have been specifically approved for use under exposure to the weather without metal protection.

(e) All abrasions to, or deteriorations of, the protective coating shall be spot painted."

SECTION 1.25 ERECTION—Delete Section 1.25.6 and substitute the following:

"1.25.6 Field Connections.

Field Connections shall meet the requirement for corresponding types of shop connections described in section 1.23. No holes, copes, or cuts of any type shall be made to facilitate erection unless specifically shown on the shop drawings or authorized in writing by the party or parties designated for inspection of said work."

Add new Section 1.25.7 to read as follows:

"1.25.7 Handling and Storing Materials.

All steel members shall be shipped and handled in a manner that will not cause injury to protective coatings or permanent deformations of the members. Steel members shall not be dropped, thrown, or dragged. Any bends, crimps, or other evidence of permanent deformations shall be straightened or the piece shall be rejected. Materials shall be stored out of contact with the ground, kept clean, and, in general, protected against damage and corrosion."

SECTION 1.26 QUALITY CONTROL

1.26.1 General—Add the following sentence at the end of paragraph one:

"The requirements of the Building Code for quality control shall also apply."

SECTION 1.27 MINIMUM THICKNESS OF METAL

All exterior members of structural steel, except roofing and siding, that are exposed to the weather shall have a protective coating as required by the provisions of Section 1.24 and shall have a minimum thickness of metal of 0.23 in. Exception: The minimum thickness of metal need only conform to the requirements for stress under the following conditions:

1. Exterior members exposed to the weather—an approved type of atmospheric corrosion resistant steel is used or exposed surfaces are zinc coated with a minimum weight of coating of approximately 0.6 ounces per square foot of exposed surface and covered with a protective coating as required by section 1.24 or exposed surfaces are protected by other approved means.

2. Members not exposed to the weather—All members except that members located where they would be subject to accidental impact shall be stiffened to resist such impact.

3. Roofing and siding—All members provided that surfaces which are exposed to the weather shall have

a protective coating.

4. Temporary construction that will be in place for a period of one year, or less, provided that all surfaces which are exposed to the weather shall have a protective coating.

5. Joists or purlins that are exposed to the weather but which do not support more than 200 sq. ft. of floor or roof area, and which have a protective coating as required by Section 1.24.

SECTION 2.1 SCOPE

In the first paragraph following the words "braced and unbraced planar rigid frames" add the following, "not exceeding eighty-five feet in height or seven stories."

Delete the first sentence of the last paragraph and substitute "crane runways shall not be designed continuous over vertical supports on the basis of maximum strength."

SECTION 2.2 STRUCTURAL STEEL—Add the date of adoption following the ASTM designation for each steel material as follows:

ASTM A36-77a

ASTM A242-75

ASTM A441-77

ASTM A529-75

ASTM A572-77a

ASTM A588-77a

(Sgd.) ALAN D. GERSHUNY,
Executive Director,
For the Committee on Test,
STUART LOWENTHAL,
Asst. Engr.,
THOMAS W. FARRICKER, JR.,
Asst. Arch.,
Committee on Test.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *approve* this reference standard RS 10 and RS 10-5 in accordance with the above report.

800-79-BCR

APPLICANT—Irwin Fruchtman, P.E., Commissioner, Department of Buildings, letter dated June 29, 1979 and received July 20, 1979.

SUBJECT—Modification of Reference Standard RS 17-2 (Installation of Sprinkler Systems) of the Building Code of the City of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Irving Polsky, P.E., Dept. of Buildings.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application denied.

THE VOTE TO GRANT—

Affirmative: 0

Negative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta, Commissioner Wolf 4

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll.. 2

1-78-SA

APPLICANT—Dor-O-Matic, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—To include additional use of automatic door operator.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision at the request of the applicant; hearing closed.

611-79-SM

APPLICANT—Industrial Acoustics Company, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—¾ hour fire rated wall and partition assembly.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 16, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

269-79-A

APPLICANT—Frances L. Weiss for 15 West 81st Street Tenants Corporation, owner, Frances L. Weiss, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application March 12, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- refusal to revoke BN 1955-77, BN 3811-76 and BN 4791-77.

PREMISES AFFECTED—15 West 81st Street, north side, 250 feet west of Central Park West, Block 1195, Lot 18, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Opposition: I. Minkin, Dept. of Building and William J. Contwell.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal denied.

THE VOTE TO GRANT:

Affirmative: 0

Negative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta,
Commissioner Wolf 5

Absent: Commissioner Carroll 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the letter of Commissioner of Buildings, dated June 4, 1979 and the Borough Superintendent, dated November 22, 1978, on Revocation B.N. 1955-77, B.N. 3811-76, B.N. 4791-77 and Violation Nos. 12237702121, and 031578C2121, reads:

“Pursuant to instructions of Deputy Commissioner Irving E. Minkin, P.E., please be advised that the above referenced violations have been dismissed from the records of this department. Be further advised that B.N. 1955/77 and B.N. 3811/76 are signed off as completed in the records of this office.

B.N. 4791/77 is being held open pending submission of amended plans to show a change in ‘planter’ construction from lined brick to free standing steel ‘planters’.

It is anticipated that the Housing Violation related to the greenhouse will be immediately dismissed, item number 69 and that the Housing Violations related to planters (item numbers 71 and 72) will be dismissed as soon as B.N. 4791/77 is completed.”

and

WHEREAS, there was a site evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E., Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A.; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds B.N. Application #1955/77 and B.N. Application #3811/76 are approved and signed off in complete compliance with the New York City Building Code and the Department of Buildings rules and regulations; and

WHEREAS, B.N. Application #4791/77 is also in compliance with the New York City Building Code and the Department of Buildings rules and regulations; and

WHEREAS, and engineering report indicates that the terrace roof garden is structurally sound and will adequately support the applied live and dead loads as indicated on Department of Buildings drawings; and

WHEREAS, reports and inspections reveal no indication of structural failure due to existing live and dead loads.

Resolved, that the letter of the Commissioner of Buildings, dated June 4, 1979 and the letter of the Borough Superintendent, dated November 22, 1978 involving B.N. Applications #1955/77, #3811/76, and #4791/77 be and it hereby is *affirmed* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *denied*.

1104-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—188-35 69th Ave., Queens, Block 7117, Lot 3.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Frank P. Farinella

For Opposition: Joseph Berkeley

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 16, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing; additional information.

1105-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—69-10 188 Street, Queens, Block 7115, Lot 2.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 16, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing; additional information.

1106-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—194-25 64th Ave., Queens, Block 7117, Lot 4.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 16, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing; additional information.

1107-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—192-15 69th Ave., Queens, Block 7117, Lot 8.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 16, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing; additional information.

1108-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—196-05 67 Ave., Queens, Block 7117, Lot 6.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 16, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing; additional information.

1109-78-A

APPLICANT—Frank P. Farinella of Hurley and Farinella for Fresh Meadows Associates, owners.

SUBJECT—Application November 24, 1978—appeal from an Administrative Decision re- exterior lighting at Fresh Meadows Housing Complex.

PREMISES AFFECTED—88-01 188 Street, Queens, Block 7117, Lot 2.

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 16, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing; additional information.

513-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for The Turn Verein In The City of New York, owner. Private Cabarets Incorporated, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application April 27, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Interpretative from the wrongful decision of the Department of Buildings dated April 6, 1979.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1251/59 Lexington Avenue, 146/52 East 85th Street, southeast corner of Lexington Avenue and East 85th Street, Block 1513, Lot 50, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug.

For Opposition: I. Minkin, Dept. of Buildings, Mark Alan Siegel, Trudy Emanuel for Sen. Roy Goodman, Orrie Elhacker for Council Member Trichter and Polly Ford for Congressman Green.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision at the request of the objectors.

550-79-A

APPLICANT—Augustus A. Beekman, Fire Commissioner.

OWNER OF PREMISES—Mit-Will Realty.

SUBJECT—Application May 7, 1979—for Modification of Certificate of Occupancy re- sprinkler system.

PREMISES AFFECTED—419/21 Fifth Avenue, east side, 12 feet north of 8th Street, Block 999, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Lt. R. J. Ellis, F.D.

For Opposition: William Soued.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing.

635-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Homelawn Housing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 35, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building in the bed of a mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3169 Miles Avenue, north side, 125.46 feet west of Stevens Place, Block 5517, Lot 32, Borough of Bronx.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll . 2

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

636-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Homelawn Housing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 35, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building in the bed of a mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3171 Miles Avenue, north side, 103.96 feet west of Stevens Place, Block 5517, Lot 31, Borough of Bronx.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll 2

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

637-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Homelawn Housing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 35, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building in the bed of a mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3173 Miles Avenue, north side, 74.52 feet west of Stevens Place, Block 5517, Lot 30, Borough of Bronx.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 4

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll . 2

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to September 25, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision; hearing closed.

Adjourned: 3:10 P.M.

ALAN D. GERHSUNY, *Executive Director*

CORRECTIONS

CORRECTION*

The resolution adopted July 24, 1979 under Calendar Number 370-79-BZ and printed in Bulletin No. 30, Vol. LXIV, is hereby corrected to read as follows:

370-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvati and Son for Leonard Ziegelbaum, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C6-6 district the erection of an 18 story commercial building that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, encroaches on the required rear yard and penetrates the sky exposure plane.

PREMISES AFFECTED—127/29 East 55th Street, north side, 90 feet west of Lexington Avenue, Block 1310, Lot 12, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Anthony M. Salvati.

For Opposition: Amelia Watkins.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Opposed to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE TO REOPEN HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on June 26, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to July 17, 1979; then to July 24, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #10/1979, reads:

"1. The proposed floor area ratio of 18.36 exceeds the permitted floor area ratio of 15 for the commercial building located inside C6-6 district and hence contrary to Section 33-22 of Z.R.

2. The proposed building located inside of the required rear ward 20' is contrary to Section 33-26 of Z.R.

3. The proposed commercial building, having front wall exceeding six stories and located within the initial setback of 20' and penetrating inside the required sky exposure plane, is contrary to Section 33-432 of Zoning Resolution."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A.; and

WHEREAS, the entire block is zoned either C5-3 or C6-6, which permits a floor area ratio of 15; and

WHEREAS, the City Planning Commission has indicated that it does not oppose this application; and

WHEREAS, the proposed area of 13.8 is less than the permitted floor area ratio of 15; and

WHEREAS, the site is a narrow plot that limits the economic viability for a complying building; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in

the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C6-6 district, the erection of a fifteen-story commercial building that encroaches on the required rear yard and penetrates the sky exposure plane *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received April 18, 1979", 6 sheets and "June 21, 1979", 7 sheets; and *on further condition* that a sprinkler system be installed throughout the building; that no Building Department permit shall be issued until 31 days after the date of certification of this resolution; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

* The resolution has been corrected to read, "Recommendation of the Community Board is *Opposed* rather than Favorable to the application."

CORRECTION*

The resolution adopted June 26, 1979 under Calendar Number 334-78-BZ and published in Bulletin No. 26, Vol. LXIV, is hereby corrected to read as follows:

334-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Paul G. Mauch for Hamax Holding Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 11-413 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R1-2 district, on a plot previously before the Board, the enlargement in lot area and the change in use of an existing automobile establishment including the installation of automobile parts with hand tools only muffler installation.

PREMISES AFFECTED—233-20 Northern Boulevard, southwest corner of 234th Street, Block 8166, Lot 25, Douglaston, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Paul G. Mauch.

For Opposition: John O. Riedl.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and resolution amended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #11Q conditional approval was received on June 19, 1979; and

WHEREAS, a letter was received from the Office of Environmental Impact indicating that Part 617 of SEQRA, states that the proposed amendment is a Type II action and is exempt from SEQRA requirements; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on October 4, 1978, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an amendment of the resolution.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on October 4, 1978 by adding thereto:

CORRECTIONS

"To permit the following uses, tire sales, installation and service Use Group 7, auto repair with hand tools only, muffler and tail pipe installation and service with (oxy-acetylene torch), auto parts sales and accessory storage Use 6, auto radio and C.B. sales, and installation and service, and that the total sign area shall be limited to 300 sq. ft., substantially as shown on revised drawings

of proposed conditions marked 'Received June 14, 1979'—two sheets; and that all work shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution; and that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied within all respects." (Alt. 37-58)

* In the Resolved section the resolution has been corrected to delete the words "limited to".

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS
80 LAFAYETTE STREET
NEW YORK, N. Y. 10013

University of Illinois Library
Att: Serials Dept.
Urbana, Ill. 61801

BULK RATE
U. S. Postage
PAID
New York, N. Y.
Permit No. 4875

352.03
NEW

336

BULLETIN

OF THE

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

Issued under authority of Chapter 27, Section 665 of the Charter of the City of New York
Published weekly by the Board of Standards and Appeals at its office, 80 Lafayette Street, 9th Floor, Manhattan,
New York, N. Y. 10013

Vol. LXIV Subscription October 4, 1979 By Mail, 65 cents (Cash only)
\$25.00 a year Single Copies, 50 cents No. 39

NOV 13 1979

DIRECTORY

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS

VITO J. FOSSELLA, P.E., M.C.E., *Chairman*

PHILIP P. AGUSTA, R.A., M.U.P., *Vice Chairman*

HARRY M. CARROLL, P.E.

JOHN J. WALSH, P.E.

JOHN B. CINCOTTA

STANLEY M. WOLF, R.A.

Commissioners.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

RICHARD B. ATWELL, *Deputy Director*

FRANCES M. WALDRON, *Secretary*

DARRELL L. GAVRIN, J.D., *Counsel*

AVRIL H. LATHAM, *Chief Clerk*

OFFICE—80 Lafayette Street, 9th Floor, Manhattan, New
York, N. Y. 10013.

TELEPHONE—566-5174.

CONTENTS

Docket.

Notice of Hearing of Calendar.

Minutes of Regular Meeting, Tuesday Morning, September 25, 1979, Affecting Calendar Numbers—

11-69-A	70-79-BZ
128-53-BZ	270-79-BZ
635-57-BZ	271-79-A
251-58-BZ	275-79-BZ
385-76-BZ	276-79-A
386-76-A	291-79-BZ
225-77-BZ	346-79-BZ
936-77-BZ	347-79-A
516-43-A	552-79-BZ
1055-64-BZ	1136-78-BZ
1290-66-BZ—Vol. II	1157-78-A
524-76-BZ	255-79-BZ
695-78-BZ	279-79-BZ
696-78-A	280-79-A
50-79-BZ	283-79-BZ

Minutes of Regular Meeting, Tuesday Afternoon, September 25, 1979, Affecting Calendar Numbers—

515-79-A	5-78-A
516-79-A	110-79-A
517-79-A	338-79-A
518-79-A	519-79-A
623-79-A	553-79-A
635-79-A	558-79-A
636-79-A	561-79-A
637-79-A	

CALENDAR

DOCKET

New Cases Filed up to September 25, 1979

<i>Cal. No.</i>	<i>Dept.</i>	<i>Premises Affected</i>
1061-79-BZ	B.M.	100 Park Avenue, northeast corner of 40th Street, Block 1275, Lot 27, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M. Alt. #897/79, re-proposed eating and drinking place use group 12 on the 1st floor of the building located in C5-3 dist. Contrary to Sec. 32-10 Z.R.
1062-79-A	F.D.	1020/26 East 48th Street, west side, 240 feet south of Farragut Road, Block 4786, Lot 22, Borough of Brooklyn. re-proposed oxygen-natural gas glass finishing machines. Fire Department Order #77-1407.
1063-79-A	F.D.	re-packaging of combustible mixture known as "Old English Lemon Oil Furniture Polish" manufactured by Boyle-Midway, Division of American Home Products.
1064-79-A	F.D.	re-packaging of combustible mixture known as "Old English" Lemon Oil Furniture Polish" manufactured by Boyle-Midway, Division of American Home Products.
1065-79-A	F.D.	re-packaging of combustible mixture known as "Old English Red Oil Furniture Polish" manufactured by Boyle-Midway, Division of American Home Products.
1066-79-A	F.D.	re-packaging of combustible mixture known as "Old English Red Oil Furniture Polish" manufactured by Boyle-Midway, Division of American Home Products.
1067-79-A	F.D.	re-packaging of combustible mixture known as "Old English Scratch Cover Polish" manufactured by Boyle-Midway, Division of American Home Products.
1068-79-BZ	B.M.	11-13 West 30th Street, north side, 225 feet west of 5th Avenue, Block 832, Lots 32-33, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M. Alt. #598/79. re-proposed building (U.G. 2) located in M1-6 district is Contrary to Sec. 42-00 Z.R.
1069-79-A	B.M.	11-13 West 30th Street, north side, 225 feet west of 5th Avenue, Block 832, Lots 32 and 33, Borough of Manhattan. Alt. #598/79. re-proposed provide proper rear yard, and lot line windows are Contrary to Sec. 277 of Multiple Dwelling Law and table 3-4.
1070-79-BZ	B.M.	151 West 28th Street, north side, 100.1 feet east of Seventh Avenue, Block 804, Lot 8, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M. Alt. #849/79. re-proposed residence building (U.G. 2) located in M1-6 district is Contrary to Sec. 42-00 Z.R. (note there are no bulk or parking regulations).
1071-79-BZ	B.B.	1640 McDonald Avenue, west side, 247.103/8 feet south of 24th Avenue, Block 6582, Lots 28, 30, 32 and 35, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #11BK. N.B. #174/79. re-proposed building in an M1-1 zone within 30-0 of rear lot lines of zoning lots is Contrary to Sec. 43-30 Z.R.
1072-79-BZ	B.S.I.	890 Armstrong Avenue, west side, 100.36 feet north of Leverett Avenue, Block 5491, Lot 88, Eltingville, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #3S.I. Alt. #272/79. re-proposed swimming pool accessory to an existing multiple dwelling development when located less than 100 feet from an interior lot line is Contrary to Sec. 12-10 Z.R.

1073-79-BZ—B.M.—139-149 West 19th Street, north side, 242 feet east of 7th Avenue, Block 795, Lot 14, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M. Alt. #541/78. re-proposed residence building (U.G. 2) located in M1-5 district is Contrary to Secs. 42-00 Z.R., 52-41 Z.R.

1074-79-BZ—B.B.—1475 47th Street, north side, 57.6 feet west of 15th Avenue, Block 5624, Lot 47, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK. N.B. #44/78. re-proposed new three family Multiple Dwelling in R6 zone exceeds the F.A.R. and is deficient in O.S.R. and is therefore Contrary to Sec. 23-14 Z.R.

1075-79-BZ—B.B.—1481 47th Street, northwest corner of 15th Avenue, Block 5624, Lot 46, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK. N.B. #45/78, re-proposed new three family Multiple Dwelling in R6 zone exceeds the F.A.R. and is deficient in O.S.R. and is therefore Contrary to Sec. 23-14 Z.R.

1076-79-A—B.S.I.—2984, 2982, 2980, 2976, 2974, Hylan Boulevard, northeast corner of Guyon Avenue, Block 4018, Lot 12, New Dorp, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #509, 511, 513, 510, 512 and 514/79. re-proposed denial of reconsideration by this office concerning Sec. 23-47 Z. R. and Sec. 12-10 Z.R.

1077-79-A—B.S.I.—45 Connecticut Street, east side, 100.00 feet north of Pittsville Avenue, Block 7931, Lot 38, Totenville, Borough of Staten Island. N.B. #1467/79. re-proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street, Sec. 36, Art. 3 of the General City Law; proposed storm water disposal (Local Law #7).

DESIGNATIONS: D—Department of Buildings; B.B.—Department of Buildings, Brooklyn; B.M.—Department of Buildings, Manhattan; B.Q.—Department of Buildings, Queens; B.S.I.—Department of Buildings, Staten Island; B.Bx.—Department of Buildings, Bronx; H.D.—Health Department; F.D.—Fire Department.

OCTOBER 30, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Tuesday morning, October 30, 1979, at 10 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013 on the following:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

700-41-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Morris Leibson, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires December 7, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, permitting in the business portion of a plot located in a residence and business use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline service station, lubritorium, car washing, motor vehicle repairs, storage and sale of accessories and the parking and storage of motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—9319-9333 Third Avenue and 301-311 94th Street, northeast corner, Block 6107, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #10BK.

330-74-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired September 10, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C5-3 (F) district, in an existing

CALENDAR

33 story commercial building, the addition to the use of the restaurant located in the cellar and first floor to include cabaret.

PREMISES AFFECTED—745 Fifth Avenue and 6 East 58th Street, southeast corner, Block 1293, Lot 69, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

166-48-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—Max Siegel Associates for Ruth Graham, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence and business use district, the parking and storage of motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1191 East 213th Street, north side, 46.8 feet west of Boston Road and 1196 East 214th Street, Block 4708, Lots 4 and 73, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #12BX.

154-54-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for John Muzuki and Louis Moyette, owners.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 27, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7f of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a business use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline service station, lubricatorium, auto laundry, storage and sale of accessories and office.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3220-3224 Westchester Avenue and 3101-3109 Wilkinson Avenue, southeast corner, Block 4239, Lot 1, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #10BX.

191-69-A

APPLICANT—M. Milton Glass for 49 West 37th Street Realty Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re-elevator in readiness; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—49-57 West 37th Street, north side, 122 feet east of Avenue of the Americas, Block 839, Lot 9, Borough of Manhattan.

530-64-BZ

APPLICANT—Neil Robert Berzak for Irving Teplitsky, executor for Estate of Max and Rebecca Teplitsky, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired October 6, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 11-412 and 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R1-2 district, the enlargement in area and extension of the uses of an existing golf driving range, office, restaurant and miniature golf course to include a baseball batting cage and additional golf tees.

PREMISES AFFECTED—231-05 to 234-05 Northern Boulevard, northeast corner of 231st Street, Block 8084, Lot 71, Block 8086, Lot 1, Block 8087, Lot 1, Block 8088, Lot 1, Block 8092, Lot 127, Block 8099, Lot 147, Douglaston, Borough of Queens. Community Board #11Q.

67-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for International Properties Partnership, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an M1-6 district, the conversion of an existing ten story commercial structure into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—80-92 Varick Street, 4 Grand Street, northeast corner, Block 477, Lot 1, Borough of Manhattan.

921-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Albert Davino, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of time to complete which expired September 26, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R3-2 district, on a plot with commercial uses, the reconstruction of retail stores with accessory parking in open space.

PREMISES AFFECTED—960 Richmond Avenue, west side, 74.98 feet south of Mansey Place, Block 1704, Lot 56, Port Richmond, Borough of Staten Island.

529-53-BZ

APPLICANT—St. Michael's Cemetery and Crematory for St. Michael's Episcopal Church, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and extension of term of variance which expired March 9, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the erection and maintenance of a structure to be used as Chapel and Crematory.

PREMISES AFFECTED—73-02 Astoria Boulevard, south side, from 49th Street to Brooklyn-Queens Connecting Highway, Block 1016, Lots 450 and 310, Jackson Heights, Borough of Queens. Community Board #1Q.

ZONING CASES

372-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Joseph Pell Lombardi for 43-45 East 19th Street Association, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, in an existing eight story building, the conversion of all floors above the first floor into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—43 East 19th Street, north side, 125 feet west of Park Avenue South, Block 848, Lot 30, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

520-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Tufo, Johnston, Zuccotti and Allegaert for Common Ground Development Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, the conversion of an existing nine story building from a warehouse into a multiple dwelling.

CALENDAR

PREMISES AFFECTED—378-390 West 12th Street, south side, 44 feet west of Washington Street, Block 640, Lots 12 and 19, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

521-79-A

APPLICANT—Tufo, Johnston, Zuccotti and Allegaert for Common Ground Development Corporation, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed living room in cellar (bedroom) is Contrary to Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—378-390 West 12th Street, south side, 44.0 feet west of Washington Street, Block 640, Lots 12 and 19, Borough of Manhattan.

576-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Helen Walenciak for John and Helen Walenciak, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the erection of a one story accessory garage for a dwelling that encroaches on the required front yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1330 Edison Avenue, east side, 101.91 feet south of LaSalle Avenue, Block 5355, Lot 15, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #10BX.

669-79-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Luigi Balice, owner. Luigi Fashions, Ltd., lessee.

SUBJECT—Application June 8, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C2-1 district, the conversion of an existing one story building from a supermarket into a clothing manufacturing establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2401 White Plains Road, northwest corner of Waring Avenue, Block 4426, Lots 1 and 46, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #11BX.

693-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Donald D. Fisher for Kavogmas Realty Ltd., owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-21 and 73-62 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R7-2 district, the erection of a fifth floor on an existing four story dwelling that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio.

PREMISES AFFECTED—458 West 22nd Street, south side, 228.6 feet east of 10th Avenue, Block 719, Lot 74, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M.

70-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Guardian Federal Savings and Loan Association, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 22, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district in an existing four story building, the conversion of accessory garage space into apartments that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio, lot area per room and the parking requirements.

PREMISES AFFECTED—37-06 104th Street, 103-14 37th Avenue, southwest corner, Block 1769, Lot 7, Corona, Borough of Queens. Community Board #3Q.

Laid over from September 25, 1979 for continued hearing.

129-79-BZ

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger or Daniel Rubin, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing warehouse that increases the degree of non-conformity.

PREMISES AFFECTED—172-47 Baisley Boulevard, west side, 142.31 feet south of Merrill Street, Block 12390, Lot 121, Jamaica, Borough of Queens. Community Board #12Q.

Laid over from October 2, 1979 for continued hearing.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

OCTOBER 30, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, *Tuesday afternoon, October 30, 1979*, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

562-79-SA

APPLICANT—BRK Electronics, A division of Pittway Corporation—smoke detectors and control panel.

563-79-SA

APPLICANT—Wolf-Ortiz Corporation for Statitrol Division, Emerson Electric Company—smoke detector.

919-79-SM

APPLICANT—Robertshaw Controls Company—fire alarm system.

362-79-A

APPLICANT—Silverman and Cika for Mrs. Verylan Hamilton, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 11, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- floor area contrary to the Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—633 West 152nd Street, north side, 7.9 feet east of Riverside Drive, Block 2099, Lot 10, Borough of Manhattan.

641-79-A

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for J. A. Green Construction, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water.

PREMISES AFFECTED—147-04 183rd Street, southwest corner of 147th Avenue, Block 13408, Lot 9 (Tentative), Springfield Gardens, Borough of Queens.

664-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Anthony Montella, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 5, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3, General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street.

CALENDAR

PREMISES AFFECTED—38 Weiner Street, west side, 50.00 feet north of Richmond Place, Block 7565, Lot 12, Richmond Valley, Borough of Staten Island.

704-79-A

APPLICANT—Augustus A. Beekman, Fire Commissioner for 16 West 14th Street Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 15, 1979—for modification of certificate of occupancy re- sprinkler system.

PREMISES AFFECTED—14/16 West 14th Street, south side, 140 feet west of Fifth Avenue, Block 577, Lots 32 and 33, Borough of Manhattan.

822-79-A

APPLICANT—Augustus A. Beekman, Fire Commissioner for Evelyn Green et al. Executors, owner.

SUBJECT—Application July 23, 1979—for modification of certificate of occupancy #13059 re- sprinkler system.

PREMISES AFFECTED—118-01/21 Metropolitan Avenue, southeast corner of 83rd Avenue, Block 3329, Lot 1, Kew Gardens, Borough of Queens.

696-75-A

APPLICANT—Larry Meltzer for Vulcan Fuel Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 14, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- proposed oversized tank truck with identification # S-13314.

869-79-A

APPLICANT—Lauria Associates for A.K.R. Trucking & Excavating, owner.

SUBJECT—Application July 26, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 36, Article 3 of the General City Law, re- proposed building not fronting on a legally mapped street. Proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—4288 Arthur Kill Road, east side, 281.41 feet south of Sharrotts Road, Block 7328, Lot 240, Borough of Staten Island.

338-79-A

APPLICANT—Sajra Discount Department Stores, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 29, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- Sprinkler System.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1963 Prospect Avenue, northwest corner of East Tremont Avenue, Block 3093, Lot 47, Borough of The Bronx.

550-79-A

APPLICANT—Augustus A. Beekman, Fire Commissioner. Owner or Premises—Mit-Will Realty.

SUBJECT—Application May 7, 1979—for Modification of Certificate of Occupancy re- sprinkler system.

PREMISES AFFECTED—419/21 Fifth Avenue, east side, 12 feet north of 8th Street, Block 999, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

NOVEMBER 7, 1979, 10:00 A.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing, Wednesday morning, November 7, 1979, at 10 o'clock at 80

Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

SPECIAL ORDER CALENDAR

677-53-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Marker Bros. Collision Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 21, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a business use district, the parking and storage of motor vehicles awaiting service only in conjunction with existing body and fender work, minor auto repairs, incidental painting and spraying.

PREMISES AFFECTED—61-26 to 61-30 Fresh Meadow Lane, west side, 240.05 feet south of Horace Harding Boulevard, Block 6901, Lot 48, Flushing, Borough of Queens. Community Board #8Q.

503-64-BZ

APPLICANT—Max Siegel Associates for York River House, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired September 22, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 60(3) of the Multiple Dwelling Law, permitting in an R10 district, in an existing 18 story and basement multiple dwelling, the use of the surplus and unused tenants spaces in the required accessory garage for transient parking.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1171-1185 York Avenue, west side, from East 63rd Street to East 64th Street, 439-451 East 63rd Street, 438-450 East 64th Street, Block 1458, Lot 18, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

150-54-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Mobil Oil Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 27, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7f, 7i and 7A of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in the retail use portion of a plot located in a residence and retail use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline service station, lubritorium, car washing, motor repairs, store and office; and permitting the parking and storage of motor vehicles on unbuilt upon portion of plot, with an entrance nearer to residence use district than is permitted.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3951-3963 (3957 official) Lacomia Avenue and 1061-1071 East 224th Street, northwest corner, Block 4871, Lot 1, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #12BX.

865-55-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Theodore Tannor, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires December 22, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 7f and 7i of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a business use district, the erection and maintenance of a gasoline service station, lubritorium, car washing, minor auto repairs, office sales, storage, parking and storage of motor vehicles.

PREMISES AFFECTED—89-15 Rockaway Boulevard and 101-12 to 101-28 90th Street, northwest corner, Block 9093,

CALENDAR

Lot 13, Ozone Park, Borough of Queens. Community Board #9Q.

269-60-BZ

APPLICANT—Storch, Pesa and Associates for Anna B. Grimaldi, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7a of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the continuance of a factory occupancy and also to legalize the erection of a one story extension approximately 15 feet by 40 feet connecting the front and rear building on the same lot.

PREMISES AFFECTED—108-39 46th Avenue, north side, 350 feet east of 108th Street, Block 2001, Lot 66, Corona, Borough of Queens. Community Board #4Q.

709-55-BZ—Vol. III

APPLICANT—Abram Shlefstein for L.M.T. Realty Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires February 2, 1980—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a restricted retail use district, the reduction in size of a gasoline service station, and the maintenance of an easement driveway.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1978 to 2014 Rockaway Parkway and Seaview Avenue, northwest corner, Block 8299. Lots 63 and 68, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #18BK.

802-53-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Greater New York Savings Bank, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expires November 12, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence and business use district, the parking and storage of motor vehicles for the use of employees and depositors of savings bank on adjoining lot.

PREMISES AFFECTED—794-798 East 2nd Street, west side, 90 feet, 8 inches north of 18th Avenue, Block 5480, Lots 36 and part of 37, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #14BK.

214-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard Boxer for ROC SOHO Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure and extension of time to complete which expired November 29, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an M1-5B district, in an existing twelve story manufacturing structure, the conversion of the seventh through twelfth floors into apartments.

PREMISES AFFECTED—599 Broadway, southwest corner of West Houston Street, 172 Mercer Street, Block 512, Lot 11, Borough of Manhattan.

ZONING CASES

543-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Regi Goldberg for Leonard Meyerson—S and M Martin, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 3, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, the conversion of an existing four story and basement commercial structure into a Multiple Dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—28 East 21st Street, south side, 360 feet west of Park Avenue South, Block 849, Lot 57, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #5M.

569-79-BZ

APPLICANT—George Perotto for Edward Reiss, owner. Midas Realty Corporation Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application May 15, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 11-413 and 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R5 district, the change in use of an existing automotive service station with accessory uses previously before the Board into an automobile repair and muffler installation establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2706/2736 86th Street, southeast corner of West 11th Street, Block 7116, Lot 52, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #13BK.

640-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Sheldon Lobel for The Forester Company and Cord Meyer Development Company, Incorporated, owner. Elaine Powers Salons, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application June 4, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district, in an existing fourteen story multiple dwelling, the change in use of a portion of the first floor from a store into a physical culture establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—111-01/15 and 111-29 Queens Boulevard, southwest corner of 75th Avenue, Block 2239, Lot 1, Forest Hills, Borough of Queens. Community Board #6Q.

726-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Charles A. Magrino for June Group Purchasing Company, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution to permit in an C1-2 and R5 district, the change in use of an existing theatre and store establishment into a roller skating rink and with an accessory amusement arcade in one store.

PREMISES AFFECTED—148-152 Beach 116th Street, east side, 220 feet north of Ocean Promenade, Block 16188, Lot 30, Rockaway Park Borough of Queens. Community Board #14Q.

985-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Richard L. Rubin for Howard J. Rosman, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 29, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R2 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to a one family dwelling that encroaches on the required front yard.

PREMISES AFFECTED—166-17 73rd Avenue, northwest corner of 167th Street, Block 6954, Lot 55, Borough of Queens. Community Board #8Q.

25-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Shea, Gould, Climenko and Casey for 692-694 Broadway Associates, owners.

CALENDAR

SUBJECT—Application January 5, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Sections 72-01 and 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5B district, the conversion of an existing twelve story building that exceeds the permitted area from Lofts into joint living/working quarters with stores on the first floor.

PREMISES AFFECTED—692-694 Broadway, southeast corner of East 4th Street, Block 531, Lot 6, Borough of Manhattan Community Board #2M.

Laid over from September 11, 1979 for hearing.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

NOVEMBER 7, 1979, 2 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of a public hearing Tuesday afternoon, November 7, 1979, at 2 o'clock at 80 Lafayette Street, 9th floor, New York, N. Y. 10013, on the following matters:

668-79-A

APPLICANT—Wechsler-Grasso-Menziuso for 23rd Street, Loft Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 7, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- rear yard, ventilation, egress.

PREMISES AFFECTED—241-243 west 23rd Street, north side, 385 feet west of 7th Avenue, Block 773, Lot 22, Borough of Manhattan.

670-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Anthony Mallozzi, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 11, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of dry wells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—130 Delmar Avenue, southwest corner of Stafford Avenue, Block 6317, Lot 28, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

671-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Anthony Mallozzi, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 11, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of dry wells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—134 Delmar Avenue, west side, 40 feet south of Stafford Avenue, Block 6317, Lot 30, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

672-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Anthony Mallozzi, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 11, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of dry wells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—138 Delmar Avenue, west side, 80 feet north of Sinclair Avenue, Block 6317, Lot 31, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

673-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Anthony Mallozzi, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 11, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of dry wells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—142 Delmar Avenue, west side, 40 feet north of Sinclair Avenue, Block 6317, Lot 34, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

674-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Anthony Mallozzi, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of dry wells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—146 Delmar Avenue, northwest corner of Sinclair Avenue, Block 6317, Lot 37, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

675-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Anthony Mallozzi, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 8, 1979—an appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of dry wells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—432 Stafford Avenue, south side, 100 feet west of Delmar Avenue, Block 6317, Lot 25, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

676-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Anthony Mallozzi, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 8, 1979—an appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of dry wells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—436 Stafford Avenue, south side 140 feet west of Delmar Avenue, Block 6317, Lot 23, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

677-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Anthony Mallozzi, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed use of drywells for disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—440 Stafford Avenue, south side, 180 feet west of Delmar Avenue, Block 6317, Lot 20, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

678-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Anthony Mallozzi, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—444 Stafford Avenue, south side, 220.00 feet West of Delmar Avenue, Block 6317, Lot 19, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

679-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Anthony Mallozzi, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISE AFFECTED—448 Stafford Avenue, south side 420 feet east of Nippon Avenue, Block 6317, Lot 17, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

CALENDAR

680-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Anthony Mallozzi, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—452 Stafford Avenue, south side 380 feet east of Nippon Avenue, Block 6317, Lot 15, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

681-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Anthony Mallozzi, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—464 Stafford Avenue, south side 260 feet east of Nippon Avenue, Block 6317, Lot 9, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

682-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Anthony Mallozzi, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—480 Stafford Avenue, south side 100 feet east of Nippon Avenue, Block 6317, Lot 1, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

762-79-A

APPLICANT—Augustus A. Beekman Fire Commissioner for The Church Charity Foundation of Long Island, owner.

SUBJECT—Application July 2, 1979—for Modification of Certificate of Occupancy #211766 re- sprinkler system.

PREMISES AFFECTED—480 Herkimer Street, south side, 300 feet west of Albany Avenue, Block 1705, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn.

821-75-A

APPLICANT—Augustus A. Beekman Fire Commissioner for William J. Crocker, owner.

SUBJECT—Application July 23, 1979—for Modification of Certificate of Occupancy #2141 re- sprinkler system.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1636 Westchester Avenue, southwest corner of Harrod Place, Block 3745, Lot 5, Borough of The Bronx.

1000-79-A

APPLICANT—Jerome L. Grushkin for Benjamin Bolling, owner.

SUBJECT—Application September 7, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—724 Colifield Avenue, east side, 90 feet south of Westwood Avenue, Block 794, Lot 71, Willowbrook, Borough of Staten Island.

161-79-A

APPLICANT—Borough Superintendent Department of Buildings, Max Donner, owner, R. Ferrara, Lessee.

SUBJECT—Application February 8, 1979—Revocation of Certificates of Occupancy #21877-57 and #23566-58.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1777 East Gun Hill Road, northeast corner of Bushnell Avenue, Block 4806, Lot 38-42, Borough of Bronx.

363-79-A

APPLICANT—Clara G. Stewart for Union Carbide Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 12, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of inflammable mixture known as Simoniz Shines Like The Sun Liquid Car Wax in plastic squeeze bottle not in compliance with regulation of the Administrative Code of New York.

1076-79-A

APPLICANT—Edward Lauria for El-Rich Construction Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application September 25, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Interpretation of Section 23-47 of the Zoning Resolution.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2974, 2984, 2982, 2980, 2978, 2976 Hylan Boulevard, northeast corner of Guyon Avenue, Block 4018, Lot 12, New Dorp, Borough of Staten Island.

1086-79-A

APPLICANT—Dominick Salvatti and Son for Bruce Mailman, owner.

SUBJECT—Application October 4, 1979—appeal for interpretation from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Sections 12-10 and 52-22 of the Zoning Resolution.

PREMISES AFFECTED—105 Second Avenue, west side, 25 feet north of 6th Street, Block 462, Lot 29, Borough of Manhattan.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY MORNING, SEPTEMBER 25, 1979, 10 A.M.

Present: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf.

The minutes of the regular meetings of the Board held on Tuesday morning and afternoon, September 11, 1979, were approved in the Bulletin of September 20, 1979, Volume 64, Number 31 thru 37.

11-69-A

APPLICANT—Larry Meltzer for Island Transportation Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- use of unapproved trailer tank trucks in City of New York—transportation of fuel oil in 8,000 gallon tractor-trailers not in conformity with requirements of Administrative Code of City of New York; previously granted on condition.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Ronald Ogur.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and resolution amended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on March 11, 1969, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an amendment of the resolution.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on March 11, 1969 as amended through July 13, 1976 by adding thereto:

"that these tank trucks may also be used to include pick-up of fuel oil from the Greenpoint Bulk Oil Terminal located at the foot of North Henry Street and Newtown Creek, Brooklyn; and the Port Mobil Bulk Oil Terminal located at 4101 Arthur Kill Road and Arthur Kill, Staten Island; and the transportation of such oils to the Nassau County Line, along routes to be approved by the Fire Department; *on condition* that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects." (F.D. Dec. 6/8/79)

128-53-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for La-Vil Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a local retail and manufacturing use district, an entrance from local retail district into the manufacturing use district.

PREMISES AFFECTED—121-60 Farmers Boulevard, west side, 441.53 feet south of 121st Avenue, Block 12458, Lot 25, St. Albans, Borough of Queens, Community Board #12Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,

Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #12Q was notified by the applicant on June 12, 1979 and no response has been received; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 14, 1953, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on September 25, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 14, 1953 as amended through July 23, 1974 only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"*granted* for a term of ten years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . . ; on condition that the fence shall be repaired where required and to restore the required planting area; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 458-55)

635-57-BZ

APPLICANT—George Perotto for 115 Associates, Owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7e of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the use of the first floor as a legation for the Republic of Sudan to the United Nations and the second floor as an office for the Pharmaceutical Information Bureau.

PREMISES AFFECTED—115 East 69th Street, north side, 185 feet east of Park Avenue, Block 1404, Lot 8, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #8M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: George Perotto.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and resolution amended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #8M was notified by the applicant on June 6, 1979 and no response has been received:

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on March 3, 1959 on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an amendment of the resolution.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on March 3, 1959 as amended through November 18, 1975 by adding thereto:

"To permit a change of use from doctor's office Use Group 4 on the first floor and medical research publication Use Group 6 on the second floor, to general business offices Use Group 6, substantially as shown on revised drawing of proposed conditions marked 'Received July 6, 1979'—one sheet; *on condition* that the exists shall comply with the New York City Building Code; and that all work shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution; and that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects." (Alt. 574-79)

MINUTES

251-58-BZ

APPLICANT—Carlo Nuzzi for John Colangelo, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for extension of term of variance which expired July 23, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 7h of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a residence use district, the use of vacant plot for a parking lot for the parking and storage of more than (5) motor vehicles, pleasure type.

PREMISES AFFECTED—2446-2450 Beaumont Avenue, east side, 238.57 feet south of East 189th Street and 2445-2449 Crotona Avenue, Block 3105, Lot 17, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #6BX.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Carlo Nuzzi.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and term of variance extended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #6BX was notified by the applicant on June 28, 1979 and no response has been received;

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on December 16, 1958 on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on September 25, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on December 16, 1958 as amended through July 23, 1974 only as to the term of variance, so that as amended this portion of the resolution shall read:

"granted for a term of five years from the date of this amended resolution, to permit . . . ; on condition that the surface of the lot shall be treated with an asphalt binder, that the barbed wire shall be removed from the fence and that all rubbish shall be removed and the lot area shall be kept cleaned and maintained at all times; that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects; and that a new Certificate of Occupancy shall be obtained within one year from the date of this amended resolution." (Alt. 236-58)

385-76-BZ

APPLICANT—Wechsler-Grasso-Menziuso for 80 Warren Street Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—request to waive the rules of procedure, extension of time to complete which expired October 11, 1978 and for amendment—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an M1-5 district, the conversion of a six story and cellar building from warehouse, manufacturing and offices into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—76-84 Warren Street, north side, 100.9 feet west of West Broadway, Block 137, Lot 5, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #1M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Vincent Grasso.

ACTION OF BOARD—Rules of procedure waived, application reopened and time extended to complete the work, and resolution amended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,

Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #1M recommended approval received on July 18, 1979; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 27, 1976, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an amendment of the resolution; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an extension of time to obtain permits and complete work.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby waive the Rules of Procedure and *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 27, 1976 as amended through October 11, 1977 by adding thereto:

"to permit interior changes in the arrangement of the apartments to have duplex apartments from the first floor to the sixth floor, five simplex units on the first and second floors, and a reduction in the number of units from 49 units to 27 units (including five artist in residence units), and to omit the fire balconies on the front and rear of building as previously required, substantially as shown on revised drawings of proposed conditions marked 'Received September 12, 1979'—three sheets, 'September 14, 1979'—one sheet and 'September 21, 1979'—five sheets; and that substantial construction shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution; *on condition* that exit requirements throughout the building be in accordance with the Building Code; that other than as herein amended, the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects". (Alt. 384-75)

386-76-A

APPLICANT—Wechsler-Grasso-Menziuso for 80 Warren Street Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- front yard and egress; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—76-84 Warren Street, north side, 100.9 feet west of West Broadway, Block 137, Lot 5, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Vincent Grasso.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and resolution amended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 27, 1976, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an amendment of the resolution.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 27, 1976 by adding thereto:

"that all work shall conform to the requirements of the resolution adopted by the Board this day under Cal. No. 385-76-BZ, that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects." (Alt. 384-75)

225-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Thomas R. Kalsky for Hess Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough

MINUTES

Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Sections 73-211 and 73-212 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C2-5 district, the reconstruction and rearrangement of an automobile service station with accessory uses.

PREMISES AFFECTED—615-635 Tenth Avenue, a/k/a 501-505 44th Street, west side, blockfront between West 44th and West 45th Street, Block 1073, Lot 28, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #3M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None

ACTION OF BOARD—Application reopened and resolution amended.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, Community Board #3M was notified by the applicant on June 7, 1979 and no response has been received; and

WHEREAS, this application was granted by the Board on July 5, 1977, on certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested an amendment of the resolution.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby *reopen and amend* the resolution adopted on July 5, 1977 as amended through June 20, 1978 by adding thereto:

"That this amended variance shall be for a term of five years from the date of this amended resolution; that this automotive service station may be changed to self-service, substantially as shown on revised drawings of proposed conditions marked 'Received April 24, 1979'—eight sheets, 'June 7, 1979'—one sheet, and 'September 13, 1979'—three sheets; and that all work shall be completed within one year from the date of this amended resolution; and that other than as herein amended the resolution above cited shall be complied with in all respects." (N.B. 2-77)

936-77-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel H. Lindenbaum for 499 Park Avenue Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to withdraw the application for extension of time to complete which expired July 18, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution permitting in a C5-3R district, the erection of a twenty five story, store and office building that exceeds the permitted floor area ratio, penetrates the sky exposure plane and without the required accessory loading berth.

PREMISES AFFECTED—487-499 Park Avenue, southeast corner of East 59th Street, Block 1313, Lot 4, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application withdrawn at the request of the applicant.

THE VOTE TO WITHDRAW—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

516-43-A

APPLICANT—Saul Goldsmith for Welbilt Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for

amendment of the resolution—appeal from a decision of the acting Borough Superintendent re-bed of mapped street; previously granted on condition.

PREMISES AFFECTED—57-46 Flushing Avenue, 59-05 60th Avenue, 58-12 59th Drive and 59-83 59th Street, east side, 214 feet 10 inches south of 59th Drive, Block 2649, Lot 113 (formerly Block 2649, Lots 113 and 115, Block 2653, Lot 5), Maspeth, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Saul Goldsmith.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for inspection and decision; hearing closed.

1055-64-BZ

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Bethpage Harding Associates, owner.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—reopening for amendment of the resolution—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in a C2-2 district, in an existing building occupied as a radio transmitting station and restaurant, the extension of the use to include catering and restaurant without restriction.

PREMISES AFFECTED—173-11 to 173-15 Horace Harding Expressway, 59-48 to 59-60 174th Street, northwest corner, Block 6887, Lot 74, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

For Opposition: Helen Philbin.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision on the request for reopening.

1290-66-BZ—Vol. II

APPLICANT—Larry Meltzer for Abe Rothstein and Cyrus Gebber, owners.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to reopen and restore to the Docket by the Board for possible revocation of the resolution of November 30, 1976—decision of the Borough Superintendent; previously granted on condition under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, permitting in an R4 district, the erection of a one story building for use as a retail store.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1836-1846 Rockaway Parkway and 9625 Avenue M, northwest corner, Block 8261, Lot 1, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Mildred Callino, Victor Asaro, Philip Zepper and Sidney Goldman.

For Opposition: John E. Strange, Sal Ingrassia.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision; additional information; hearing closed.

524-76-BZ

APPLICANT—Edward Lauria for Christian Beetschen, owner; Victory Van Lines, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to dismiss for lack of prosecution the application of June 9, 1976—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R3-2 district, in conjunction with an existing furniture warehouse, the enlargement in lot area and the use of open area for commercial vehicle storage.

PREMISES AFFECTED—69-71 St. Mary's Avenue, north side, 25 feet east of Tilson Place, Block 2846, Lot 237, Borough of Staten Island.

MINUTES

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to December 18, 1979, at 10 A.M., Special Order Calendar, for decision, hearing closed.

695-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Roy-Al Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 18, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C8-4 district, the enlargement in floor area and the change in use of an existing manufacturing building into a multiple dwelling.

PREMISES AFFECTED—341 West 11th Street/721 Washington Street, northeast corner, Block 634, Lot 47, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Alan S. Kisseloff.

For Opposition: David Starkweather.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 23, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing.

696-78-A

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Roy-Al Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application August 18, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—341 West 11th Street, northeast corner of Washington Street, Block 634, Lot 47, Borough of Manhattan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 23, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing.

50-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Alfonso Duarte for 270-23 Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 17, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an M1-5 district, in an existing ten story loft building, the conversion of the seventh through tenth floors into apartments.

PREMISES AFFECTED—521-523 West 23rd Street, north side, 200 feet west of 10th Avenue, Block 695, Lot 24, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #4M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Alfonso Duarte.

For Opposition: Frank R. Wheeler, Jr., Leo Edbril and Frank Casino.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 16, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing, additional information to be submitted.

70-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Lama and Vassalotti for Guardian Federal Savings and Loan Association, owner.

SUBJECT—Application January 22, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R6 district in an existing four story building, the conversion of accessory garage space into apartments that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio, lot area per room and the parking requirements.

PREMISES AFFECTED—37-06 104th Street, 103-14 37th Avenue, southwest corner, Block 1769, Lot 7, Corona, Borough of Queens. Community Board #3Q.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: James E. Vassalotti.

For Opposition: Theodore E. Garrison, Angel Cruz, Brumalda Pineda, Domingo Nurade and others.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 30, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing at the request of the Community Board.

270-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Paul Siegel Antiques, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 666 of the New York City Charter, to permit in a C6-1 district, on a Zoning lot containing two commercial structures, the conversion of both buildings into apartments that creates non-compliance in floor area ratio, open space ratio and lot area per room on one building.

PREMISES AFFECTED—808 Broadway, 110 Fourth Avenue, east side, 240.4 feet north of East 10th Street, Block 557, Lots 9 and 26, Borough of Manhattan. Community Board #2M.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Alan S. Kisseloff.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 10 A.M., for hearing.

271-79-A

APPLICANT—Samuel J. Kisseloff for Paul Siegel Antiques, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—808 Broadway, 110 Fourth Avenue, east side, 240.4 feet north of East 10th Street, Block 557, Lots 9 and 26, Borough of Manhattan.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 10 A.M., for hearing.

275-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 660 of the New York City Charter, to permit in an R6 district the erection of a three story and cellar, three family dwelling that encroaches on the required side and rear yards.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1518-53rd Street, south side, 137.6 feet east of 15th Avenue, Block 5477, Lot 12, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Louis Lieberman.

For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing.

276-79-A

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1518-53rd Street, south side, 137.6 feet east of 15th Avenue, Block 5477, Lot 12, Borough of Brooklyn.

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing.

291-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Herbert Gallin Associated for Frank and Joseph Coretti, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 16, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 11-413 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C8-1 and R-4 district the change in use of an existing one story building previously before the Board from a garage into an automobile repair shop.

PREMISES AFFECTED—911 Sackett Avenue, north side, 94.24 feet east of Bronxdale Avenue, Block 4058, Lot 25, Borough of The Bronx. Community Board #11BX.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Herbert Gallin and Frederick Russetti.
For Opposition: Salvatore J. Conforto, Charles Benhacquer, L. Eovriale, John Szarek, Joan Forstir, Rosalie Dominicus and Elisa Vitiello.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 10 A.M., for decision; hearing closed.

346-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Harry Soled for Eugene Lichtenstein, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 4, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a R-6 district, the erection of an enlargement to the first floor of an existing three story and basement multiple dwelling that creates non-compliance in open space ratio and rear yard obstruction.

PREMISES AFFECTED—221 Keap Street, north side, 121 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2194, Lot 46, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Harry Soled.
For Opposition: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing; additional information.

347-79-A

APPLICANT—Harry Soled for Eugene Lichtenstein, owner.

SUBJECT—Application April 4, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- open space ratio.

PREMISES AFFECTED—221 Keap Street, north side, 121 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2194, Lot 46, Borough of Brooklyn.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing; additional information.

552-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Leonard F. Rothkrug for Estate of Mary J. Lange, owner. Manodis Enterprises, Contract Vendee.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C1-2 and R6 (SR) district, in conjunction with the erection of a one story restaurant, the expansion of the open accessory parking facility into the residence district.

PREMISES AFFECTED—9011 Third Avenue, east side, 58.1¼ feet south of 90th Street, Block 6081, Lots 3, 4, 6, 11, 13, 14 and 15, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #10BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Leonard F. Rothkrug and Robert Gachfeld, City Planning.

For Opposition: Michael Moriarity, Louis Freda, CB #10, Eleanor Scarola, Florence Pettersson, Richard Ponton, Lida F. McCluland and others.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 10 A.M., for continued hearing, additional information to be submitted.

1136-78-BZ

APPLICANT—Richard R. Metzner for Arnold Trading Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application December 15, 1978—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C4-3 district, the enlargement in area of the third and fourth floors of an existing four story non-conforming food processing establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—284-290 South 5th Street, south side, 60 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2460, Lot 18, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #1BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Richard R. Metzner.
For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—No recommendation received.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on May 15, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to June 5, 1979; then to June 26, 1979; then to July 10, 1979; then to September 11, 1979; then to September 25, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated December 1, 1978, acting on Alt. Applic. #585/1978, reads:

"1. Existing non-conforming use in use group 17 in a C4-3 district is proposed to be enlarged contrary to section 52-41 of the Zoning Resolution.

2. Article III of the zoning resolution does not provide bulk regulations for manufacturing buildings.

3. Provide parking for enlarged use according to sections 52-41, 44-21, and 44-231 of the Zoning Resolution.

4. Structural alterations are proposed to be made in a building substantially occupied by a non-conforming use contrary to section 52-22 of the Zoning Resolution."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E.; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is granted under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in a C4-3 district, the enlarge-

MINUTES

ment in area of the third and fourth floors of an existing nonconforming food processing establishment *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received December 15, 1978", 8 sheets; and *on further condition* that the enlargement shall be constructed of materials having a non-combustible fire resistant rating of 2 hours; that the third and fourth floors shall be fully sprinklered; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

1157-78-A

APPLICANT—Richard R. Metzner for Arnold Trading Company, owner.

SUBJECT—Application December 28, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent re- proposed enlargement of Class 111 building contrary to the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

PREMISES AFFECTED—280-290 South 5th Street, south side, 60 feet west of Marcy Avenue, Block 2460, Lot 18, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Richard R. Metzner.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 21, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #585/1978, reads:

"1. Proposed class 5 enlargement of the third story does not conform to the requirements set forth in the definition of penthouse in section C26-113.0 of the administrative code and therefore must comply with all requirements for class 3 buildings set forth in C26-241.0 of the administrative code.

2. Proposed class 5 enlargement of the fourth story does not conform to the requirements set forth in the definition of penthouse in section C26-113.0 of the administrative code and therefore must comply with all requirements for class 3 buildings, set forth in C26-241.0 of the administrative code.

3. The required stairs in the top story are not connected by a 2 hour rated enclosed passageway as required for class 3 buildings exceeding 40 feet in height and having a roof having a slope exceeding one foot in ten by sections (6.4.1.11), K.2. and (6.4.1.8.1), H.1. (b)."

WHEREAS, the premises had a site evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Philip P. Agusta, R.A. and Commissioner Harry M. Carroll, P.E. which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 21, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #585/1978, Objection Nos. 1, 2 and 3, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that all work conform to the resolution adopted this date under Calendar Number 1136-78-BZ; and that all other laws, rules and regulations be complied with.

255-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Kenneth H. Koons and Alfred A. Fantino for A. Selinger Enterprises, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 8, 1979—decision of the

Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the erection of a one story enlargement to an existing warehouse and commercial vehicle storage establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3070 Waterbury Avenue, south side, 47.18 feet east of Hollywood Avenue, Block 5340, Lot 35, Borough of Bronx. Community Board #10BX.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Kenneth H. Koons.

For Opposition: Vincent R. Bonvissuto, P.E., Nunzia Bonvissuto, Josephine Guido and Mary Pica.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY

BOARD—Opposed to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6
Negative: 0

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 17, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to September 11, 1979; then to September 25, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 25, 1979, acting on Alt. Applic. #16/1979, reads:

"1. Proposed enlargement to a non-conforming building warehouse with commercial vehicle storage U.G. 16 located in a R-4 district, is contrary to Section 52-22 and 52-41 of Z.R.

2. Note: Since there are no applicable bulk, parking or loading regulations for the proposed use in a R-4 district this application is referred to the B.S.A. for its determination."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A.; and

WHEREAS, the premises is presently occupied with a legal non-conforming use in Use Group 16 which can be converted to another use in Use Group 16 without restrictions; and

WHEREAS, the site is irregular in both size and shape; and

WHEREAS, the property abuts a lot with a truck garage; and

WHEREAS, a denial of this application would allow the existing deleterious conditions as noted in the report of William Tim, P.E.; and

WHEREAS, this variance is for an enlargement of approximately 600 feet; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R4 district, the erection of a one-story enlargement to an existing warehouse and commercial vehicle storage establishment *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked "Received May 8, 1979", 1 sheet, and "September 6, 1979", 9 sheets; and *on further condition* that the noise level be restricted to 5 decibels above ambient to the nearest residential window; that there shall be no mechanical equipment on the exterior of this structure; that all mechanical equipment be protected to comply with Local Law 57/72 and the above rated decibel level; that all loading shall be within the building; that the overhead doors shall be kept closed except for

MINUTES

loading entry; that sidewalks and curbs be installed and properly maintained; that the 5 foot side yard shall be landscaped in accordance with Section 25-66 (a) of the Zoning Resolution; that a landscaping and elevation plan be submitted to this Board prior to the issuance of a building permit; that the west and south exterior walls be treated with a light colored stucco finish; that no building permit shall be issued until 31 days after the date of certification of this resolution; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

279-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and Section 660 of the New York City Charter, to permit in an R5 district the erection of a three story and cellar three family dwelling that encroaches on the required front, side and rear yards.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1456 58th Street, south side, 220 feet west of 15th Avenue, Block 5706, Lot 29, Borough of Brooklyn. Community Board #12BK.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Louis Lieberman.
For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Opposed to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: Commissioner Walsh 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on September 18, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin; laid over to September 25, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 5, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #190/1978, reads:

"1. Proposed three family building does not comply with section 23-45 of zoning resolution for minimum required front yard of 18'-0".

2. Provide 2-8'-0" side yards as per Section 23-462 of Z. R. for proposed 3 family building.

3. Proposed three family building does not comply with Section 23-47 of Z. R. for minimum required rear yard of 30'-0".

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board; consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A.; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, and that the applicant is therefore entitled to relief on the grounds of practical difficulty and/or unnecessary hardship.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make each and every one of the required findings and grants a variation in the application of the Zoning Resolution, limited to the objection cited, and that the application be and it hereby is *granted* under Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R5 district, the erection of a three-story and cellar, three-family dwelling that encroaches on the required front, side and rear yards *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings as they apply to the objection, above noted, filed with this application, marked

"Received March 13, 1979", 1 sheet, and "September 19, 1979", 2 sheets; and *on further condition* that a smoke detector with a self-contained alarm be installed in each apartment; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

280-79-A

APPLICANT—Louis Lieberman for Samsol Homes, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 13, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- Multiple Dwelling Law.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1456 58th Street, south side, 220 feet west of 15th Avenue, Block 5706, Lot 29, Borough of Brooklyn.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Louis Lieberman.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: Commissioner Walsh 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 5, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #190/78, reads:

"1. Provide 30'-0" rear yard for proposed three family multiple dwelling as per Sec. 26 M.D.L.

Provide primary entrance accessible to individuals in wheel chairs as per C26-601.1 B.C."

and

WHEREAS, the premises had a site evaluation by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A. which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated March 5, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #190/78, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is *modified* and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted on condition* that all work conform to the resolution adopted this date under Calendar Number 279-79-BZ; and that all other laws, rules and regulations be complied with.

283-79-BZ

APPLICANT—Nicholas J. Salvadeo for Tracy Saks, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 15, 1979—decision of the Borough Superintendent, under Section 73-14 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R2 district, in conjunction with the erection of a one story and cellar medical office building, the use of a portion of the cellar as a telephone answering service establishment.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3055 Richmond Road, northeast corner of Beacon Avenue, Block 948, Lot 9, Borough of Staten Island. Community Board #2S.I.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Louis Lieberman.
For Opposition: None.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMUNITY BOARD—Favorable to the application.

ACTION OF BOARD—Application granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: Commissioner Walsh 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on this application on July 17, 1979, after due notice by publication in the Bulletin;

MINUTES

laid over to September 11, 1979; then to September 25, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated February 23, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #201/1979, reads:

"1. Proposed telephone service (Use Group 6) in an R-2 district is not a use permitted as of right and is therefore contrary to Section 22-11 (ZR). Requires special permit from the Board of Standards and Appeals."

and

WHEREAS, the premises and surrounding area had a site and neighborhood evaluation by a committee of the Board, consisting of Commissioner John J. Walsh, P.E. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta; and

WHEREAS, Community Board #2S.I. has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the evidence in the record supports the findings required to be made under Sections 73-14 of the Zoning Resolution.

Resolved, that the Board of Standards and Appeals does hereby make the required findings and *grant a Special Permit* under Section 73-14 of the Zoning Resolution, to permit in an R2 district, in conjunction with the erection of a one-story and cellar medical building, the use of a portion of the cellar as a telephone answering service establishment *on condition* that all work shall substantially conform to drawings filed with this application, marked "Received July 2, 1979", 1 sheet, and "March 15, 1979", 2 sheets; and *on further condition* that this Special Permit shall be limited to a term of five years; that there shall be only one person employed at any time for telephone answering services; and that all laws, rules and regulations applicable be complied with, and that substantial construction be completed within one year from the date of this resolution.

Adjourned: 1:40 P.M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY AFTERNOON, SEPTEMBER 25, 1979,
2 P.M.

Present: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf.

515-79-A

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger for Sigmund Sommer, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Department of Buildings re- renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from date of its original issuance.

PREMISES AFFECTED—269-10 Grand Central Parkway, southeast corner of 267th Street, Block 8489, Lot 1, Glen Oaks, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sol Seidman.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the letter of the Department of Buildings, dated April 30, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #519/71, reads:

"Your letter of April 23rd, 1979 concerning the subject premises has been received. In your letter you request

that temporary Certificates of Occupancy be renewed for a period of twelve months to permit the completion of widening of Marcus Avenue.

Please be advised that the Department of Buildings is precluded from renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from the date of its original issuance. This is in accord with Sect. 301(4) of the New York State multiple laws."

and

WHEREAS, an application for extension of Temporary Certificate of Occupancy has been filed pursuant to Section 666.6a of the New York City Charter; and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 30, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #519/71, be and it hereby is modified and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted*; that a certificate of occupancy be issued for the period March 30, 1979 to March 29, 1980 to permit completion of a study relating to the contemplated widening of the Service Road of the Grand Central Parkway (Marcus Avenue) *on further conditions* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings marked received "May 1, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

516-79-A

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger for Sigmund Sommer, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Department of Buildings re- renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from date of its original issuance.

PREMISES AFFECTED—270-10 Grand Central Parkway, southeast corner of 267th Street, Block 8489, Lot 1, Glen Oaks, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sol Seidman.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the letter of the Department of Buildings, dated April 30, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #520/71, reads:

"Your letter of April 23rd, 1979 concerning the subject premises has been received. In your letter you request that temporary Certificates of Occupancy be renewed for a period of twelve months to permit the completion of widening of Marcus Avenue.

Please be advised that the Department of Buildings is precluded from renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from the date of its original issuance. This is in accord with Sect. 501(4) of the New York State multiple laws."

and

WHEREAS, an application for extension of Temporary Certificate of Occupancy has been filed pursuant to Section 666.6a of the New York City Charter; and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 30, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #520/71, be and it hereby is modified and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted*; that a certificate of occupancy be issued for the period March 30, 1979 to March 29, 1980 to permit completion of a study relating to the contemplated widening of the Service Road of the Grand Central Parkway

MINUTES

(Marcus Avenue) *on further conditions* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings marked received "May 1, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

517-79-A

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger for Sigmund Sommer, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Department of Buildings re- renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from date of its original issuance.

PREMISES AFFECTED—271-10 Grand Central Parkway, southeast corner of 267th Street, Block 8489, Lot 1, Glen Oaks, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sol Seidman.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the letter of the Department of Buildings, dated April 30, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #521/71, reads:

"Your letter of April 23rd, 1979 concerning the subject premises has been received. In your letter you request that temporary Certificates of Occupancy be renewed for a period of twelve months to permit the completion of widening of Marcus Avenue.

Please be advised that the Department of Buildings is precluded from renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from the date of its original issuance. This is in accord with Sect. 501(4) of the New York State multiple laws."

and

WHEREAS, an application for extension of Temporary Certificate of Occupancy has been filed pursuant to Section 666.6a of the New York City Charter; and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 30, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #521/71, be and it hereby is modified and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted*; that a certificate of occupancy be issued for the period March 30, 1979 to March 29, 1980 to permit completion of a study relating to the contemplated widening of the Service Road of the Grand Central Parkway (Marcus Avenue) *on further conditions* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings marked received "May 1, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

518-79-A

APPLICANT—A. H. Salkowitz and Carl Heimberger for Sigmund Sommer, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Department of Buildings re- renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from date of its original issuance.

PREMISES AFFECTED—270-40 Grand Central Parkway, southeast corner of 267th Street, Block 8489, Lot 1, Glen Oaks, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Sol Seidman.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the letter of the Department of Buildings, dated April 30, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #522/71, reads:

"Your letter of April 23rd, 1979 concerning the subject premises has been received. In your letter you request that temporary Certificates of Occupancy be renewed for a period of twelve months to permit the completion of widening of Marcus Avenue.

Please be advised that the Department of Buildings is precluded from renewing temporary Certificates of Occupancy beyond one year from the date of its original issuance. This is in accord with Sect. 301(4) of the New York State multiple laws."

and

WHEREAS, an application for extension of Temporary Certificate of Occupancy has been filed pursuant to Section 666.6a of the New York City Charter; and

WHEREAS, the Board has recommended that the appeal be granted.

Resolved, that the order and decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated April 30, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #522/71, be and it hereby is modified and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted*; that a certificate of occupancy be issued for the period March 30, 1979 to March 29, 1980 to permit completion of a study relating to the contemplated widening of the Service Road of the Grand Central Parkway (Marcus Avenue) *on further conditions* that the building shall substantially conform to drawings marked received "May 1, 1979", 3 sheets; and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

623-79-A

APPLICANT—Thomas R. Kalsky for Hess Realty Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 28, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- proposed conversion to self service gasoline station is contrary to Sec. 19-73.0-b2 of the Administrative Code.

PREMISES AFFECTED—615/635 10th Avenue, northwest corner of West 44th Street, Block 1073, Lot 28, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joel Cahn.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the letter of the Fire Commissioner, dated May 24, 1979, on F.D. Acct. #E196018, reads:

"Please be advised that self-service gasoline stations are prohibited by Chapt. 19-73.0-b2 of the Administrative Code and therefore this department objects to any such installation."

and

WHEREAS, a letter of the Fire Commissioner, dated May 24, 1979 reads, "Please be advised that self-service gasoline stations are prohibited by Chapter 19-73.0-b2 of the Administrative Code and therefore this department objects to any such installation"; and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of

MINUTES

the Board consisting of Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A. and Roger Bennett, P.E. which recommended that the Appeal be *granted* under certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, Section C19-73.0.b2 of the Fire Prevention Code permits the use and installation of self-service devices in gasoline service stations provided that such devices are operated by persons holding a Certificate of Fitness for the dispensing of gasoline or diesel fuel for motor fuel; and

WHEREAS, the filed plans indicate the control booth is located so that the dispensing areas are, at all times, in full view of the person operating the fuel dispensing devices, consoles, and safety controls, and this person must hold a Certificate of Fitness.

Resolved, that the decision of the Fire Commissioner dated May 24, 1979 be and it hereby is modified and that the application be and it hereby is *granted on condition* (1) that a trained attendant who possesses a Certificate of Fitness, as above described, shall be on duty at all times when the station is open for business; (2) that it shall be the attendant's duty to require the engine of any vehicle be shut off before the start of the fuel operation, and to prohibit smoking within the immediate area of the fuel operation; (3) that it shall be the attendant's duty to prevent the dispensing of fuel into portable containers; (4) that signs reading "No Smoking", "Stop Your Engine", "It Is Unlawful to Dispense Gasoline Into Portable Containers" and "The Dispensing of Gasoline Shall be by a Person Holding a Valid Drivers License or a Person 18 years of age or older" shall be conspicuously posted in clear view of the customer at the dispensing island; (5) that portable fire extinguishers, acceptable to the Fire Commissioner, be located as indicated on filed plans; (6) that all dispensing devices and fire suppression systems be approved by the Board of Standards and Appeals; (7) that the suppression system be arranged in a manner so as to cover an area around each pump encompassed by a circle having a radius equal to the maximum extendable length of the hose and nozzle of said pump; (8) that the installation and use of coin-operated dispensing devices for fuel be prohibited; (9) that there shall be constant contact between the attendant in the control booth and the dispensing island by means of a voice intercommunication system which shall be maintained in a proper operating condition at all times; (10) that all controls, devices, fire suppression systems and fire fighting equipment be maintained in good operating order at all times; (11) that a maintenance log shall be kept on the premises as per direction of the Fire Commissioner; (12) that all dispensing nozzles are the automatic closing type without hold open latches; (13) that a list of emergency procedures and instructions be conspicuously posted in the immediate vicinity of the attendant's principal control location, said instructions shall be at the direction of the Fire Commissioner; (14) that the dispensing areas shall, at all times, be well lit for complete visual control; (15) that the permit to operate this station shall be for a term of five (5) years from the date of this approval; (16) that all of the conditions set forth in this resolution shall be conspicuously posted in the attendant's booth; (17) that there be no servicing or repair of motor vehicles on the premises; *on further condition* that the building, equipment, devices and controls shall substantially conform to drawings, marked received "May 28, 1979", 8 sheets, "September 13, 1979", 1 sheet, "August 8, 1979", 3 sheets and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

635-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Homelawn Housing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 35, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building in the bed of a mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3169 Miles Avenue, north side, 125.46 feet west of Stevens Place, Block 5517, Lot 32, Borough of The Bronx.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: 0
Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #39/79, reads:

"1. Proposed construction in bed of mapped street is contrary to Sec. 35 General City Law."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #39/79, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is modified under the powers vested in the Board by Section 35 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted, on condition* that the construction shall substantially conform to drawings marked received "June 4, 1979", 1 sheet and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

636-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Homelawn Housing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application June 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 35, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building in the bed of a mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3171 Miles Avenue, north side, 103.96 feet west of Stevens Place, Block 5517, Lot 31, Borough of The Bronx.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5
Negative: 0
Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #40/79, reads:

"1. Proposed construction in bed of mapped street is contrary to Sec. 35 General City Law."

and

WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #40/79, Objection No. 1 be and it hereby is modified under the powers vested in the Board by Section 35 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted, on condition* that the construction shall substantially conform to drawings marked received "June 4, 1979", 1 sheet and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

637-79-A

APPLICANT—McGee and Morsellino for Homelawn Housing Corporation, owner.

MINUTES

SUBJECT—Application June 4, 1979—filed pursuant to Section 35, Article 3 of the General City Law re- proposed building in the bed of a mapped street.

PREMISES AFFECTED—3173 Miles Avenue, north side, 74.52 feet west of Stevens Place, Block 5517, Lot 30, Borough of The Bronx.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Joseph P. Morsellino.

ACTION OF BOARD—Appeal granted on condition.

THE VOTE—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

THE RESOLUTION—

WHEREAS, the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, on N.B. Applic. #41/79, reads:

"1. Proposed construction in bed of mapped street is contrary to Sec. 35 General City Law."

and
WHEREAS, a site evaluation was made by a committee of the Board consisting of Commissioner Stanley M. Wolf, R.A. and Commissioner John B. Cincotta which recommended that the appeal be granted under certain conditions.

Resolved, that the decision of the Borough Superintendent, dated May 16, 1979, acting on N.B. Applic. #41/79, Objection No. 1, be and it hereby is modified under the powers vested in the Board by Section 35 of the General City Law, and that the appeal be and it hereby is *granted, on condition* that the construction shall substantially conform to drawings marked received "June 4, 1979", 1 sheet and that all laws, rules and regulations shall be complied with.

5-78-A

APPLICANT—Apsco Fabrics, Incorporated for Louis and Bessie Adler Foundation, Incorporated, owners.

SUBJECT—Application for consideration—to dismiss the application of January 4, 1978—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- sprinkler protection under the obstructions (Bins).

PREMISES AFFECTED—469-475 10th Avenue and 501 West 36th Street, northwest corner, Block 708, Lot 31, Borough of Manhattan.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Decision deferred to October 23, 1979, at 2 P.M., hearing closed.

110-79-A

APPLICANT—Spirit Fluid Manufacturing Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application February 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- packaging of combustible mixture known as "Spirit Light Charcoal Starter", in sixty-four (64) ounces round plastic bottle with child proof cap container not in compliance with the Regulations of the Administrative Code of the City of New York.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 2 P.M., for continued hearing, applicant to be notified.

338-79-A

APPLICANT—Sajra Discount Department Stores, Incorporated, owner.

SUBJECT—Application March 29, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner re- sprinkler system.

PREMISES AFFECTED—1963 Prospect Avenue, northwest corner of East Tremont Avenue, Block 3093, Lot 47, Borough of The Bronx.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Jack Ancona.

For Administration: Lt. R. J. Ellis, Fire Dept.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

519-79-A

APPLICANT—Vincent John Bartone for St. Nektarios Greek Orthodox Church, lessee.

SUBJECT—Application May 1, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed change in occupancy from a dwelling to a Church.

PREMISES AFFECTED—156-03 Sanford Avenue, northeast corner of 156th Street, Block 5329, Lot 3, Flushing, Borough of Queens.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: Vincent John Bartone.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 5

Negative: 0

Absent: Vice Chairman Agusta 1

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

553-79-A

APPLICANT—William E. Cratty for Intercontinental Lubricants Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner, re- packaging of inflammable mixture known as Old New England Lamp Oil in plastic bottle, not in compliance with regulation of the Administrative Code of New York City.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: None.

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 9, 1979, at 2 P.M., for hearing, applicant to be notified.

558-79-A

APPLICANT—Alphonse J. Calvanico for Ralten Real Estate and Building Corporation, owner.

SUBJECT—Application May 8, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Borough Superintendent, re- proposed use of drywells for the disposal of storm water (Local Law #7).

PREMISES AFFECTED—5394 Amboy Road, south side, 40.27 feet west of Stecher Street, Block 6570, Lot 30, Huguenot, Borough of Staten Island.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: David C. Winters.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta, Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh, Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

MINUTES

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

561-79-A

APPLICANT—E. E. La Rue for Siloo, Incorporated, owner.
SUBJECT—Application May 9, 1979—appeal from a decision of the Fire Commissioner, re- packaging of inflammable mixture known as Siloo Gas Line Anti-Freeze in plastic bottle, not in compliance with regulations of the Administrative Code of New York City.

APPEARANCES—

For Applicant: E. E. La Rue.

THE VOTE TO CLOSE HEARING—

Affirmative: Chairman Fossella, Vice Chairman Agusta,
Commissioner Carroll, Commissioner Walsh,
Commissioner Cincotta and Commissioner Wolf 6

Negative: 0

ACTION OF BOARD—Laid over to October 2, 1979, at 2 P.M., for decision, hearing closed.

Adjourned: 3:25 P.M.

ALAN D. GERSHUNY, *Executive Director*

BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS
80 LAFAYETTE STREET
NEW YORK, N. Y. 10013

BULK RATE
U. S. Postage
PAID
New York, N. Y.
Permit No. 4875

University of Illinois Library
Att: Serials Dept.
Urbana, Ill. 61801

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS-URBANA
352.05 NEW C001 v.64:27-39(1979
Bulletin.



3 0112 087954506